



## AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

## Soil PM10 emission potential under specific mechanical stress and particles characteristics

This is the author's manuscript							
Original Citation:							
Availability:							
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1797282 since 2021-09-14T10:08:12Z							
Published version:							
DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146468							
Terms of use:							
Open Access							
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.							

(Article begins on next page)

1 SOIL PM10 EMISSION POTENTIAL UNDER SPECIFIC MECHANICAL STRESS AND PARTICLES 2 **CHARACTERISTICS** 3 Elio Padoan<sup>1\*</sup>, Jacopo Maffia<sup>1</sup>, Paolo Balsari<sup>1</sup>, Franco Ajmone-Marsan<sup>1</sup>, Elio Dinuccio<sup>1</sup> 4 5 <sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Forestali e Alimentari, Università degli Studi di Torino, Largo 6 7 Paolo Braccini 2, 10095 Grugliasco (Italy) 8 9 \*Corresponding author: 10 Elio Padoan Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Forestali e Alimentari, Università degli Studi di Torino 11 12 Largo Paolo Braccini 2, 10095, GRUGLIASCO (Torino) - Italy Ph: +39 011 670 8517 13 Email: elio.padoan@unito.it 14 15 ORCID ID: 000-0002-9211-2506 16 17 ABSTRACT

Soil can be resuspended in the atmosphere due to wind or mechanical disturbances, such as agricultural activities (sowing, tilling, etc.), producing fine particulate matter (PM). Agriculture is estimated to be the third PM<sub>10</sub>-emitting sector in Europe, emitting more than the transportation sector. However, very few emission figures are available for the different cropping operations. Moreover, soil Emission Potential (EP) is extremely variable, since is influenced by factors such as humidity, texture, chemical composition, and wind speed.

Due to their similarity to tilling emission mechanisms, Soil Resuspension Chambers (SRC) are the most suitable method to estimate the impacts of these factors on soil susceptibility to emit PM<sub>10</sub> during cropping operations (Emission Potential, EP).

27 The main objective of this work is to assess the EP of different agricultural soils used for maize 28 cropping in North-Western Italy, studying the influence of soil moisture and physico-chemical 29 characteristics. Therefore, a SRC was developed, based on previous studies, with the goal of 30 being relatively small, easy to operate and low-cost. Using the gathered data, a log-linear multiple 31 regression model was developed to allow soil EP estimation from few physico-chemical 32 parameters (moisture, sand/silt ratio and organic carbon content). The model allows to tailor field Emission Factors (EF) of specific cropping operations to different soil and moisture conditions and 33 was applied to an EF for rotary harrowing, defined in a previous study. 34

The concentration of Potentially Toxic Elements (PTE) in soil-emitted  $PM_{10}$  was determined, founding an enrichment up to 16 times higher than in the original soil, evidencing a possible cause of concern for operator's safety during agricultural activities.

38

39 Keywords

40 Emission Model; Particulate Matter; PM10; Potentially Toxic Elements; Soil Dust

41

#### 42 1. INTRODUCTION

43 Soil is a natural source of fine Particulate Matter (PM), both in urban and in rural environments 44 (Padoan and Amato, 2018; Soleimanian et al., 2019). Soil particles can be suspended in the 45 atmosphere mainly due to wind erosion and to mechanical disturbances, such as agricultural 46 activities (Maffia et al., 2020a; Sharratt and Auvermann, 2014).

Along with the long-term adverse health effects of atmospheric PM<sub>10</sub> pollution (Tonne et al., 2016), several studies highlighted the importance of crustal components of PM and of soil-derived PM<sub>10</sub> (Wu et al., 2020; Galindo et al., 2018; Padoan et al., 2016; Kendall et al., 2004). These components are of crucial importance in terms of total emissions and chemical composition and are possibly enriched of inorganic and organic pollutants (Padoan et al., 2017; Brunekreef and Holgate, 2002).

In Europe, agriculture is estimated to be the third PM<sub>10</sub>-emitting sector, emitting more than the transportation sector according to the European Environment Agency (EEA, 2020). Farm-level agricultural operations, such as land preparation activities (sowing, tillage, etc.) and outdoor animal rearing (herd movement and animal activity), are one of the most relevant contributors to anthropic PM emissions (EEA, 2020). However, very few emission figures are available for the different cropping operations (Maffia et al., 2020b; Öttl et al., 2007; Holmén et al., 2001).

PM emissions from cropping activities are extremely variable, since they are influenced by many factors, such as soil humidity, texture and chemical composition (e.g. the mineral and organic matter content), and wind speed (Avecilla et al., 2017; Funk et al., 2008). These factors, together with the mechanical implements used for cropping operations, determine the field emissions. Addressing these factors one by one, and determining their specific effect on the emissions is, therefore, of crucial importance to standardize field emission data and to compare them successfully.

Several laboratory methodologies have been proposed to investigate the impacts of these factors on soil Emission Potential (EP), the soil capacity to emit PM<sub>10</sub> per unit weight, over a certain period. Among these methodologies, the most common are soil resuspension chambers (SRC) and wind tunnels (WT) (Mendez et al., 2013; Pietrodangelo et al., 2013; Madden et al., 2010; Moreno et al., 2009; Gill et al., 2006). SRC rely on mechanical disturbance or abrasion to achieve soil resuspension, with the possibility to collect resuspended particles using a depression or forced-air ducts. Conversely, WT rely only on wind speed effect. Due to the similar resuspension
 mechanism applied, SRC are more suitable than WT to estimate soil susceptibility to emit PM<sub>10</sub>
 during cropping operations, while WT are more appropriate to estimate wind erosion rates.

