



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Mélanges in flysch-type formations: Reviewing geological constraints for a better understanding of complex formations with block-in-matrix fabric

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1807914 since 2024-12-14T19:15:17Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.enggeo.2021.106289

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)





This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

[Ogata, K., Festa, A., Pini G.A., and Pogacnik, Z. (2021) – *Mélanges in flysch-type formations: Reviewing geological constraints for a better understanding of complex formations with blockin-matrix fabric.* Engineering Geology, 293, 106289. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2021.106289]

The publisher's version is available at:

[https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/engineering-geology]

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text: [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2021.106289]

This full text was downloaded from iris-Aperto: https://iris.unito.it/

Mélanges in flysch-type formations: Reviewing geological constraints for a better understanding of complex formations with block-in-matrix fabric

Kei Ogata a, *, Andrea Festa b, Gian Andrea Pini c, Zeljko Pogacnik d

^a Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, dell'Ambiente e delle Risorse (DiSTAR), via vicinale cupa Cintia 21, Complesso

Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, Edificio L, 80126 Napoli, Italy

^b Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università di Torino, Via Valperga Caluso 35, 10125 Torino, Italy

^C Dipartimento di Matematica e Geoscienze, Università di Trieste, Via Weiss 2, 34100 Trieste, Italy

^d Georudeko, Geologija, Rudarjenje in Ekologija, (Private Limited Liability Company: Društvo sa Ograničenom Odgovornošću; D.O.O.), Anhovo 1, 5210 Deskle, Slovenia

ABSTRACT

The geological term "mélange" is used to indicate a vast group of "chaotic rock" units with a block-in-matrix internal arrangement exhumed in orogenic belts. Geotechnically such units are often defined as "complex for-mations", and are commonly associated with flysch-type formations. Such units range from coherent, bedding-concordant broken-formations to fully disrupted, discordant block-in-matrix rock assemblages. Mélanges derive from the progressive disruption and mixing of flysch-type successions from their earliest phases of development to their complete lithification and exhumation. They are the product of sedimentary (submarine mass transport), tectonic (thrust-related shearing) and mud-diapiric (fluid/gas driven remobilization of mud/ shales) processes. Throughout a review of geological data, we show that the different intrinsic anatomical features and relationships with the host rocks shown by these various units allow differential internal anisotropy of the lithological properties, and, depending on their origin, size and distribution, they can variably influence the overall mechanical behavior of the host flysch-type formation. The review of geological data thus provides useful observations to distinguish different types of "complex formations" and block-in-matrix rocks with different geotechnical and mechanical characteristics, and then potentially suggesting the application of different rock or soil mechanics procedures.

1. Introduction

The mechanical behavior of geological rock mixtures composed of fragmented competent lithologies surrounded by weak matrix is highly heterogeneous and a full geotechnical characterization of all types of "chaotic" geological masses is currently missing. Although some studies on the overall strength properties of block-in-matrix materials by in-situ testing have been carried out(Coli et al., 2008, 2011), researches focused mostly on investigating empirical relationships by implementing phys- ical models (Lindquist and Goodman, 1994; Sonmez et al., 2004; Afifi- pour and Moarefvand, 2014; Kalender et al., 2014). Other studies instead, selected agglomerate rocks, detailing for example the strength contrast between volcanic rock blocks and weak tuff matrix (Sonmez et al., 2006; Sonmez et al., 2016). Based on the studies in literature, block-in-matrix bodies can be classified into two main groups, welded and unwelded, depending on the mechanical contrast between block and matrix at their contacts (mainly in terms of cohesion and friction; e. g. Medley and Zekkos, 2011). Besides, based on these results, numerical approaches were also used to evaluate overall strength of block-in-matrix materials and "structurally complex formations" (e.g. Barbero et al., 2012 and Napoli et al., 2018a).

The geotechnical term "structurally complex formation" (see Esu,

1977) describes different types of homogeneous ("Group A") and het-erogeneous ("Groups B and C") rock units with different geotechnical complexities depending on their internal organization, composition and stress history. Part of "Group B" and the "Group C" show an apparently chaotic structure due to the complete dismemberment and transposition of an original coherent bedding. Following Esu (1977), several Authors investigated the geotechnical characteristics of the different types of heterogeneous complex formations and their internal "chaotic" fabric (see, e.g., D'Elia et al., 1998; Marinos and Hoek, 2001; Medley, 2001; Gokceoglu and Zorlu, 2004; Sonmez et al., 2004; Wakabayashi and Medley, 2004; Barla and Perello, 2014; Kalender et al., 2014; Marinos et al., 2019; Napoli et al., 2018b, 2020). As a general consideration, classical soil or rock mechanics procedures can be hardly applied in the geotechnical characterization of such complex formations, causing a high complexity in terms of predictability and reliability of any geotechnical model. This is because the classic geotechnical and geo- mechanical characterizations consider the internal "chaotic" arrange- ment of most complex formations as "unstructured and unpredictable" with an apparently random distributions of blocks in a matrix, without taking into account the entire geological background. Considering the latter, dedicated papers clearly document that different types of "chaotic" rock units (or mélange, the more widely used geological term, see below) exist and show different internal organizations (e.g., Raymond, 1984; Cowan, 1985; Pini, 1999; Festa et al., 2019 and reference therein), suggesting their potentially different geotechnical and me-chanical properties.

In the geotechnical literature, classic flysch units, represented by well-bedded turbidite sequences, are usually found in close association with the complex formations (e.g., Marinos et al., 2019 and reference therein). Genetic relationships among them were inferred already at the end of the 19th century. In fact, flysch-type turbiditic successions (either siliciclastic and carbonatoclastic) sometimes appear pervasively dis- rupted and folded, with this progressive deformation ultimately ending in isolated, single bed chunks or fragments (i.e., blocks), or entire bed packages (megablocks, olistoliths, floaters), enclosed in a matrix of highly deformed fine-grained material. A characteristic block-in-matrix fabric is therefore common in these units, along with a mesoscopic to microscopic scaly fabric (see Mutti et al., 2009 and references therein).

This type of complex formation shows a marked contrast with the classic flysch units and was called "wildflysch" by early Alpine geologists (Kaufmann, 1886). On the same line, the block-in-clayey-matrix com-plex formations of the Apennines were classically termed from geolo-gists as "Argille scagliose", "Argille Varicolori" and "Argille brecciate" (see, e.g., Ogniben, 1953; Selli, 1962; Lentini, 1979) or defined and mapped as "Chaotic Complex" (see, e.g., Abbate and Sagri, 1970; Boccaletti and Coli, 1982), while the highly deformed rock units underlying the far- travelled Helminthoid Flysch units of the Western Alps and Apennines were collectively named 'basal complexes', the latter showing different degrees of stratal disruption up to "chaotic" rocks (see discussions in Mutti et al., 2009; Camerlenghi and Pini, 2009 and further references therein).

