Lesion distribution and substrate of white matter damage in myotonic dystrophy type 1: Comparison with multiple sclerosis
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

Myotonic Dystrophy type 1 (DM1) is an autosomal dominant condition caused by expansion of the CTG triplet repeats within the myotonic dystrophy protein of the kinase (DMPK) gene. The central nervous system is involved in the disease, with multiple symptoms including cognitive impairment. A typical feature of DM1 is the presence of widespread white matter (WM) lesions, whose total volume is associated with CTG triplet expansion. The aim of this study was to characterize the distribution and pathological substrate of these lesions as well as the normal appearing WM (NAWM) using quantitative magnetization transfer (qMT) MRI, and comparing data from DM1 patients with those from patients with multiple sclerosis (MS).

\section{Introduction}

Myotonic dystrophy type 1 (DM1) is an autosomal dominant disorder caused by an expansion of the trinucleotide CTG repeat motif. Found on chromosome 19, the repeat motif is in the 3'UTR of the DMPK gene, located at point 13.3 (Brook et al., 1992; Fu et al., 1993; Mahadevan et al., 1993). DM1 affects at least 1 in 8000 people worldwide and is the most common form of neuromuscular disorder with clinical onset in adulthood (Emery, 1991; Meola & Cardani, 2015). DM1 is a multisystem disorder affecting the heart, both smooth and skeletal muscle, the eyes, endocrine system, central and peripheral nervous system (Romeo, 2012; Schara and Schoser, 2014; Turner & Hilton-Jones, 2014; Ashizawa et al., 2018). Post-mortem examinations of the brain of people with DM1 have highlighted the presence of nuclear RNA foci (i.e., CTG repeats) (Jiang et al., 2004), and of neurofibrillary tangles, leading to the disease being considered a tauopathy (Yoshimura et al., 1990; Vermersch et al., 1996).
Although adult onset DM1 patients typically present with preserved global cognition at formal testing (Di Costanzo et al., 2002; Minnerop et al., 2011; Serra et al., 2015), when examined more closely, detailed cognitive testing has revealed executive and memory dysfunctions (Meola et al., 2003; Modoni et al., 2004; Weber et al., 2010) as well as dysfunction of social cognition (Serra et al., 2016, 2020), and pathological personality traits (Serra et al., 2014), partially explained by altered functional connectivity (Serra et al., 2014, 2016) and reduced regional cortical thickness (Serra et al., 2020).

Imaging of the brains of those affected by DM1 demonstrates structural damage in both the grey and white matter, but predominantly white matter. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies described the presence of ventricular dilatation and periventricular hyperintensities (Glantz et al., 1988; Hund et al., 1997; Di Costanzo et al., 2002; Minnerop et al., 2011; Caso et al., 2014), resembling lesions detected in other conditions such as multiple sclerosis (MS) (Damian et al., 1994), Lyme disease (Fernandez et al., 1990), and coeliac disease (Kieslich et al., 2001). Other common findings include cerebral atrophy (Minnerop et al., 2011; Serra et al., 2013), and enlarged Virchow-Robinson spaces (Di Costanzo et al., 2001). Interestingly, the white matter lesion load, regional grey matter volumes, and white matter microstructure were all reported to correlate with patient CTG triplet expansion (Serra et al., 2015; Zanigni et al., 2016; van der Plas, et al., 2019).

Using conventional MRI, white matter T2-hyperintense lesions in the anterotemporal and temporopolar regions have been repeatedly reported by studies as areas of typical change in DM1 patients (Huber et al., 1989; Miaux et al., 1997; Abe et al., 1998; Ogata et al., 1998; Di Costanzo et al., 2001; Zanigni et al., 2016). Anterior temporal lobe lesions differ from other lobar lesions seen in DM1, as they appear to involve the arcuate fibres, a feature not seen in lobar lesions. The MRI pattern of distribution, asymmetry of white matter lesions, involvement and sparing of specific structures is thought to be specific to DM1 (Di Costanzo et al., 2001), although other genetic disorders, such as cerebral autosomal dominant arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leukoencephalopathy (CADASIL) and cerebral autosomal recessive arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leukoencephalopathy (CARASIL) are characterised by T2-weighted hyperintensities, which, particularly at the onset of the disease, tend to localise to the anterior temporal lobes (Liem et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2018). Whether the origin of this particular class of lesions differs from that of the others in DM1 remains to be determined. In addition to macroscopic lesions, advanced MRI techniques, such as diffusion tensor imaging, provided evidence for subtle white matter changes throughout the DM1 brain (Minnerop et al., 2011; Serra et al., 2015).