75 The main objective of the work is to assess the EP of different agricultural soils used for maize 76 cropping in North-Western Italy, studying the influence of soil moisture and physico-chemical characteristics on the EP of those soils. Therefore, a SRC was developed, based on previous 77 78 studies (Maffia et al., 2020b; Madden et al., 2010), with the goal of being relatively small, easy to build and low-cost. Using the gathered data, we developed a model to allow soil EP estimation 79 from some simple physico-chemical parameters, to tailor field emission factors (EF) of specific 80 81 cropping operations to different soil and moisture conditions. Moreover, we analysed the 82 Potentially Toxic Elements (PTE) concentration of soil-emitted PM<sub>10</sub> to assess the health and environmental risk of the resuspended particles, potentially eroded and transported to different 83 environmental compartments or affecting farmers. 84

85

#### 86 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

87

## 2.1. Soil Sampling and physico-chemical characterization

Soils were sampled in different locations in Piemonte (North-West of Italy). The areas were chosen based on the regional soil map (Regione Piemonte, 2020), selecting seven soils with different physico-chemical properties in order to represent the range of soil texture in cultivated soils of Piemonte. The selected soils are typically invested with Maize, which is the most cultivated summer crop in northern Italy. Soil classification was defined according to WRB Soil Taxonomy (IUSS, 2015).

The map with the sampling points (Figure SM1) and the table with coordinates, soil classification and meteorological parameters (Table SM1) are reported in the Supplementary Material (SM).

At each location, 15 subsamples were taken by applying a non-systematic X sampling scheme (Colombo and Miano, 2015). The topsoil subsamples were collected to a depth of 25 cm, which was considered the most used depth in tilling practices and quartered in the field to obtain 7 soil samples.

The samples were air-dried and sieved to 2 mm before physico-chemical analyses. We determined soil texture, pH, total carbon and nitrogen content and carbonates. All analyses were performed according to official Italian methods for soils (Colombo and Miano, 2015). The fraction of particles <10 µm was estimated by repeated sedimentation and decanting, as in Ajmone-Marsan et al. (2008). Field capacity (FC) was then determined for each soil according to the official method proposed by MiPAF (1997).

106

107 2.2. Soil resuspension chamber

108 A soil resuspension chamber (SRC) was developed starting from the rotating drum in Maffia et al. (2020a), using the best experiences from Gill et al. (2006) and Madden et al. (2009). The SRC 109 system is represented in Figure 1. Soil samples were re-suspended in a rotating drum (1) with a 110 111 25 L capacity and a rotation frequency of 26 revolutions per minute, powered by an electric engine 112 (2) with 0,75 kW of power and an electric potential of 220 V. During the trials, the drum was closed by a flange. Four flexible PVC tubes (0.4 m long with 8 mm diameter), provided with a series of 113 114 small holes (diameter 0.3 mm), were nested on the flange and allow clean air to enter the drum. During soil re-suspension, the air was sucked from the drum (1) through an aspiration pipe (4), 115 which allowed the emitted dust to reach a deposition chamber (5). The air stream was forced by a 116 vane pump (5; VTE3, Rietschle), drawing the air from the deposition chamber and inducing an air 117 118 flow of 30 L min<sup>-1</sup>, calibrated through a flux meter, through the system. The re-suspended particulate matter was sampled through a sampling port (6) using both an optical PM monitor 119 (Grimm 11-D, Grimm Aerosol Technik), to assess particle quantity, or a filter-based low-volume 120 impactor (MSSI Multistage Impactor, TCR Tecora®), to define particles elemental composition. 121





- 123
- 124

Figure 1. Scheme of the soil resuspension chamber. Soil is manually placed in the rotating drum (1) before the experiment, while PM instrumentation is connected to the sampling port (6).

127

# 128 2.3. Experimental protocol and Emission Potential estimation

Resuspension trials of each sample were accomplished by placing a soil aliquot inside the SRC rotating drum and re-suspending it for 15 min. Different tests were conducted to define the most suitable soil quantity, to generate reproducible data without saturating the PM monitor. The final experiments were conducted using 5g of each soil and were performed in triplicate to ensure the consistency of the method. The EP was defined at four different moisture contents (calculated as 0, 15, 30 and 40%, by weight, of the soils FC) for each soil, for a total of 84 trials. The equation used to define soil EP (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) was the following:

137

$$EP = \frac{C}{1000} * \frac{P * t}{S}$$

Where C represents the particle concentration ( $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>) measured by the Grimm PM monitor, P the system airflow (m<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>), calculated as the sum of the pump flow and the flow of the Grimm internal pump (1.2 L min<sup>-1</sup>), S the mass of the soil sample used (kg), and t the considered timespan (min).

142

## 143 2.4. Filter-based Particulate Matter sampling and elemental analysis

Soil-emitted PM<sub>10</sub> was sampled on quartz fibre filters (Ahlstrom Munksjo, Microquartz fibre paper
MK360, Ø47 mm). Before use, filters were dried at 205 °C for 5 hours and conditioned for 48 hours
at 20 °C and 50 % relative humidity; then weighed three times every 24 h and kept in Petri dishes.
Used filters were conditioned for 48 h and weighed using the same procedure.