In this paper we present a review from the geological point of view of different flysch-associated complex formations, observed in notable examples of the Northern Apennines, Pyrenees and Dinarides, doc- umenting that they show different internal block-in-matrix arrange- ments according to the different processes/mechanisms of their formation. Our results show that this geological-based distinction and the recognition of different, diagnostic, block-in-matrix arrangement may thus provide useful information to take into account for planning the geotechnical characterization of different flysch-type "complex for-mations" and related possible practical implementation.

2. Geological versus geotechnical terminology and their link

The geotechnical term "heterogeneous complex formations" (see Esu, 1977) corresponds to the general geological term "chaotic rock units". Both these terms identify different degrees of disrupted units which have in common a block-in-matrix fabric with hard/competent blocks embedded in a softest/weakest matrix. The term block-in-matrix fabric, firstly proposed in the geological literature (see Berkland et al.,

1972; Silver and Beutner, 1980; Raymond, 1984) was later adopted in the geotechnical literature with the term "bimrocks" (block-in-matrix rocks;Medley (1994). The term "bimsoils" (block-in-matrix soil) was later proposed in the engineering literature to differentiate those units with blocks embedded in a soil-like matrix (e.g., Medley and Goodman,

1994). These terms have been formalized to encompass a vast range of geological rock masses characterized by an internal "chaotic" arrangement, including "complex formations", "chaotic rock units", "block-in- matrix" units, but also conglomerates, breccias, coarse pyroclastic deposits, glacial tillites and fault rocks. They were originally intended to provide a focus on the geotechnical properties to meet engineers' standards, at the expenses of the intrinsic geological information, often considered as complementary. However, the use of these general, nongeological terms comprise the description of a wide range of block-in- matrix fabrics which are not geologically comparable one to each other and, importantly, show very different and not-comparable internal block-in-matrix organization and, therefore, different geotechnical characteristics. Therefore, the use of a correct geological terminology, aimed to distinguish and identify different types of chaotic rock units, does not represent a mere academic exercise but it is useful to indicate different "complex formations" with different internal organization and then, likely different geotechnical characteristics, mainly due to the various intrinsic orientation of the consequent mechanical anisotropies (see below).

From the geological point of view, the term *mélange* has been increasingly preferred to identify mappable geological units (at 1:25.000 scale) composed by block-in-matrix rocks characterized by the occurrence of "exotic" lithologies (Fig. 1). In particular, the term "exotic" is used to define elements "foreign" with respect to the matrix, indicating blocks/clasts not sourced from the surrounding lithological units (e.g., different lithological and/or mineralogical composition, lithification/compaction degree, metamorphic degree, etc.), whereas the term "native" is used to indicate "intraformational" elements origi- nated from the disruption of the country rocks (Fig. 1). The matrix is formally defined as "deformed" or "fragmented", resulting from the interaction and superposition of different deformation processes (see, among many others, Hsü, 1968, Hsü, 1974; Cloos, 1982; Raymond,

1984; Cowan, 1985; Pini, 1999; Vannucchi and Bettelli, 2002; Festa et al., 2012, 2019).

On the other hand, the term "broken formation" is used to define a

stratally-disrupted and fragmented rock unit (Fig. 1), still with a block- in-matrix fabric, but with no evidence of mixing and thus no occurrence of exotic blocks (Hsü, 1968; Cowan, 1985). Broken formations represent intermediate units recording a gradual transition from a bedded and coherent succession to a fully dismembered block-in-matrix fabric (see Fig. 1), and therefore they still maintain their original lithological, geometrical and chronological internal coherence (Hsü, 1968; Ray-mond, 1984; Cowan, 1985; Pini, 1999).

Such terms, mélanges and broken formations, should be used with a

strictly descriptive meaning, implying no genetic connotation. Their block-in-matrix fabric can be achieved through different geological processes, such as tectonic, sedimentary or intrusive (i.e., mud/shales/ salt diapirism) process of mixing and stratal disruption, acting from the early stages of plastic soft-sediment to the later stages of brittle and ductile deformation postdating lithification (see Festa et al., 2019 and references therein). Accordingly, these units can be distinguished in tectonic, sedimentary and mud-diapiric mélanges/broken formations based on their different internal block-in-matrix arrangement of me- chanical discontinuities achieved during tectonic deformation, sub-aqueous sedimentary mass wasting, and mud/shale diapirism, respectively, and on their relationships with the host rock. The significance in geotechnical engineering of the different types of chaotic rock units, whose geological name identify different internal arrangement and mechanical properties, has been overlooked this far.

In this framework, tectonic mélanges and sedimentary mélanges

represent block-in-matrix rock units characterized by stratal disruption and lithological mixing related to faulting-shearing processes, and by subaqueous depositional (gravitational) processes, respectively. Instead, in diapiric mélanges, fragmentation and mixing are achieved by mechanical abrasion of the host rock during the active intrusion of the matrix material (see, e.g., Higgins and Saunders, 1974; Deville, 2009; Festa et al., 2019). Along with flysch- (and molasse-) type bedded units, these three main types of mélange/broken formations are fundamental components of exhumed orogenic belts as they are ubiquitously repre-sented in the Alpine-type foreland system, both spatially and temporally (Fig. 2).

Notably, the term "olistostrome" was classically and separately introduced (Flores, 1956, 1959) for block-in- shaly/clayey matrix rocks originated from subaqueous mass transport (i.e., downslope motion) processes (see, e.g., Hsü, 1974; Raymond, 1984; Cowan, 1985; Pini,

1999; Camerlenghi and Pini, 2009; Festa et al., 2016). In general, sedimentary mélanges (and olistostromes) are composite sedimentary bodies displaying complex internal and external structural relationships, developed during single or multiple subaqueous mass wasting events (sliding, slumping, debris flow, blocky flow), and as such, following stratigraphic principles of both superposition and crosscutting (see, e.g., Lucente and Pini, 2008; Festa et al., 2016; Ogata et al., 2020).



Fig. 1. Conceptual diagram showing a gradual transition from an undeformed, flysch-type lithology to a mélange (mixed rock) passing through a broken formation (un-mixed rock; modified from Festa et al., 2019). Outcrop examples are from the Eocene Canetolo Formation exhumed at the Cinque Terre, La Spezia (Northern Apennines, Italy).