The substrate of macroscopic lesions and microscopic damage in DM1 remains unknown, although axonal loss and demyelination have been reported to occur, together with gliosis (Mondelli et al., 1993; Viehlaber et al., 2006). Tackling the origin of the widespread brain damage observed in DM1 and its link with the severity of the genetic load (Serra et al., 2015), is paramount in order to understand the pathogenesis of central nervous system symptoms in DM1 and develop appropriate interventions. Although a detailed answer to these questions can only be achieved with histopathology, quantitative MRI provides a useful tool for investigating lesion characteristics in vivo. The purpose of the current study was thus to compare the lesion distribution, and its substrate (as assessed by quantitative MRI) with another condition characterised by spatially disseminated lesions with mixed pathology, namely MS. MS is a prototypical disorder of myelin. We first characterised the spatial distribution of lesions, and then quantified the qMT parameters inside and outside macroscopic lesions. Finally, we isolated qMT parameters inside and outside macroscopic lesions. Finally, we isolated qMT parameters inside and outside macroscopic lesions. Finally, we isolated qMT parameters inside and outside macroscopic lesions. Finally, we isolated qMT parameters inside and outside macroscopic lesions. Finally, we isolated qMT parameters inside and outside macroscopic lesions. Finally, we isolated qMT parameters inside and outside macroscopic lesions.

We recruited 28 patients (M/F = 14/14; mean age: 42, standard deviation: 12.1 years) with a molecular diagnosis of DM1 from the Neuromuscular and Neurological Rare Diseases Center at San Camillo Forlanini Hospital (Rome, Italy) and the Institute of Neurology at the Catholic University of Rome (Rome, Italy). A subsample of this cohort also participated in an independent study (Serra et al., 2015) but their qMT data have not been reported elsewhere. The genetic and clinical characteristics of DM1 patients are summarized in Table 1. Twenty-nine patients (M/F = 11/18; mean age: 35, standard deviation: 7.64 years) with a clinically definite diagnosis of relapsing remitting MS, as defined by 2001 McDonald criteria (McDonald et al., 2001) were recruited as the MS control group, from the specialist MS outpatient clinic at IRCCS Santa Lucia Foundation, Rome, Italy. All patients had a diagnosis of relapsing-remitting MS, and those who had any relapse or corticosteroid treatment over the 3 months preceding MR acquisition were excluded. DM1 participants had assessment of CTG expansion size within the DMPK gene, and they were classified according to the International Myotonic Dystrophy Consortium nomenclature (IDMC, 2009). MS control group, from the specialist MS outpatient clinic at IRCCS Santa Lucia Foundation, Rome, Italy. All patients had a diagnosis of relapsing-remitting MS, and those who had any relapse or corticosteroid treatment over the 3 months preceding MR acquisition were excluded. DM1 participants had assessment of CTG expansion size within the DMPK gene, and they were classified according to the International Myotonic Dystrophy Consortium nomenclature (IDMC, 2009).
Table 1
Principal clinical and genetic characteristics of DM1 patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>No (%) of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood (age range 6–17 years)</td>
<td>8 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adulthood (age range, 18–60 years)</td>
<td>20 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of CTG triplet repeats on DMPK gene, mean (SD) [range]</td>
<td>469.85 (311.6) [54-1200]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expansion group

| E1 (50–150) | 4 (14%) |
| E2 (151–500) | 13 (46%) |
| E3 (501–1000) | 9 (32%) |
| E4 (>1000)  | 2 (7%)  |

MIRS score

| 1          | 4 (14%) |
| 2          | 8 (29%) |
| 3          | 12 (43%) |
| 4          | 4 (14%) |
| 5          | 0       |

Abbreviations: DM1: myotonic dystrophy type 1; DMPK: myotonic dystrophy protein kinase; MIRS: Muscular Impairment Rating Scale.