Between consecutive samples, the SRC was thoroughly washed with deionized water and run for15 min without soil to avoid cross-contamination.

150 Major and trace element pseudo-total contents were determined in the original soils and in PM<sub>10</sub>. 151 One-gram soil samples were digested in aqua regia (HNO3:HCl, 1:3) using microwave extraction (Ethos D, Milestone). Similarly, half of each PM<sub>10</sub> filter was microwave digested and blank filters 152 were analysed to ensure a correction for their possible elemental release. Solutions were then 153 filtered on cellulose filters (Whatman n° 41) and diluted with ultrapure water before analysis. 154 155 Elemental concentrations were assessed in all samples using ICP-MS (NexION 350D, PerkinElmer). All the analyses were performed in duplicate and using certified reference materials 156 157 (CRM 141R and 142R, Community Bureau of Reference, Geel, Belgium) to ensure accuracy and correct recoveries. 158

The enrichment ratios (ER), i.e. the ratios between elemental concentrations in soil-originated  $PM_{10}$ compared to bulk soil, were calculated as follows:

161

$$ER = \frac{C_{PM} - C_{soil}}{C_{soil}}$$

Where  $C_{PM}$  is the concentration (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in resuspended PM<sub>10</sub> and  $C_{soil}$  is the concentration in the original soil (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>).

164

#### 165 2.5. Statistical analyses

The effect of the physico-chemical characteristics on the EP of the investigated soils was analysed using multiple log linear regression. Predictors were chosen on the base of their level of correlation with the EP logarithm. The correlation matrix also allowed to check for collinearity. To account for

the soil particle size distribution, we tried to use all the three parameters (sand, silt and clay) 169 together, only two of them and using the different ratios. Clay, probably because of its scarce 170 variation in the soil set, did not have a high correlation coefficient with EP and, when added to the 171 model, the prediction (fitting) did not improve. We decided to use the sand-silt ratio as a proxy for 172 173 the complete particle size distribution because was the one preforming better in the prediction model. This choice was made since, as highlighted by Madden et al. (2010), the ratio between two 174 175 textural components can be a good descriptor of soil characteristics. Moreover, it allowed to achieve a more parsimonious model. Sand and silt performed better than clay as predictors, 176 probably since these two components are the ones that vary the most in selected soils. The 177 linearity and homoscedasticity assumptions were tested graphically and by means of a Shapiro-178 179 Wilk test. The analyses were performed using R statistical software (R core team, 2019).

180

## 181 3. RESULTS

## 182 3.1. Soil physico-chemical characteristics

183 The physico-chemical characteristics of the analysed soils are reported in Table 1.

The studied soils presented a relatively wide textural variability with sand contents ranging from 14 to 82 %, silt contents ranging from 9 to 40 % and clay contents ranging from 9 to 33 %. Soil texture, and especially fine fractions content, is known to be a key factor in determining  $PM_{10}$ emissions (Madden et al., 2010; Funk et al., 2008), thus the content in <10 µm particles was analysed, ranging from 9.5 to 51 % in the observed soils.

189 Carbonate concentrations were variable but low in all the soils. Organic carbon (OC) contents were 190 in line with typical Italian agricultural soils (Jones et al., 2020), varying in the range 0.8 - 2.2%.

191

Table 1. Soil physico-chemical characterization, texture was calculated according to Soil ScienceDivision Staff (2017).

Soil	San d (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Textural class	Particl es < 10 µ m (%)	Field Capaci ty (% V/V)	рН	OC (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Inorgan ic C (g kg⁻¹)	N (g kg <sup>-</sup> 1)	C/N ratio
S1	51	40	9	Loam	18.2	37.9	8.2	8.8	2.7	1.4	9
S2	30	56	14	Silt Loam	25.3	32.3	7.5	8.3	1.2	1.3	8
S3	14	53	33	Clay Loam	51.4	33.0	6.9	11.6	1.0	1.8	7
<b>S</b> 4	82	9	9	Loamy Sand	9.5	23.6	6.9	9.5	8.5	1.1	16
S5	30	54	16	Silt Loam	37.9	45.7	6.2	22.0	1.9	3.2	8
<b>S</b> 6	40	46	14	Loam	31.6	24.9	7.7	10.0	1.0	1.8	6
<b>S</b> 7	59	31	10	Sandy Loam	19.8	35.6	6.0	11.8	1.7	1.8	8

#### 195

## 196 3.2. Emission Potential

Air-dried soils were considered as with zero percent moisture. Water was then added to each soil to achieve the desired percentage levels of FC (0, 15, 30 and 40%). Figure 2 shows the EP of the soils at the different soil moisture content. In Fig. 2 the mass percentage was used to normalize moisture values in the different soils. An exponential decrease of the soil EP with the increase of moisture found for all soils.

202



Figure 2. Emission Potential of selected soils as measured using the PM monitor.

203

Between the analysed chemical parameters, carbonate and total nitrogen contents were not linearly correlated to the EP of the soils. The effect of soil moisture content, texture, and OC content on the EP of the investigated soils was analysed by means of regression models.