Fig. 2. Schematic cartoon illustrating a profile across an ideal Alpine-type foreland basin (flysch to molasse) with subdivision of the depositional settings and the typical localisation of sedimentary, tectonic and diapiric mélange units. Note the reworking and recycling of these processes and products through time (modified from Festa et al., 2019).

Ζ

3. Reappraising the block-in-matrix rocks tripartition

In block-in-matrix rocks (i.e., mélanges and broken formations), the dominant contribution by sedimentary, tectonic or diapiric processes (Fig. 3) can be discriminated in the field on the basis of different diag- nostic meso- to map-scale, field-based structural-stratigraphic criteria (see, Festa et al., 2019 and references therein). Based on a review of our own data and those available worldwide from the literature, we use above all the mean aspect ratio (i.e., long axis/short axis) of clasts (< 1 m in diameter) and blocks (> 1 m in diameter) to quantitatively compare the block-in-matrix fabric displayed by these different mélanges. As a result, block-in-matrix rocks formed by different processes are charac- terized by different diagnostic block-in-matrix arrangement, as described in the following.

3.1. Sedimentary mélanges

Sedimentary mélanges (or olistostromes), originated from submarine slope failures (see e.g. Ogata et al., 2020), show a highly disordered, and scale-invariant block-in-matrix fabric, contrasting with the generally more "ordered" internal structure of their tectonic counterparts (Fig. 3; see below). In these units, fragments of different size (from centimeters- to hundreds of meters), lithology, age and shape are randomly embedded within a fine-grained matrix (Fig. 4A, B, C). This internal arrangement outlines a homogeneous, isotropic texture from micro- scopic to cartographic scale. A distinctive element is the clastic (brec- ciated) matrix represented by an unsorted mixture consisting of angular- to rounded, sub-millimeters to millimeters particles of various compo- sition (Abbate et al., 1970; Elter and Trevisan, 1973; Pini, 1999; Cowan and Pini, 2001; Vannucchi and Bettelli, 2010; Festa et al., 2016).

Blocks and clasts are typically polymictic and maintain the original fabric of source units (Fig. 4D): since sedimentary mélanges derive from slope failure and consequent mass transport processes, internal con- stituents may be sourced from lithologies having different ages and diagenetic/metamorphic grade, and thus different mechanical proper- ties. The degree of mixing depends on the depth of the slope failure detachment, the physiography of the basin, the type of failure propa-gation (progressive vs. retrogressive, Masson et al., 2006), and the substrate erosion during the phases of transport and emplacement (see Ogata et al., 2019). These multi-sized blocks show mainly irregular to angular shapes, sometimes with overall tabular geometries defined by the internal layering of the involved bedsets. Overall, in sedimentary mélanges the aspect ratio of the internal blocks/clasts ranges between 1.4 and 2.5 (see Fig. 4E).

The basal contact of sedimentary mélanges can be either erosive or not, with spaced or crude scaly fabric, shear banding, and fluidal structures in the lower matrix interval (see, e.g., Pini, 1999; Ogata et al., 2012, Barbero et al., 2017). Such structures are commonly oriented at



Fig. 3. Hypothetical map-view and cross-sectional representation of sedimentary, tectonic and diapiric mélanges and their relationship with the host formations as observed in the field.



Fig. 4. Field examples of sedimentary mélanges (and olistostromes) with representation of their ideal anatomy and quantification of the block-in-matrix fabric in terms of clast/block aspect ratio. A. Blocky-flow deposit in wedge-top succession. Note the associated turbidite (white arrow) marking the top of the deposit (Early Oligocene Ranzano Formation of the Epiligurian Succession, Northern Apennines, Italy). B. Same unit as described in A. Tens-of-meters-sized blocks of deformed stratified are highlighted (white arrows). C. Typical appearance of an inner foredeep olistostrome with exotic clasts, in contact with the overlying fine-grained, stratified formation (thin-bedded turbidites: Early Oligocene Cervarola Formation, Northern Apennines, Italy). D. Synoptic stratigraphic log of a sedimentary mélange represented as a composite mass transport deposit (see Ogata et al., 2012), with labelling of the main internal components and structures. E. Aspect Ratio (long/short axis) vs. long axis diagram for the clasts comprising the matrix of sedimentary mélanges. The field labeled with "block" refers to out-sized slide blocks (i. e. olistholiths), reading out-of-scale values (up to kms across). Modified from Festa et al. (2019).

shear zone (see Ogata et al., 2014; Festa et al., 2016; Fig. 4D). Within this interval the poorly consolidated clasts are plastically deformed and strongly elongated according to the transport direction (Pini et al.,

2012). At the same time a moderate flattening may occur orthogonally due to *syn*-emplacement compaction (Abbate et al., 1981). At microscopic scale, an alignment of clay minerals defines a weak scaly fabric in the basal shear zone, related to dewatering and subsequent pore collapse (e.g., Vannucchi and Bettelli, 2010), while a poorly compacted, open, edge-to-face texture of clay platelets is commonly observed in the rest of the unit (Pini, 1999).

The downward transition below the ductile shear zones (see Fig. 4D) can be gradual passing through a deformed substratum, that is folded and boudinaged beds up to broken formation, or a plastically brecciated fine-grained substratum with fluidal structures suggesting fluid over- pressure and in-situ hydraulic fracturing (Festa et al., 2015), or the transition is sharp coinciding with a single surface, which can be either non-erosive or erosive with scours (see, e.g., Abbate et al., 1970; Pini,

1999). The ductile shear zones make a progressive, upward transition into a disorganized block-in-matrix fabric (Fig. 4D), with the intra- and extrabasinal blocks distributed in the brecciated matrix are character- ized by mechanical clast-size reduction (see Pini, 1999; Vannucchi and Bettelli, 2010).

Although as a mere concept, the aspect of the basal shear zone may resemble one of the typical characters of tectonic mélanges, the matrix of the basal shear zones of sedimentary mélanges is completely different, since it derives from the classic brecciated matrix of the sedimentary mélanges/olistostromes that has been highly sheared and flattened/ stretched.

The matrix is also observed to intrude clasts and blocks, forming clastic injections (Ogata et al., 2012). In this framework several gener- ations of matrix may develop along with the downslope evolution of the sliding mass. These matrix phases are characterized by different sorting, textures, fabric and cementation, providing heterogeneous geotechnical characteristics varying laterally and vertically within the extent of the sedimentary mélange.

The mechanical (strength) contrast between blocks and matrix, which is an important geotechnical component of "structurally complex formations", can be extremely heterogeneous within sedimentary mélanges and olistostromes, as they comprise resedimented products ranging from unconsolidated sediments to fully lithified rock aggre-gates. The volumetric amount of such components changes within the anatomy of a single sedimentary mélange, leading to highly variable geotechnical characteristics both vertically and laterally.