2.4. Lesion contouring and lesion masks

The volume of white matter lesions was assessed using a semi-automated local thresholding contouring software (Jim, Version 7, Xinapse Systems, Colchester, UK; www.xinapse.com) on FLAIR scans. Dual-echo scans were used as a reference to increase confidence in lesion identification. A binary lesion mask was obtained for every subject by setting all voxels within a lesion to 1 and the background to zero. The resulting lesion masks were coregistered with every patient’s MPRAGE using an affine registration in ANTs (Avants et al., 2011). Magnetization transfer data were processed using customized software that fits a binary spin bath model to the data acquired with variable settings of MT pulse offset frequency and flip angle (Cercignani et al., 2009; Giulietti et al., 2012). Among other model parameters, this process yields maps of the pool size ratio (F), computed as F = M0/M, where M0 represents the spin density of the liquid pool, and M represents the spin density of the macromolecular pool. F expresses the relative density of macromolecules, it is unitless, and is known to correlate with myelin content (Turati et al., 2015). The MPRAGE scan was segmented into white matter, grey matter and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) for every participant. Next, it was coregistered to the 15° volume acquired as part of sequence 5, used as a reference space for the qMT scans. The same transformation was applied to the white matter segment. A white matter mask was obtained by thresholding the result at 0.8. For all participants with lesions, a NAWM mask was obtained by subtracting the lesion mask from the white matter mask. The average F was computed within lesions and NAWM for every participant. The lesion masks were warped into MNI space and lesion probability maps were obtained for each patient group.

2.5. Identification of temporal lesions

FLAIR scans and lesion masks of DM1 patients were visually inspected by 2 experienced observers to identify the presence of anterior temporal lobe lesions. For patients who showed them, separate masks of temporal and non-temporal lesions were created, and F values extracted for comparison.

2.6. Volumetric analysis

As brain atrophy is known to occur in both, MS and DM1, we also compared some measures of global and local brain volume. Every participants’ MPRAGE was segmented using SPM (Di Paola et al., 2008), after lesion filling. The grey matter, white matter and CSF probability images in standard space were ‘modulated’, i.e. multiplied by the Jacobian of the warping transformation to preserve volumetric information. The brain parenchymal fraction (BPF) was computed as the sum of the grey and white matter volume divided by the total intracranial volume.

2.7. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses on clinical and imaging data were performed using SPSS (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois). The imaging data included in the analysis are: lesion load, BPF, mean lesion F, mean NAWM F values. Before analysis, data were plotted to check their distribution. Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviations (SDs), or as median ± interquartile ranges (IQRs) depending on the normal distribution of the values. Student’s t test was used to compare the means of F of lesions between patients groups, means of NAWM F and means of BPF between the 3 groups. If significant differences in relevant cohort characteristics (e.g., age, sex) were present, multiple linear regression analysis was performed to control for these confounders.
used. Due to skewness in its distribution, the lesion load data was normalized using logarithmic transformation and comparison between the means of each group was carried out with Student’s t test. Linear regressions were used to examine the relationship between the neuroimaging parameters examined and triplet expansion accounting for age.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic and clinical characteristics

The three groups did not differ significantly with regard to sex but DM1 patients in our cohort tended to be older (Mean age: 42.1; SD: 12.1 years) than MS patients (Mean age: 35.8; SD: 7.63 years), and healthy controls were younger overall, but a smaller group (Mean 33.8, SD 8.4) (X² 1.1p = ns; p = 0.009 respectively). The patients with MS had a median expanded disability status scale (EDSS) score of 2.0 (range: 0–4), and a mean disease duration of 7.7 years (SD: 4.2). Their mean number of years of formal education was 13.2 (SD: 3.5). Table 1 summarizes the principal clinical and genetic characteristics of the DM1 patients. A larger proportion of patients had adult onset DM1, 20 out of 28 (71%), while 8 out of 28 (29%) had childhood disease onset. The mean triplet expansion was 469.85, with a range of 54–1200. Each expansion was grouped according to the guidelines of the Myotonic Dystrophy consortium (IDMC, 2000).

3.2. Lesion burden and radiological results

As we expected, patients with MS in our cohort had more extensive white matter disease. Once normalised on the logarithmic scale, an independent t test demonstrated that the mean lesion volume was higher in MS (median 6386, IQR 20100) than DM1 (median 2288.8, IQR 6995.3) (p = 0.002, CI −0.717 to −0.165). The healthy control cohort did not show any macroscopic lesions. The BPF was lower in MS than in DM1 patients (p = 0.009, CI = 0.009–0.06), and higher in healthy controls than in both patient groups (p = 0.001, CI = 0.034–0.099 for MS, and p = 0.02, CI = 0.005–0.06 for DM1).

Table 2 summarises the principal MRI characteristics of the patient groups.