Regarding texture, giving that the three components are interrelated, we calculated the model results using all the three parameters together and/or with each single fraction, finding no correlations with EP. Then, we used different ratios between two of them, finding this as best option, as in Madden et al. (2010). Among the possible ratios, the sand to silt quotient was the one better performing in the model, giving consistent results for all soils.

A multiple log linear regression best fitted the exponential response seen for the effect of moisture.

All three tested variables had a highly significant (P<0.001) effect on the EP and the effect of each of those variables is summarized in the following formula:

- 217
- 218 219

 $\log(EP) = 4.83105 - 0.28583 H - 0.09625 Ss + 0.08871 OC$ 

220 Where H is the soil moisture content (% on mass), Ss is the Sand/silt ratio in the soil and OC is the 221 organic carbon content (g kg<sup>-1</sup>).

The model fitted the observed EP data well at both high and low moisture contents, with an adjusted-R<sup>2</sup> of 0.838. Figure 3 shows a comparison between observed and predicted log transformed EP data, according to the multiple regression model.

225



226

Figure 3. Observed and predicted data, as obtained using the log-linear prediction model

228 229

230 3.3. Elemental contents in soil and soil-originated PM<sub>10</sub>

The concentrations of major and trace elements in the analyzed bulk soils and in their resuspended fraction are summarized in Tables 2 and 3. Selected soils appeared as natural, uncontaminated areas, with elemental and PTE concentrations
in line with the regional baseline values (ARPA Piemonte, 2014) and lower than regional legislative
limits for agricultural soils (Regione Piemonte, 2000) and national limits for green areas (MATTM,
2006).

237

	S1	S2	<b>S</b> 3	S4	S5	<b>S</b> 6	S7
				g kg <sup>-1</sup>			
Mg	14.2 ± 1.0	7.8 ± 0.1	10.1 ± 0.4	9.8 ± 0.7	9.4 ± 0.4	6.2 ± 0.3	7.5 ± 1.
AI	22.8 ± 2.2	28.1 ± 1.2	$36.6 \pm 0.4$	14.0 ± 1.7	25.9 ± 3.2	34.7 ± 3.5	23.7 ± 4
κ	4.7 ± 0.3	7.5 ± 0.7	13.7 ± 0.7	5.1 ± 1.1	14.3 ± 3.6	7.2 ± 2.6	4.3 ± 2.
Mn	0.9 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.01	1.1 ± 0.1	$0.8 \pm 0.03$	1.4 ± 0.01	1.3 ± 0.01	1.3 ± 0.
Fe	16.2 ± 5.4	14.1 ± 4.8	18.6 ± 2.3	12.1 ± 1.1	14.8 ± 2.2	18.2 ± 1.7	16.5 ± 2
				mg kg⁻¹			
Li	38 ± 1	39 ± 0.1	52 ± 1.1	33 ± 1	55 ± 1	46 ± 2	37 ± 2
Со	18 ± 0.5	17 ± 0.2	22 ± 0.4	10 ± 0.4	19 ± 0.3	22 ± 2	17 ± 0.
v	51 ± 4	64 ± 5	84 ± 2	31 ± 2	52 ± 3	65 ± 4	57 ± 4
Ni	108 ± 3.1	84 ± 2	108 ± 2	85 ± 3	63 ± 1	85 ± 10	94 ± 8
Cr	122 ± 6	104 ± 3	154 ± 3	88 ± 6	64 ± 2	85 ± 9	100 ±
Zn	78 ± 3	79 ± 5	107 ± 3	78 ± 5	195 ± 4	136 ± 28	85 ± 1
Cu	36 ± 2	28 ± 0.6	34 ± 0.6	22 ± 1	69 ± 1	61 ± 3	35 ± 4
As	9 ± 4.5	6 ± 3	9 ± 3	4 ± 1.4	19 ± 5	19 ± 3	10 ± 3
Sr	62 ± 22	41 ± 2	71 ± 2	98 ± 3	45 ± 5	32 ± 10	26 ± 3
Мо	11 ± 0.2	12 ± 0.2	12 ± 0.1	12 ± 0.3	12 ± 0.1	12 ± 0.1	13 ± 1
Cd	7 ± 0.8	7 ± 0.8	7 ± 0.8	7 ± 0.8	7 ± 0.8	7 ± 0.8	7 ± 0.8
Sn	11 ± 0.5	11 ± 0.4	11 ± 0.2	12 ± 0.3	11 ± 0.1	11 ± 0.4	11 ± 0.
Sb	9 ± 1.0	9 ± 1.0	9 ± 0.9	9 ± 1.0	10 ± 1.0	10 ± 1.0	9 ± 0.9
Ва	70 ± 3	109 ± 2	120 ± 3	59 ± 5	139 ± 10	127 ± 12	101 ± 1
Pb	21 ± 0.2	20 ± 0.4	25 ± 0.6	25 ± 1	31 ± 0.7	31 ± 0.5	26 ± 3

Table 2. Elemental concentrations of major and trace elements in soils S1 to S7.

Resuspended PM10 fraction of soils present concentrations higher than bulk soils for most of the elements, both crustal and PTE, in accordance with previous studies demonstrating a higher PTE concentration in fine soil fractions (Maffia et al., 2020b; Padoan et al., 2018). Conversely to previous studies, also major elements, such as Mg, SI, Fe and K, present higher values in soilderived PM10 of some soils.