In terms of larger scale stratigraphic relationships, sedimentary mélanges are characterized by roughly lenticular shapes, unconform- ably overlying older stratigraphic (and tectonic) units (see Fig. 3). Such units are intercalated at different levels in bedded sedimentary succes-sions, with lower and upper boundaries represented by unconformity (discontinuity) surfaces. The lower contacts commonly consist of irregular erosional surfaces with overall convex upward shape at tens-to- hundred meters across, and flat-ramp-flat geometries up to kilometers in scale. The upper contact is depositional and commonly conformable, separating the highly deformed material of the sedimentary mélange from the well-bedded overburden. The presence of meter/tens of meters thick packages of hemipelagites and/or very fine-grained turbidites atop the largest and thickest bodies is a common characteristic (see, e.g., Ogata et al., 2016; Tagliaferri and Tinterri, 2016), suggesting the accumulation of displaced masses changed the physiography of the basin for a long time.

In terms of size, sedimentary mélanges preserved in orogenic belts

and exhumed subduction complexes are observed to spread over areas of several thousands of square kilometers (see, e.g., Burg et al., 2008; Alonso et al., 2015; Festa et al., 2016; Ogata et al., 2020), showing close similarities with the well-documented ones recorded in modern sub-marine settings by geophysical means (see, e.g., von Huene et al., 2004; Ogata et al., 2014; Moscardelli and Woods, 2016; Ogata et al., 2020).

3.2. Tectonic mélanges

In general, tectonic mélange are characterized by a "structurally ordered" block-in-matrix fabric (Figs. 3 and 5D) that strongly differs from the "disordered" one of sedimentary mélanges, nonetheless both have the same type of scale-invariant appearance (e.g., Pini, 1999). This structural arrangement is consistent with the orientation of the regional stress field and the general, large-scale tectonic setting in which the block-in-matrix fabric of tectonic mélanges is formed. These mélange units develop in response to different shearing processes acting in the zones of highest strain of faults (fault zone and shear zones) at different crustal levels, causing mechanical crushing of the country rock (hangingwall and footwall damage zones, see Chester and Logan, 1986), which is progressively incorporated and mixed in the core of fault (fault core, Chester and Logan, 1986). Notably, broken formation are the product of the damage zones and a true mélange only develop in the fault core (e.g., Cowan, 1985; Festa et al., 2012). Mélanges roughly bound the width of high strain areas of faults and shear zones, ranging from tens of meters to hundreds of meters in thickness (e.g., Ujiie, 2002; Festa et al., 2012).

The frequency and/or location of extra-formational, "exotic" blocks largely depend on the "maturity" of the tectonic mélange, which relates to the efficiency of tectonic mixing. This depends, in turn, on the tec- tonic environment and the rheology reached at local crustal PT conditions. The initial deformation stages are characterized by a poor mixing together with a structural order defined by the distribution of ripped-up, extra-formational blocks and clasts concentrated at the margins of the fault/shear zone (Fig. 5D). This internal arrangement testifies a close lithological correspondence with the hangingwall and footwall sections, which is gradually obliterated by the progressively higher strain and efficiency of mixing processes going from this marginal (transitional) zones into the interior of the body (core zone).

Notably, the relationships between block shapes and size distribu-tions, and their total volume with respect to the matrix provide useful information to reconstruct the bulk viscosity and overall rheology of the shear zone (Grigull et al., 2012). The sequential development of sigmoidal tectonic slices ("horses") in duplex systems, of imbricated thrust systems, thrust splays and/or out-of-sequence thrusts (Kimura and Hori, 1993; Kimura and Mukai, 1991; Wakita, 2012; Escuder- Viruete and Baumgartner, 2014), increase the number of faults/shear zones and, thus, extend the total volume of disrupted and mixed rocks. The gross size of exhumed tectonic mélanges is in agreement with direct measurements of the thickness (i.e., up to hundreds of meters) of shear zones associated with subduction plate interfaces and thrust splays down to 15 km depth, as recorded in modern and ancient convergent margins (see Rowe et al., 2013).

The thickest and widely distributed examples of tectonically-related "chaotic" complexes (extending for several tens/hundreds of square kilometers, as in the examples of the Apennines of Italy) seem to be actually made of broken formations (see Pini, 1999; Cowan and Pini,

2001; Bettelli and Vannucchi, 2003; see, e.g., Fig. 5A and B), with the "real" tectonic mélanges localised within relatively thinner high strain intervals along faults putting in contact different units of broken for-mations (see Pini, 1999; Cowan and Pini, 2001; Festa et al., 2019).

The size and shape of clasts and blocks, and their arrangement within the mélange and broken formation matrix depend on the types of deformation mechanism (e.g., brittle versus ductile), rheological prop- erties, burial conditions (e.g., fluid pressure, pressure, temperature, mineral transformation), the degrees of consolidation, compaction and lithification, and strain rates. For instance, heterogeneous flattening in poorly consolidated sediments under prevailing coaxial strain develop pinch-and-swell structures and either asymmetric or symmetric boudinage (Fig. 5A, B, C) defining sigmoidal or lens-shaped blocks (e.g.,



Fig. 5. Field examples of tectonic mélanges with representation of their ideal anatomy and quantification of the block-inmatrix fabric in terms of clast/block aspect ratio. A. Phacoidaland lozenge-shaped blocks in scaly fabric matrix. Note the strong preferential alignment of the elements. B. Typical blockin-matrix fabric with sigma-shaped clasts and blocks. C. Detail showing the geometric relationships between indurated blocks and scaly fabric matrix. A, B and C are from the Early Miocene Cervarola Formation (Northern Apennines, Italy). D. Synoptic profile across an hypothetical tectonic melange with labelling of the main internal components and structures. E. Aspect Ratio (long/short axis) vs. long axis diagram for the clasts and blocks comprising tectonic mélanges. Modified from Festa et al. (2019).

Harris et al., 1998; Ujiie, 2002; Festa et al., 2012). This is typical of the deformation ahead and below of principal thrust faults (see, Fisher and Byrne, 1987; Kimura and Mukai, 1991; Meneghini et al., 2009). The more competent the involved beds, the more symmetrical boudinage structure may develop, consequently to the formation of conjugated shear sets. In this framework, tectonic mixing of internal components is mainly controlled by simple shearing.