Examining the lesion substrate of all patients, we found more evidence of myelin disruption within lesions compared to NAWM, with the mean F of lesions being lower than the NAWM (p < 0.01, CI 0.06–0.07), and lower in MS than in DM1 (p < 0.01, CI 0.01–0.04).

In addition to examining the lesion volume in each group, we also investigated the distribution of lesions in each cohort by creating lesion masks and probability maps. Fig. 1 shows the lesion distribution in the 2 patient groups, highlighting the overall greater lesion load in MS patients. Although the lesion volume was smaller in DM1 patients, the distribution was similar with the following exceptions: DM1 patients did not show any lesions in the cerebellum and brainstem; 3 out of 28 DM1 patients (11%) presented with a pattern of temporal lobe lesions typical for DM1 (Fig. 2). Given the small number of DM1 patients with typical temporal lesions, no formal statistical comparison was performed. A plot of the mean F and its SD for every patient is shown in Fig. 3. The data are not conclusive but suggest that F might be higher in temporal lobe compared to non-temporal lobe lesions.

Due to the differences in age and sex distribution between groups, we performed further multiple regression analyses with NAWM and lesion F, respectively, as the dependent variables, and group, age and sex as factors.

This analysis showed significant differences between F of the NAWM in the MS group compared to the DM1 cohort (beta 0.45, p < 0.01) and control group (beta 0.42, p < 0.01), while there did not appear to be a significant difference between NAWM in DM1 patients and controls (beta −0.003, p = ns). Details are shown in Table 3.

Within lesions, multiple regression analysis indicated that patients with MS had significantly lower F compared to the DM1 cohort (beta −0.28, p < 0.01), when adjusted for age and sex as shown in Table 4.

There was no association between FLAIR lesion load, lesion F or NAWM F and either triplet expansion and age (p value > 0.5 for all models explored) in DM1 patients. The triplet expansion number was mildly correlated with patient age (p = 0.02, r = 0.43). The F of lesions in DM1 was not found to correlate to triplet expansion number (p = ns, r = 0.02). Patient age and disease onset did not demonstrate any correlation with lesion load on FLAIR (p = ns, r = 0.27, p = ns r = −0.14).

We found a statistically significant difference between lesion load in DM1 patients with adulthood and childhood onset on FLAIR once transformed to allow normalization of the data. (Adult onset: n = 20 med 3134.8 IQR 8414.75; Childhood onset: n = 8, med = 1898.2, IQR = 1857.6; p = 0.03. 95% CI 0.03 to 0.68). However, within the childhood onset group there were less patients, and the age range of this group was 18–55, with a younger median age of 32, compared to 45 (range 31–69 for the adult onset group which may explain some of this variation.

Examining for correlation between many of the clinical variables with imaging results using Spearman’s and Pearson’s coefficient (depending on the normality of the variable) did not demonstrate any significant correlation except for age and triplet expansion, which was mildly correlated. Given the large number of comparisons, however, this result cannot be considered conclusive.

4. Discussion

This study demonstrates that the white matter lesion distribution in people with DM1 largely overlaps with that typical of relapsing remitting MS, and that such lesions are likely to be characterised by demyelination, as measured by a reduction in the magnetization transfer parameter F. Conversely, no evidence of demyelination within the NAWM was observed in DM1 brains.