Soil and soil-derived PM concentrations appear correlated both most of the soils, using Pearson values, apart for soils S3 and S5, soils with the highest concentrations of Cr, Zn and Mg, in particular. At the same time, these soils were the ones with the higher concentration of particles <  $10 \mu m$ .

248

	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S7			
	g kg <sup>-1</sup> PM <sub>10</sub>									
Mg	24 ±12	42 ± 29	32 ± 19	51 ± 34	141 ± 27	22 ± 11	25 ± 13			
AI	75 ±31	73 ± 30	53 ± 24	85 ± 35	35 ± 21	41 ± 24	51 ± 12			
к	19 ±4	29 ± 8	12.4 ± 1.4	32 ± 6	9.8 ± 1.5	18 ± 6	22 ± 3			
Mn	1.9 ± 0.7	2.1 ± 0.6	1.1 ± 0.4	$2.2 \pm 0.5$	$1.6 \pm 0.4$	$0.7 \pm 0.4$	2.0 ± 0.1			
Fe	71 ± 20	77 ± 19	54 ± 0.4	80 ± 11	60 ± 24	89 ± 55	62 ± 3			
				mg kg⁻¹						
Li	84 ± 15	74 ± 22	59 ± 30	89 ± 14	47 ± 12	54 ± 9	50 ± 18			
Со	89 ± 22	124 ± 34	123 ± 49	199 ± 46	111 ± 41	85 ± 16	93 ± 26			
V	123 ± 15	129 ± 20	152 ± 42	172 ± 9	121 ± 50	84 ± 8	94 ± 7			
Ni	81 ± 29	169 ± 37	395 ± 24	219 ± 46	341 ± 16	74 ± 16	102 ± 21			
Cr	174 ± 17	383 ± 154	1298 ± 148	504 ± 79	652 ± 216	192 ± 7	182 ± 21			
Zn	135 ± 53	160 ± 70	229 ± 140	213 ± 98	243 ± 156	151 ± 99	160 ± 89			
Cu	120 ± 22	126 ± 10	136 ± 33	104 ± 5	166 ± 10	163 ± 48	121 ± 4			
As	17 ± 4	31 ± 9	22 ± 8	24 ±2	16 ± 11	10 ± 0.2	18 ± 3			
Sr	57 ± 7	78 ± 15	70 ± 11	84 ± 16	70 ±28	77 ± 17	57 ± 4			
Мо	11 ± 3	12 ± 3	39 ± 16	21 ± 7	54 ± 19	20 ± 3	14 ± 3			
Cd	4.1 ± 2.1	5.2 ± 2.5	18 ± 8	9.6 ± 3.9	26 ± 11	7.4 ± 3.1	6.2 ± 2.5			
Sn	31 ± 27	36 ± 42	45 ± 46	19 ± 17	61 ± 30	16 ± 11	18 ± 3			
Sb	6.2 ± 2.5	7.6 ± 2.9	22 ± 11	13 ± 6	31 ± 16	10 ± 4	8.5 ± 3.5			
Ва	443 ± 134	557 ± 70	591 ± 135	710 ± 36	586 ± 112	463 ± 34	395 ± 11			
Pb	44 ± 17	49 ± 14	46 ± 0.4	62 ± 8	81 ± 2	32 ± 8	50 ± 2			

Table 3. Elemental concentrations of major and trace elements in soil-derived PM10.

The ERs, thus the enrichment in soil-emitted PM<sub>10</sub> compared to the bulk soils, varied largely between the samples. Values for selected elements are reported in Figure 4. Increases of elemental content, as compared to the original soil, up to 19, 10, 5, 5, 4, 4, and 3 times were observed for PTE such as Co, Cr, Ni, Cu, Mo, Cd and Pb, respectively. The enrichment in elements was more pronounced for some soils, as S4, the sandier one, and S5, the soil containing more OC.

257



258 259

260

#### 261 4. DISCUSSION

The soils presented a wide range of sand and silt quantities, well representing the variety of the 262 263 agricultural soils used for cereal cultivation in the Po plain. The observation of the EP curves 264 (Figure 2) revealed an exponential relation among the EP and soil moisture content. It was also observed that the slope of the curve, the maximum emission value (at dry soil conditions), and the 265 threshold moisture content at which no further emission is produced, varied according to soil type. 266 The implemented log-linear regression model allowed to better understand and describe the 267 influence of moisture, texture, and soil organic carbon content on the emissions. Soil moisture was 268 shown to be the main emission driver, being negatively correlated with the EP. The granulometry 269 also significantly affected the EP, with the sand to silt ratio being the most useful for model 270 calculations, probably because in our set of soils these two parameters had a higher variability 271 272 than the clay fraction, thus better representing the soil set. Indeed, single parameters, such as the total PM10 content in the soil were not good predictors of soil EPs, having low correlation 273 coefficients. 274

Comparing these results with previous studies we can hypothesize that the choice among Clay/Silt
 ratio and Sand/Silt ratio for representing soil texture in regression models has to be made
 according to the textural characteristics of the analysed soil samples.

Higher Sand/Silt ratios implied lower emissions, as most of the  $PM_{10}$  particles belong to the silt fraction of the soils. This finding is in slight contrast with that of Madden et al. (2010), who observed a better agreement of the emissions with the Silt/Clay ratio although also in that case, a higher silt fraction implied higher emission.