The lateral continuity of originally layered sediments may be pre-served for several meters although the strong transposition by isoclinal folding with eventual detachment of fold hinges (e.g., Pini, 1999; Van-nucchi and Bettelli, 2002; Bettelli and Vannucchi, 2003). Moreover, as evidence of progressive deformation, stacking and piling up of boudin- aged layers by subsequent thrusting may also occur (Pini, 1999; Cowan and Pini, 2001.

In relatively more competent sediments, with varying mechanical contrast between blocks and matrix, a sequential process of (micro) fracturing, cataclastic banding, and Riedel-type shearing (Tchalenko and Ambraseys, 1970) can further increase the boudinage with a higher fragmentation of the internal components (Kimura et al., 2012).

In lithified carbonate rocks, brittle deformation could occur from the relatively early stages of burial and diagenesis, with the development of Riedel-type shears (R and P planes; Tchalenko and Ambraseys, 1970) kinematically associated with a sigmoidal-shaped pressure solution cleavage (see. e.g., Castellarin et al., 1986; Pini, 1999) causing multi- scale pinch-and-swell of beds (see Fig. 5D). The lenticular to sigmoidal shape of clasts and blocks also relates to slicing and mechanical crushing of the hanging and footwall rocks of the shear zone (e.g., Pettinga, 1982; Cowan, 1985; Byrne, 1984; Ogawa, 1998; Fergusson and Frikken, 2003).

Elongated shape of the blocks may also change to oblate, up to spherical (e.g., Kimura et al., 2012), along with increasing P-T condi-tions during progressive involvement into deeper shear zones (i.e., "flow mélanges" of Cloos, 1982). Overall, the mean aspect ratio (long axis/ short axis) of the blocks belonging to tectonic mélanges ranges between 2.8 and 4.1 (see Fig. 5E).

In tectonic mélanges the matrix typically shows a well pronounced mesoscopic foliation characterized by spaced, disjunctive, and anastomosing planar features (Raymond, 1975; Lundberg and Moore, 1986) organized in a pervasive spacing of anastomosing and polished/striated surfaces (scaly fabric, see, e.g., Pini, 1999, Vannucchi and Bettelli, 2002,

2010; Bettelli and Vannucchi, 2003; Vannucchi et al., 2003). The spacing in particular is highly variable ranging from sub-millimeter in mechanically weak, foliated rocks (e.g., shale, serpentinite), to tens of meters in more competent, unfoliated lithologies, such limestones (Cowan, 1982; Byrne, 1984; Ujiie, 2002; Vannucchi and Bettelli, 2010).

Embedded within this scaly fabric matrix, sigmoidal and lenticular

bed fragments millimeters to centimeters in size can also be found, showing their long-axis aligned to the main shear surfaces as already observed for larger clasts and blocks. Along with the scaly fabric, this structural arrangement defines a general planar anisotropy, which is the mesoscopic tectonic foliation imprinted by the (paleo)tectonic regional stress field (e.g., Vannucchi and Bettelli, 2010).

In a geotechnical framework, the properties of the scaly fabric developed within the matrix play a fundamental role in controlling the anisotropy of the mechanical weakness, which is usually parallel to the scaly fabric trend (Esu, 1977).

At smaller scale, single mappable units of tectonic mélanges commonly appear bow- to lens-shaped (see Fig. 3), depending on the tectonic setting (e.g., contractional, transcurrent), and more generally on the regional stress field. Poor (lateral) stratal continuity and (vertical) ordered superposition characterise the tectonic mélanges (Hsü, 1968). Mélanges formed in compressional settings are deformed according to regimes of thrust tectonics, with geometrical relationships dictated by in- or out-of-sequence propagation. In particular, out-of-sequence thrusting splitting up pre-existing tectonic stacks (e.g., thrust splays, duplex) can efficiently achieve a lithological mixing of blocks. On the other hand, tectonic mélanges associated to transcurrent settings and therefore related to strike-slip stress regimes are put in contact with tectonic units of different ages and nature, according to the overall ki- nematics of the associated fault zone.



Fig. 6. Field examples of diapiric mélanges with representation of their ideal anatomy and quantification of the block-in-matrix fabric in terms of clast/block aspect ratio. A. Lateral-vertical geometrical relationships between sub-horizontal, well-bedded and fine grained turbidite secession and a block-in-matrix body characterized by a dome shape (see interpretation in small inset) B. Mesoscale appearance of the block-in-matrix body shown in A. Note the "fluidal" appearance and the complex folding. A and B are from the Early Miocene Cervarola Formation (Northern Apennines, Italy). C. Synoptic cross- section outlying the anatomy of an hypothetical diapiric mélange with labelling of the main internal components and structures. D. Aspect Ratio (long/short axis) vs. long axis diagram for the clasts and blocks comprising diapiric mélanges. Modified from Festa et al. (2019).

Their upper and lower boundaries can be either tectonic contacts or be present only at the base (thrust tectonics) or at one side (strike-slip tectonics), with an outward gradual transition to a broken formation and/or to a bedded flysch-type succession (see, e.g., Codegone et al., 2012).

3.3. Diapiric mélanges

The distribution of the block-in-matrix fabric in diapiric mélanges (Figs. 3, 6A, B) is strikingly different from the other sedimentary and tectonic counterparts (see above), with an internal architecture defined by a litho-structural zoning, from the margins to the core of the diapiric body (Barber et al., 1986; Barber and Brown, 1988; Orange, 1990; Festa,

2011; Codegone et al., 2012). This internal configuration is mainly due to the combination of fluid overpressure, density disequilibrium, inverted buoyancy, hydrofracturing processes, progressive incorpora-tion of wall rock material and liquidization (i.e., liquefaction + fluid-isation; Allen, 1982). The deformation process is therefore inferred to be related to different states of subsurface flow, consolidation degree and rheological contrast between both the flysch-type layers of the host stratigraphic succession and the diapiric matrix (see, e.g., Pini, 1999; Festa et al., 2012). In close proximity to the intrusive contacts, a marginal/transitional zone is commonly present, in which the block-in-matrix fabric show sub- vertical foliation with mainly phacoidal- to tabular-shaped blocks, dispersed in a fine-grained (shaly or clay/silty) matrix with pervasive anastomosing mm-to cm-scale scaly fabric (Fig. 6C) (Brown and Orange,

1993). Simple shear-related structures (S-C, Riedel; see above) indicate a relative movement of the diapiric body (e.g., Orange, 1990; Festa,

2011). Accordingly, to the overall size of the unit, elongated blocks, ranging in size from decimeters to meters, appear to be clustered close to the contacts due to a velocity gradient in the matrix causing shear localisation in these marginal/transitional zones (e.g., Orange, 1990; Festa, 2011). In terms of mean aspect ratio of blocks (see above), the marginal zone ranges between 2.9 and 3.8 (see Fig. 6D), showing sim- ilarities with tectonic mélanges (i.g. shear-induced fabric). Mechanical fragmentation (ductile and/or brittle, depending on the overall lithifi- cation contrast) and block size reduction may lead to the creation of millimeters- to centimeters-sized elongated and stretched clasts, spread along the shear zones, outlining disaggregation (particulate flow) and cataclastic bands, wisp and tail features and pseudo-pressure shadows. Blocks commonly show fluid overpressure and hydraulic fracturing features (millimeters to several centimeters-sized) represented by in- jections of fine-grained matrix with a millimeter- to centimeter-sized scaly fabric aligned to the walls (see Clennell, 1992; Codegone et al., 2012).