4.1. Lesion distribution

MS lesions are known to be located primarily in a perivascular distribution (Tallantyre et al., 2008). Although they can form in virtually every area of the central nervous system, their density typically increases with proximity to the ventricles, suggesting a role for CSF-mediated factors in the accumulation of damage (Jehna et al., 2015). Our results suggest that white matter lesions tend to follow a similar anatomical pattern in DM1 patients, at least in the supratentorial compartment. Whether this distribution is suggestive of similar mechanisms of lesion formation remains to be clarified. Lesion distribution in MS has been postulated to be related to lymphocyte trafficking pathways and distinct antigen presentation depending on the particular area of the central nervous system involved (Gross et al., 2017). It is likely that the mechanism is different in DM1, however an inflammatory reaction to RNA inclusion bodies may be a hypothesis to consider. Despite the similar lesion distributions in the 2 patient cohorts (i.e., DM1 and MS), there were also some important differences. First, none of the DM1 patients showed any lesions in the cerebellum and brainstem, which again remarks substantial pathophysiological differences between DM1 and
MS with respect to macroscopic white matter damage. Interestingly, infratentorial lesions are common in MS, and often associated with clinical symptoms when in relation with an acute MS relapse. In addition, 3 DM1 patients (but none of the MS patients) showed the typical anterior temporal white matter lesions (Fig. 2). This is consistent with previous imaging studies of patients with DM1 demonstrating specificity for the anterior temporal region (Miaux et al., 1997; Ogata et al., 1998), whose pathological substrate has been characterized by decreased myelin sheaths and severely disordered arrangement of axons with microscopically heterotopic neurons (Ogata et al., 1998). As only 3 patients in our sample presented with anterior temporal lobe lesions, it was not possible to perform a formal statistical analysis of those patients or investigate any correlation with triplet size or a significantly larger lesion load compared to other parts of the brain. Nonetheless, these anterior temporal lesions showed (in 2 out of 3 cases) higher F values in comparison with those distributed to other brain regions. It is difficult to provide a definite interpretation of this finding. Post-mortem studies of DM1 have recognized different substrates in different areas of the brain, such as inclusion bodies in the cerebral cortex and neurofibrillary tangles in the temporal lobes, a finding which may suggest that the variation of lesion distribution being seen, could occur due to different substrate involvement (Ono et al., 1987, 1989). However, it is also possible that these differences are explained by intrinsic variations in tissue type. A similar finding, differentiating between periventricular and deep white matter hyperintensities in an aging cohort was interpreted as evidence of altered fluid dynamic and CSF leakage in the periventricular area, possibly linked to abnormal lymphatic system function (Iordanishvili et al., 2019). Although this is an intriguing hypothesis, given the small number of anterotemporal lesions in this study, we refrain from speculating on this subject. Considering the questions remaining regarding the mechanisms of disease, in future research, it may be important to compare DM1 with other genetically inherited neurological disorders, which predominantly affect white matter, through imaging and histological studies. CADASIL is an arteriopathy which results in white matter disease, predominantly in the anterior temporal lobes due to vascular insult (Liem et al., 2008). Mitochondrial neurogastrointestinal encephalopathy (MNGIE) is a rare autosomal recessive disorder caused by mutations in the thymidine phosphorylase gene, which leads to excess levels of thymidine and resultant mitochondrial DNA replication abnormalities. A study by Gramegna et al. found evidence of microvascular damage leading to diffuse white matter involvement in patients with MNGIE on brain MRI, MR spectroscopy and histopathology (Gramegna et al., 2018a). Additionally, another interesting observation by the same group has highlighted the potential role of mitochondrial dysfunction in DM1, illustrating that patients with higher levels of CSF lactate on MR spectroscopy also demonstrate greater white matter disease (Gramegna et al., 2018b). Comparing these disease entities using advanced neuroimaging techniques alongside histopathology could shed further light on the disease mechanisms involved in DM1.

4.2. Lesion substrate

With regards to the quantitative analysis, we demonstrate that, while we found no evidence of demyelination in the NAWM of DM1 patients in our study, F values within the lesions are significantly reduced, suggesting a reduction in myelin density. It should be reiterated, however, that F is computed as a ratio, and therefore a reduction could be caused by either, a decrease in the numerator, or an increase in the denominator. The latter could happen in the presence of oedema (i.e., an increase in free water). Indeed, previous experimental studies have demonstrated that inflammation in the absence of demyelination can cause similar changes (Stanisz et al., 2004). Therefore this study cannot conclude with absolute certainty that demyelination explains all the observed changes in F from DM1 brains. The average F values from DM1 lesions are higher than MS lesions, suggesting a less extensive loss of myelin, but they are significantly lower than in the NAWM, and than in the white matter of healthy controls. Neuropathological investigations in DM1 are extremely limited (Ogata et al., 1998; Mizukami et al., 1999; Itoh et al., 2010). Itoh et al. (2010) who examined 11 patients with DM1

Fig. 1. Lesion distribution. Panel A shows the lesion probability maps for DM1 (top) and MS (bottom). Panel B shows the sum of the probabilities over each section in the axial (left) and coronal (right) planes. It can be seen that MS patients have higher probability of lesions at every level except at MNI z coordinates ranging from −40 to −20. Panel C demonstrates that in correspondence with these coordinates, DM1 patients show extensive lesions in the anterior temporal lobe, while MS patients have primarily sub-tentorial lesions, in the brainstem and cerebellum. Abbreviations: DM1: myotonic dystrophy type 1, MS: Multiple sclerosis.
reported, in the deep white matter, microscopic evidence of dilated perivascular spaces, loss of adjacent axons and myelin, capillary hyalination, and fibrillary gliosis. They argued that the macroscopic lesions detectable on MRI scans in the white matter of DM1 patients may be considered as instances of état criblé and represent a DM1 specific morphological change. Interestingly, they did not report any obvious abnormality in the NAWM, consistently with our current results.