The importance of ratios is evident also in the result of the soil S3, which had the highest fraction of <10µm particles (51.4%) and high clay but a relatively balanced silt/clay ratio, being the second lowest between our soils. This low ratio resulted in low emissions.

The findings of this study confirmed that utilizing two soil fractions to predict the emission is generally more effective and reliable than just using silt as a single predictor, as suggested by Carvacho et al. (2001) and also as suggested for  $PM_{10}$  emissions due to traffic in the case of unpaved roads (EPA, 1998). The soil organic carbon content also has a significant effect on the EP, with high OC corresponding to high emissions. The combined effects observed for soil moisture, texture and OC are in accordance with previous findings by Funk et al. (2008), although the results they presented were obtained from a range of soils with more extreme characteristics.

292 As for the effect detected for soil OC, the observed emission enhancement is probably due to different factors; the first one is possibly linked to the density of organic particles, lower than 293 mineral particles especially in the case of dry soils, a second factor could have been connected to 294 295 the fact that organic particles in soils are often in the  $< PM_{10}$  range and, therefore, when there is a 296 higher OC content, more fine particles can be potentially emitted. This finding agrees with those of 297 Funk et al. (2008), who found a similar relationship with soil humus content. Nonetheless, a higher OC content could also favor soil structure, which can reduce the emissions (Madden et al., 2009; 298 Tatarko et al., 2020). 299

In conclusion, our calculations based on soils used in cereal cultivation because it is the most common practice in floodplain fields in Europe, but the emission potential estimation is applicable to every soil with similar characteristics. The EPs are intended as maximum emission values for agronomical practices such as ploughing or harrowing, and they are applicable to every crop type and soil to study the emission factors dependence with soil moisture.

To do so, an equation is proposed, to adapt the obtained EP curves to emissions from agricultural operations, allowing to estimate emission factors (EF; mg m<sup>-2</sup>) for different soils at different moisture levels:

308

$$EF = \frac{EF^*}{EP^*} EP_M$$

Where  $EF^*$  and  $EP^*$  are, respectively, the EF measured in field conditions on a specific soil and with a specific moisture content and the EP related for that soil and moisture, and  $EP_M$  is the EP for all other moisture levels needed to define an EF curve. This procedure has been applied on the EF (8.9 mg m<sup>-2</sup>) experimentally found for rotary harrowing operations from Maffia et al. (2020b) and the graphical output is shown in Figure 5. Soil characteristics are reported in the original paper. The EF\*/EP\* ratio could be proposed as a factor identifying the effect of the mechanical tilling implements used for agricultural operations. Further studies should be performed to calculate and compare EF\*/EP\* ratios for different agricultural operations.



#### 318

Figure 5. EF curve estimated for rotary harrowing operations (calculated from Maffia et al., 2020b)

The concentrations of trace elements in soils and soil-originated PM (Table 2 and 3) resulted very 321 322 different. Generally, an increase of the elemental contents in  $PM_{10}$  as compared to the original soils was observed. The elements exhibiting the highest enrichment are the ones regarded as crustal-323 related, according to previous studies in the same area (Padoan et al., 2017; Biasioli et al., 2012). 324 Chromium, Ba, Co, Mg, Ni and Fe were the elements mostly accumulated in the emitted 325 particulate. Although not all of them are considered toxic, all of them were found at very high 326 concentrations in all samples and, for PTE such as Cr, these values could be a cause of concern 327 328 for operator's safety during agricultural activities, as highlighted during a previous trial (Maffia et al., 329 2020).

It has been observed that the soils in which the ER (Figure 4) raised to the highest levels (S4 and S5) had particular characteristics. Soil 4 was the sandiest one and S5 had a very high fraction of particles <10  $\mu$ m. This behavior is in accordance with previous studies and could be due to the fact that these elements are mostly bound to the clay fraction of soils, which has a higher prevalence of adsorbing phase, such as iron oxides and organic material with high specific surface (Padoan et al., 2020; 2017; Ajmone-Marsan et al., 2008). Moreover, S5 was the one with the highest OC content, which had an emission enhancement effect. 337

#### 338 5. CONCLUSIONS

339 An easy to build and low-cost soil resuspension chamber has been tested to assess PM<sub>10</sub> EP from 340 soil and study the chemical characteristics of the emitted  $PM_{10}$ . The Emission Potential (EP) of 7 different soils, representing the variety of soil types for the cereal cropping area in the North-West 341 342 of Italy, has been estimated at different moisture contents, obtaining soil-specific EP curves. A log-343 linear regression model, based on soil moisture, Sand/silt ratio and organic carbon content, was developed to describe the effect that those variables had on the emissions. The model showed a 344 good fit to the experimental data and it will be possible to implement it, in order to obtain specific 345 346 EF curves for typical cropping operations (e.g. tillage, harrowing, sowing etc.) on North-West Italian soils but also on different soils with similar characteristics, allowing to estimate emission factors 347 (EF; mg m<sup>-2</sup>) for different soils at different moisture levels with limited effort. This will allow to 348 overcome the difficulty of perming field trials in several moisture conditions to retrieve reliable EFs. 349 The elemental content of both major and trace elements in soil-originated PM<sub>10</sub> resulted higher 350 than in the original soil itself. It has been observed that the soils in which the ERs were the highest 351 were the ones with the higher clay and PM<sub>10</sub>. The increase ratios reached one order of magnitude 352 for some elements such as Cr, Co and Ba, reaching values of concern with regard to the operator's 353 354 safety during agricultural activities.