The intensity of the scaly fabric and shear zones, along with the preferential elongation of the major axes of blocks and clasts gradually increase from the interior (i.e., core) to the margins. In the center of a diapiric body blocks and clasts are larger (up to tens of meters in size) and appear commonly angular to sub-angular, matrix-supported, with patchy clustering (e.g., Kopf, 2002; Clennell, 1992; Festa et al., 2019). In this core zone the mean aspect ratio of the blocks (see above) ranges from 1.6 to 3.2 (Orange, 1990; Festa et al., 2019). Moreover, these el-ements are randomly distributed within a non-foliated matrix, commonly preserving highly asymmetrical and disharmonic folds.

As for sedimentary mélanges (see above), there is a theoretical limit

for the size (up to thousands of meters across) and number of undisso-ciated blocks that can be carried and sustained in the diapiric flow, which is dictated by the density and viscosity contrast between matrix and blocks, and the deformation rates beside the driving fluid over-pressure (e.g., Clennell, 1992; Fryer et al., 1999; Barber, 2013).

In some cases, a relatively thin halo (up to decimeters thick) made up by mud breccias with fluidal features oriented parallel to the main walls of the diapir body, is observed to separate the sheared marginal/tran-sitional zone from the pristine host rocks (see Festa, 2011).

At smaller (i.e., cartographic) scales, the intrusive contacts bounding a diapiric mélange show ellipsoidal and lenticular geometries in plan view /see Fig. 3), and variable angles (usually high) with respect to the overall layering of the host succession, which is typically younger than or coeval to the matrix material (e.g., Clennell, 1992; Kopf, 2002; Festa,

2011; Codegone et al., 2012).

The overall size of entire diapiric bodies spans from tens of meters up to tens of kilometers in longest dimension (e.g., Clennell, 1992; Kopf, 2002; Codegone et al., 2012; Barber, 2013; Maekawa et al., 1993; Fryer et al., 1999). In longitudinal profiles, their boundaries usually appear as high-angle intrusive contacts converging downward into a typical "inverted cone"-type shape (or "Christmas tree"-like in case of surface extrusion and outward fringing of mudflow lobes, see, e.g., Deville, 2009).

4. Discussion

In the last decades many efforts have been made in order to provide reliable geotechnical characterization of block-in-matrix rocks. Through implementation of analogue and numerical modelling (Barbero et al.,

2012), as well as experimental petrophysical testing of manufactured (Afifipour and Moarefvand, 2014; Kalender et al., 2014) and natural block-in-matrix rocks (Sonmez et al., 2006; Coli et al., 2011), crucial findings on their mechanical response have been made, identifying and quantifying parameters such as the volumetric rock proportion (VBP), block count (Bc) and the related tortuosity of failure surfaces (Lindquist,

1994; Lindquist and Goodman, 1994; Wakabayashi and Medley, 2004; Sonmez et al., 2016). Nonetheless, "chaotic" rock complexes and especially block-in-matrix rocks are routinely modelled as intrinsically het- erogeneous, but at the same time somewhat isotropic, following the pre concept ofunstructured and "unpredictable" media, being considered as massive assemblages of fine-grained (i.e., concrete-like) matrix material with randomly distributed multi-sized blocks.

On the contrary, geological observations clearly show that different types of complex formations with different and diagnostic block-in-matrix internal organizations occur representing the product of different deformational processes that occur in different geological environment (Fig. 3). These different diagnostic block-in-matrix fabrics are repetitive at different scales and can be predictable at least in part when geological observations and identification of processes of their formation are correctly applied. In fact, due to the different internal and external structural-stratigraphic attributes contrasting with the sur- rounding well-bedded country rocks, sedimentary, tectonic and diapiric mélanges strongly influence the general mechanical behavior of the host flysch-type successions, at the different scales and with different modes, depending on their different block-in-matrix arrangement (i.e., degree of anisotropy). While sedimentary and tectonic mélanges appear clearly separated by the amount of preferentially directed strain recorded by the block-in-matrix fabric (see Fig. 3), diapiric ones show mixed charac- teristics, conforming to the former and latter in terms of core and mar- ginal zones, respectively (see Figs. 4, 5, 6).

In this framework, the main pre-conditioning factors controlling such a behavior are: 1) the different arrangement and characteristics of their boundaries, showing varying relationships (e.g., cut-off angles, transitional vs sharp contacts) with respect to the flysch-like host for-mation, 2)

the shape, size and internal distribution of clasts/blocks, 3) the state of deformation of the matrix (e.g. scaly vs massive fabric), 4) the amount of lithological mixing (i.e. compositional differences be- tween clasts/blocks and matrix) defining the rheological/mechanical contrast between components, and 5) the different internal distribution and lateral-vertical variations of the block-in-matrix fabric features (e.g. volumetric block proportion). These characteristics vary accordingly to the different characteristics of the associated mélange type as depicted in Fig. 3, and more specifically in Fig. 7. In fact, beside the differential mechanical anisotropy intrinsically due to various mélanges anatomies, their differently oriented external contacts provide, for example,



Fig. 7. Flow diagram summarising the progressive deformation from a flysch-type "protolith" to sedimentary, tectonic and diapiric block-in-matrix fabric units in terms of processes (stratal disruption vs. mixing) and products (broken formation vs. mélange).

mechanically weak boundaries for later strain localisation (and there-fore representing weak zones prone to be reactivated by landsliding), and preferential meso-scale fracture-related permeability due to planar discontinuities (e.g., scaly fabric), which are expected to deeply influ-ence the overall petrophysical and physicochemical architecture (e.g. by localised weathering/alteration). A compilation of the geotechnically relevant features displayed by these different mélanges is provided in Table 1.