Although MTR has been used previously to investigate brain changes occurring in DM1, this is the first study to use qMT. It is important to

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM1</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.033 to 0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.028 to 0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.858</td>
<td>0.0 to 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>−0.011</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>−0.019 to −0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: DM1: myotonic dystrophy type 1; MS: multiple sclerosis; NAWM: normal appearing white matter.
cohort. It is well known that patients with DM1 experience genetic differences in genetic inheritance and expression, clinical phenotypes, and this may be something to consider in future studies. Different studies have demonstrated varied results with regards to correlation between MRI changes, clinical findings, cognition, and triplet expansion (Meola and Sansone, 2007; Serra et al., 2015). This disparity again may result from the well-known heterogeneity within this patient group due to differences in genetic inheritance and expression, clinical phenotypes, and notably study designs. It has been shown that modal CTG repeat length might not be the best variable to consider for these correlations, as it tends to correlate with the age of onset (Cumming et al., 2019). Instead, progenitor CTG repeat length is the best predictor of risk dependent on the site at which it is sampled, for example, repeats are larger in muscle samples than in corresponding blood samples (Thorton et al., 1994). In clinical practice samples are always taken from the blood, however it is possible that CSF samples might more accurately reflect increasing lesion load or changes in the central nervous system, and this may be something to consider in future studies. Different studies have demonstrated varied results with regards to correlation between MRI changes, clinical findings, cognition, and triplet expansion (Meola and Sansone, 2007; Serra et al., 2015). In conclusion, we have shown that the distribution of lesions within the white matter is similar in DM1 and MS, suggesting that proximity to the ventricles might increase susceptibility to tissue damage regardless of the underlying pathological mechanism. In addition, we have demonstrated that demyelination occurs within DM1 lesions, but not in the NAWM, and that the DM1 typical anterior temporal lesions might be different from the periventricular ones. These data support the use of quantitative MRI techniques for the characterization of brain changes associated with DM1, and the need for sensitive non-invasive imaging biomarkers to aid in our monitoring of progression, possible response to treatment and advancing our understanding of this disease.

Table 4
Summary of Multiple Regression analysis for model examining differences in variances of F value of lesions in MS and DM1, adjusted for age and sex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.109 to 0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM1</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.012 to 0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>−0.28</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>−0.040 to −0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>−0.001 to 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>−0.012 to 0.017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: DM1: myotonic dystrophy type 1; MS: multiple sclerosis.

Our results did not demonstrate any correlation between triplet number and lesion load, F value or MIRS(Muscular impairment rating scale) scores. There has been reported variability between triplet size depending on the site at which it is sampled, for example, repeats are larger in muscle samples than in corresponding blood samples (Thorton et al., 1994). In clinical practice samples are always taken from the blood, however it is possible that CSF samples might more accurately reflect increasing lesion load or changes in the central nervous system, and this may be something to consider in future studies. Different studies have demonstrated varied results with regards to correlation between MRI changes, clinical findings, cognition, and triplet expansion (Meola and Sansone, 2007; Serra et al., 2015). In conclusion, we have shown that the distribution of lesions within the white matter is similar in DM1 and MS, suggesting that proximity to the ventricles might increase susceptibility to tissue damage regardless of the underlying pathological mechanism. In addition, we have demonstrated that demyelination occurs within DM1 lesions, but not in the NAWM, and that the DM1 typical anterior temporal lesions might be different from the periventricular ones. These data support the use of quantitative MRI techniques for the characterization of brain changes associated with DM1, and the need for sensitive non-invasive imaging biomarkers to aid in our monitoring of progression, possible response to treatment and advancing our understanding of this disease.

4.5. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have shown that the distribution of lesions within the white matter is similar in DM1 and MS, suggesting that proximity to the ventricles might increase susceptibility to tissue damage regardless of the underlying pathological mechanism. In addition, we have demonstrated that demyelination occurs within DM1 lesions, but not in the NAWM, and that the DM1 typical anterior temporal lesions might be different from the periventricular ones. These data support the use of quantitative MRI techniques for the characterization of brain changes associated with DM1, and the need for sensitive non-invasive imaging biomarkers to aid in our monitoring of progression, possible response to treatment and advancing our understanding of this disease.
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