355

#### 356 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been partly funded from the project "Valutazione delle emissioni di materiale particolato dalle operazioni colturali e di trasformazione aziendale del mais" (Evaluation of PM emission from cropping operation and first transformation of Maize), by Fondazione Cassa di Risparmio di Torino, grant number 2018.2273.

361

#### 362 REFERENCES

- Ajmone-Marsan, F., Biasioli, M., Kralj, T., Grčman, H., Davidson, C.M., Hursthouse, A.S., Madrid,
   L., Rodrigues, S., 2008. Metals in particlesize fractions of the soils of five European cities.
   Environmental Pollution 152, 73–81. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2007.05.020</u>
- ARPA Piemonte, 2014. Analisi ambientale sulla contaminazione diffusa (suolo e acque sotterranee) del territorio regionale per la definizione di valori di fondo per diverse categorie di inquinanti (in Italian). <u>https://www.arpa.piemonte.it/approfondimenti/temi-</u> <u>ambientali/suolo/relazione-suolo</u> (accessed 07 December 2020).
- Avecilla, F., Panebianco, J.E., Buschiazzo, D.E., 2017. Meteorological conditions during dust (PM
   10) emission from a tilled loam soil: Identifying variables and thresholds. Agricultural and
   Forest Meteorology 244–245, 21–32. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.05.016</u>
- Biasioli, M., Fabietti, G., Barberis, R., Ajmone-Marsan, F., 2012. An appraisal of soil diffuse
   contamination in an industrial district in northern Italy. Chemosphere 88, 1241-1249.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.03.081">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.03.081</a>

- Brunekreef, B., Holgate, S.T., 2002. Air pollution and health. Lancet 360, 1233-1242.
   <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(02)11274-8</u>
- Carvacho, O.F., Ashbaugh, L.L., Brown, M.S., & Flocchini, R.G., 2001. Relationship between san
   joaquin valley soil texture and pm10 emission potential using the UC Davis dust
   resuspension test chamber. Transactions of the ASAE, 44(6), 1603.
- Colombo, C., Miano, T. (eds) (2015) Metodi di Analisi chimica del suolo, 3rd edn. Società Italiana
   della Scienza del Suolo. Pubblicità & Stampa, Modugno (BA). (in Italian)
- EEA, 2020. European Union Emission Inventory Report 1990-2018 under the UNECE Convention
   on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (LRTAP), EEA Report No 05/2020. European
   Environment Agency. <a href="https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/european-union-emission-inventory-report-1990-2018">https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/european-union-emission-</a>
   inventory-report-1990-2018
- Funk, R., Reuter, H.I., Hoffmann, C., Engel, W., Öttl, D., 2008. Effect of moisture on fine dust
   emission from tillage operations on agricultural soils. Earth Surface Processes and
   Landforms 33, 1851–1863. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1737</u>
- Galindo, N., Yubero, E., Nicolás, J.F., Varea, M., Crespo, J., 2018. Characterization of metals in
   PM1 and PM10 and health risk evaluation at an urban site in the western Mediterranean.
   Chemosphere, 201, 243-250. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.02.162</u>
- Gill, T.E., Zobeck, T.M. Stout, J.E., 2006. Technologies for laboratory generation of dust from
   geological materials. Journal of hazardous materials, 132(1), 1-13.
- Holmén, B.A., James, T.A., Ashbaugh, L.L., Flocchini, R.G., 2001. Lidar-assisted measurement of
   PM10 emissions from agricultural tilling in California's San Joaquin Valley Part II:
   emission factors. Atmospheric Environment 35, 3265–3277. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(00)00519-7">https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(00)00519-7</a>
- IUSS Working Group WRB, 2015. World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2014, update 2015,
   International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps.
   World Soil Resources Reports No. 106. FAO, Rome
- Jones, A, Fernandez-Ugalde, O., Scarpa, S., 2020. LUCAS 2015 Topsoil Survey. Presentation of
   dataset and results, EUR 30332 EN, Publications Office of the European Union:
   Luxembourg. 2020, ISBN 978-92-76-21080-1, doi:10.2760/616084
- Kendall, M., Brown, L., Trought, K., 2004. Molecular adsorption at particle surfaces: a PM toxicity
   mediation mechanism. Inhalation Toxicology 16(1), 99-105.
   https://doi.org/10.1080/08958370490443187
- Madden, N. M., Southard, R. J., Mitchell, J. P., 2009. Soil water content and soil disaggregation by
   disking affects PM 10 emissions. Journal of environmental quality, 38(1), 36-43.
- Madden, N.M., Southard, R.J., Mitchell, J.P., 2010. Soil water and particle size distribution
   influence laboratory-generated PM10. Atmospheric Environment 44, 745–752.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.11.044</u>
- Maffia, J., Dinuccio, E., Amon, B., Balsari, P., 2020a. PM emissions from open field crop
  management: emission factors, assessment methods and mitigation measures a review.
  Atmospheric Environment 226, 117381. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117381</u>
- Maffia, J., Balsari, P., Padoan, E., Ajmone-Marsan, F., Aimonino, D. R., Dinuccio, E., 2020b.
   Evaluation of particulate matter (PM10) emissions and its chemical characteristics during
   rotary harrowing operations at different forward speeds and levelling bar heights.
   Environmental Pollution 265, 115041. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115041">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115041</a>
- MATTM, Italian Republic, 2006. Decree of the Ministry of the Environment "Decreto Legislativo
   152/2006, norme in materia ambientale", Gazzetta Ufficiale della Repubblica Italiana 88,
   Supplemento 96/L (in Italian)
- Mendez, M.J, Panebianco, J.E., Buschiazzo, D.E., 2013. A new dust generator for laboratory dust
   emission studies. Aeolian Research 8, 59-64. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aeolia.2012.10.007</u>