Slope stability is variably affected by the occurrence of these units, mainly depending on the spatial arrangement of these internal and external mechanical discontinuities with respect to the overall slope gradient and topography (e.g., direction of valleys and hill ridges). Among the most representative showcase examples of such scenarios are those related to the mining operations threatened by the differential anisotropic slope instability due to the depositional architecture of sedimentary megabreccia bodies in flysch-type country rocks such as the Paleocene-Eocene megabeds in NW Slovenia (see Pogačnik et al., 2014).

Notably, apart from the strong morphological convergence of the final mélange appearance, reworking and recycling by consequent interacting processes is also common, such as diapiric bodies originating from buried MTDs or olistostromes, and their subsequent tectonic shearing (see Fig. 1). This polyphased deformation history leads to the genesis of "polygenetic" mélanges, further complicating the overall scenario (Festa et al., 2019).

5. Conclusions

Despite the long-lasting debate focusing on mélanges with a block-in- matrix fabric, the correct recognition and interpretation of the origin and processes of formation of "chaotic" rock complexes related to flysch- type lithologies are usually overlooked, despite the practical implications described this far.

In fact, confusion still lingers among the vast majority of geo-scientists about the correct interpretation of "chaotic" rock units leading to the consequent lack of knowledge needed for correct reconstruction of the tectonic evolution of orogenic belts and exhumed subduction- accretion complexes in which geotechnically heterogeneous flysch-type rocks and stiff clays are exhumed.

In this review we document that different types of mélanges (i.e.,

complex formations) associated with flysch formations, show different internal block-in-matrix arrangements according to the tectonic, sedimentary or diapiric processes of their formation and related deformation mechanisms. These different and diagnostic block-in-matrix fabrics have significant implication of mechanical properties of "complex forma- tions" (e.g., orientation of the scaly fabric and other structural discontinuities) and their geotechnical characterization, according for instance to their different degree of anisotropy and block/matrix ratio. Using geological observations, correct interpretations on the nature of mélanges/complex formations can be outlined, with important benefits in terms of predictability and reliability of any geological and geotech-nical model. In particular, some key points have to be addressed when dealing with "chaotic" block-in-matrix rock complexes:

- the logical lithological coherence of components (i.e., blocks vs. matrix) the associated geodynamic setting of their formation and the associated tectonic history
- the specificity and kinematic consistency in the deformation between blocks and the matrix.

According to these concepts, it is deemed essential to develop a baseline geological constraints backed up by multidisciplinary (e.g. structural, stratigraphic, petrographic, paleontological, geophysical, geotechnical) studies and a reappraisal of high-resolution geological mapping (see also \$ engor, 2014; Festa et al., 2019) to correctly imple- ment practical studies and realistic models dealing with the different types of block-in-matrix rock units (mélanges and broken formations) associated to flysch-type formations around the world, regardless of their location, age, and tectonic history.

Acknowledgements

This work represents a review and reappraisal of several studies we have been conducting on mélanges in modern and ancient subductionaccretion complexes around the world. These studies have been sup-ported by research grants from the Università di Parma (PETROBRAS S. A.; E. Mutti grants) to K.O., Università di Torino (Ricerca Locale "ex

60%" 2018–2020) to A.F.; from the Italian Ministry of Education, Uni- versity and Research ("Finanziamento annuale individuale delle attività base di ricerca" 2017) to A.F. and (PRIN 2010/2011 "GEOPROB" – Geodynamic Processes of Oceanic Basins, n. 2010AZR98L_002) to A.F. and G.A.P., the Università di Trieste (FRA 2013, FRA 2018) to G.A.P., from the Spanish Government (grant CGL2012-34475) to A.F. and G.A. P. We would like to thank the following colleagues and friends who directly and indirectly contributed and supported this effort through the years: J.L., Alonso, E. Barbero, G. Balestro, A. Camerlenghi, A. Braathen, G. Codegone, M. Cloos, D.S. Cowan, F. Dela Pierre, L.P. Fernandez, K.-H. Hisada, C.C. Lucente, P. Vannucchi, A. Marcos, M. Marroni, S. Mittem- pergher, G.F. Moore, E. Mutti, Y. Ogawa, L. Pandolfi, L.A. Raymond, F. Remitti, A. Suarez, P. Tartarotti, J. Wakabayashi, K. Senger, G. Tunis, S. Venturi and Y. Yamamoto. Finally, we would like to deeply thank the Editor-in-Chief Charng Hsein Juang and two anonymous reviewers for their precious inputs.

Table 1

Main geological attributes and relevant geotechnical implications for sedimentary, tectonic and diapiric mélanges.

Table 1 (continued)