- 425 MiPAF, Ministero per le Politiche Agricole e Forestali, 1997. Metodi di Analisi fisica del suolo.
   426 Società Italiana della Scienza del Suolo. Franco Angelo, Milano (in Italian)
- Moreno, T., Amato, F., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Elvira, J., Gibbons, W., 2009. Bedrock controls on
   the mineralogy and chemistry of PM10 extracted from Australian desert sediments.
   Environmental Geology 57, 411. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00254-008-1312-2</u>
- Öttl, D., Funk, R., 2007. PM emission factors for farming activities by means of dispersion
   modeling. Landbauforsch Völkenrode SH 308, 173-177.
- Padoan, E., Malandrino, M., Giacomino, A., Grosa, M., Lollobrigida, F., Martini, S., Abollino, O., 432 2016. Spatial distribution and potential sources of trace elements in PM10 monitored in 433 rural sites Piedmont Region. Chemosphere 145, 434 urban and of 495-507. 435 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.11.094
- Padoan, E., Rome, C., Ajmone Marsan, F., 2017. Bioaccessibility and size distribution of metals in
  road dust and roadside soils along a peri-urban transect. Sci. Total Environ. 601-602, 8998. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.05.180</u>
- Padoan E., Amato F., 2018. Vehicle Non-Exhaust Emissions: Impact on Air Quality, In: NonExhaust Emissions, An Urban Air Quality Problem for Public Health; Impact and Mitigation
  Measures (Eds. Amato F), pp 21-65. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-811770-5.00002-9</u>
- Padoan, E., Romè, C., Mehta, N., Dino, G.A., De Luca, D.A., Ajmone-Marsan, F., 2020.
  Bioaccessibility of metals in soils surrounding two dismissed mining sites in Northern Italy.
  International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-020-02938-z</u>
- 446 Pietrodangelo, A., Salzano, R., Rantica, E., Perrino, C. (2013). Characterisation of the local topsoil
   447 contribution to airborne particulate matter in the area of Rome (Italy). Source profiles.
   448 Atmospheric Environment 69, 1-14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.11.059</u>
- R Core Team, 2019. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
   Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL.
- Regione Piemonte, 2000. L.R. n. 42 del 7 aprile 2000 Bonifica e ripristino ambientale dei siti
   inquinati, Bollettino Ufficiale della Regione Piemonte (in Italian).
- 453RegionePiemonte,2020.GeoportalePiemonte.454<a href="http://www.geoportale.piemonte.it/geocatalogorp/?sezione=mappa">http://www.geoportale.piemonte.it/geocatalogorp/?sezione=mappa</a> (accessed 21 December4552020)
- Sharratt, B., Auvermann, B., 2014. Dust pollution from agriculture. In: Van Alfen, N.K., editor.
   Encyclopedia of Agriculture and Food Systems. Volume 2. Cambridge, MA, Elsevier
   Academic Press. p. 487-504.
- Soil Science Division Staff (2017) Soil survey manual. In: Ditzler C, Scheffe K, Monger HC (eds)
   USDA handbook 18. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC
- Soleimanian E., Taghvaee, S., Mousavi A., Sowlat M.H., Hassanvand M.S., Yunesian M., Naddafi
   K., Sioutas C., 2019. Sources and Temporal Variations of Coarse Particulate Matter (PM) in
   Central Tehran, Iran. Atmosphere 2019, 10, 291. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos10050291</u>
- 464Tatarko, J., Mucharski, M., Li, Ho., Li, Hu., 2020. PM2.5 and PM10 emissions by abrasion of465agricultural soils, Soil and Tillage Research 200, 104601.466<a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2020.104601">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2020.104601</a>
- Tonne, C., Halonen, J.I., Beevers, S.D., Dajnak, D., Gulliver, J., Kelly, F.J., et al., 2016. Long-term
   traffic air and noise pollution in relation to mortality and hospital readmission among
   myocardial infarction survivors. International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health
   219, 72-78 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2015.09.003">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2015.09.003</a>
- 471U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1998. Emission Factor Documentation for AP-42,472UnpavedRoads,FinalReport.Onlineresource:

- 473 https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2020-10/documents/ap-
- 474 <u>42\_13.2.2\_background\_report\_unpaved\_roads.pdf</u> (accessed 10-01-2021)
- Wu, F., Kong, S., Yan, Q., Wang, W., Liu, H., Wu, J., 2020. Sub-type source profiles of fine
  particles for fugitive dust and accumulative health risks of heavy metals: a case study in a
  fast-developing city of China. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Control Ser. 1-20. DOI: 10.1007/s11356020-08136-1
- 479