Mélange types

ry, tectonic and diapirio	c mélanges.				51			
Mélange types						Sedimentary	Tectonic	Diapiric
	0 II .	T , 1					consistent	fabric and
Block-in-matrix features	Sedimentary Highly disordered	Tectonic Structurally	Diapiric Internal				with the regional to	fluidal features which wrap around
	block-in-matrix fabric (isotropic, scale	ordered block- in-matrix fabric	structural zoning from margins to				stress, type and	the blocks
	independent, fractal texture).	consistent with local to	core of diapiric body				mechanism of deformation	
	Anisotropic block-in-matrix	regional tectonic stress	(from anisotropic to				(brittle vs. plastic),	
	fabric marks the base of bodies	(anisotropic texture). Commonly equivalent to mappable	isotropic)				rheological contrast, consolidation/ lithification degree, strain	
		fault or shear			Fabric and	From isotropic	rate, etc.	Sub-vertical
Bounding contacts and general shape	Map view:	zones Map view:	High to low		texture	texture of	texture with	flow fabric.
	Irregular to sub- parallel contacts	From narrow and elongated	angle intrusive			unsorted liquefied/	planar anisotropy	Core zone: alignment of
	to stratigraphic boundaries of the	to arcuate and lenticular;	contacts. Not following the			fluidized mixture of different grain-	defined by banding, scaly	anastomosing and folded
	host successions. Section view:	aligned to tectonic	original stratal continuity			population of normal	fabric, mesoscopic	poorly- consolidated
	Lenticular at	contacts and				consolidated sediments to	ductile features and	fine-grained sediments
	Lower and upper	Section view:				fluidal features of	foliation,	(irregular
	depositional	Wedge- to				poorly	anastomosing shear zones	axial trends
	contacts as discontinuity	shape. At least				ones. Fluidal	with S-C	plunging
	(unconformity)	one tectonic				features	geometries,	axes).
	surfaces and following original	contact (i.e., fault_thrust				(banding) of the matrix, mostly at	shaped micro-	zone: sub-
	continuity.	strike-slip				the base of the	lithons.	vertical S-C
	Originally	fault). Not			Internal	bodies. Random	Alignment of	fabric Core zone:
	within coherent	original stratal			arrangement	distribution of	elongated	Random
	primary successions	continuity			and discontinuities	equidimensional, and angular-to	clasts to the S- C fabric and	distribution of irregular
Block/matrix contacts	High to low	High to low	High to low			rounded clasts.	shear zones.	shaped clasts. Marginal
	contrast (welding)	contrast (welding)	contrast (welding)			surface, elongated	striation and	zone:
	depending on the consolidation	depending on the	depending on the			clasts are aligned to the sheared	systems of mineral-filled	Alignment of elongated
	state of the	consolidation	consolidation			matrix. Fluidal	veins	clasts to the
	lithologies	state of the	state of the			cleavage and		fluidal fabric
	mass transport	involved in the	lithologies			alignment of		
	event and	tectonic shear	and source			blocks at the body		
	diagenesis/	differential	formation	Blocks	Lithologies	Native (i.e., intra-	Native (i.e.,	Native (i.e.,
	compaction	mineralization				formational) and	intra-	intra-
Block proportions	Variable according to the	Variable according to	Variable according to			formational)	and exotic (i.	and exotic (i.
	stratigraphy of	the structural	zonation of			depending on the	e., extra-	e., extra-
	the deposit (mass	order of the	deformation:			depositional environment:	formational) depending on	formational) depending on
	transport facis)	unit (thrust sequence,	lower in marginal			sedimentary with	the involved	the source and
		shear zone	zones, higher			original	tectonic-	the host
Motein Libologias	Dondom	architecture)	in core zones			stratigraphy	stratigraphic units:	formations
Matrix Linologies	distribution of	ordered fabric	deformation.		Shape/aspect	Angular to	From	Core zone:
	blocks in a	(mesoscopic	Core zone:		ratio	rounded and	phacoidal and tabular to	irregular blocks (mean
	brecciated fine- grained matrix (e	ductile and brittle	plurimeters, irregular non-			tabular (i.e.	lenticular and	aspect ratio:
	g., shale, clay,	foliation, S-C	cylindrical			bedded) blocks	sigmoidal	1.6–3.2).
	marl), siliciclastic	and/or P-R	folds with			with sharp and defined or	shaped blocks (mean aspect	Marginal zone:
	or carbonate (but also	shears, fracture	steeply dipping axes			diffused outlines	ratio: 2.8–4.1)	phacoidal
	metamorphic/	systems and	and irregular			depending on the		blocks (mean
	igneous) arenitic-	pinch and	axial trends.			rheology of the block (mean		aspect ratio: 2.9-3.8)
	ruditic matrix.	by boudinage,	marginal zone pervasive			aspect ratio:		
		folds)	vertical scaly			1.4-2.5)		

Table 1 (continued)

Mélange types								
Long axis	Sedimentary	Tectonic Parallel to	Diapiric					
orientation	badding and elogated along the direction of flow	bouding faults and elongated along the direction of tectonic shearing	preferred orientation. Marginal zone: parallel to boundig intrusive contacts and elongated along the direction of flow					
Size/ dimensions	Centimeters to decimeters (clasts). Meters up to hundreds of meters blocks (olistoliths) and/ or fragments of tectonic mélanges may occur	Decimeters to meters long. Tens of meters to hundreds of meters (tectonic slices) may occur	Core zone: Several decimeters to tens of meters Marginal zone: Centimeters to decimeters					

Refereces

- Abbate, E., Sagri, M., 1970. The eugeosynclinal sequences. In: Sestini, G. (Ed.), Development of the Northern Apennines Geosyncline. Sedimentary Geology, 4 (3/4), pp. 251–340.
- Abbate, E., Bortolotti, V., Passerini, P., 1970. Olistostromes and olistoliths. In: Sestini, G. (Ed.), Development of the Northern Apennines Geosyncline. Sedimentary Geology, 4 (3–4), pp. 521–557.
- Abbate, E., Bortolotti, V., Sagri, M., 1981. Excursion No. 5: olistostromes in the Oligocene Macigno formation (Florence area), introduction: an approach to olistostrome interpretation. In: Ricci Lucchi, F. (Ed.), International Association of Sedimentologist. Second European Regional Meeting, Bologna, 1981, Excursion Guidebook. Tecnoprint, Bologna, pp. 165–185.
- Afifipour, M., Moarefvand, P., 2014. Mechanical behavior of bimrocks having high rock block proportion. Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci. 65, 40–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijrmms.2013.11.008. Allen, J.R.L., 1982. Sedimentary structures: their characters and physical basis. In: Vol II. Developments in Sedimentology, 30B. Elsevier, Amsterdam (679 pp.).
- Alonso, J.L., Marcos, A., Villa, E., Suarez, A., Merino-Tom'e, O.A., Fernandez, L.P., 2015. M'elanges and other types of block-in-matrix formations in the Cantabrian Zone (Variscan Orogen, northwest Spain): origin and significance. Int. Geol. Rev. 57 (5–8), 563–580.
- Barber, T., 2013. Mud diapirism: the origin of m'elanges: cautionary tales from Indonesia. J. Asian Earth Sci. 76, 428–438. Barber, T., Brown, K., 1988. Mud diapirism: the origin of melanges in accretionary com-plexes? Geol. Today 4, 89–94.
- Barber, A.J., Tjokrosapoetro, S., Charlton, T.R., 1986. Mud volcanoes, shale diapirs, wrench faults and m´elanges in accretionary complexes, Eastern Indonesia. Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol. Bull. 70, 1729–1741. Barbero, M., Bonini, M., Borri-Brunetto, M.,
- Barbero, M., Bonini, M., Borri-Brunetto, M., 2012. Numerical simulations of compressive tests on bimrock. Electron. J. Geotech. Eng. 17 (X), 3397–3414.
- Barbero, E., Festa, A., Fioraso, G., Catanzariti, R., 2017. Geology of the Curone and Staffora Valleys (NW Italy): field constraints for the Late Cretaceous–Pliocene tectonostratigraphic evolution of Northern Apennines. J. Maps 13 (2), 879–891 (including a geological map at 1:25.000 scale).
- Barla, G., Perello, P., 2014. Introduzione alla caratterizzazione geologica e geotecnica delle formazioni complesse. In: MIR 2014 - XV ciclo di conferenze di meccanica e ingegneria delle rocce. Torino, 19–20 novembre 2014, pp. 11–38.
- Berkland, J.O., Raymond, L.A., Kramer, J.C., Moores, E.M., O'Day, M., 1972. What is Franciscan? Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol. Bull. 56, 2295–2302.
- Bettelli, G., Vannucchi, P., 2003. Structural style of the offscraped Ligurian oceanic sequences of the Northern Apennines: new hypotesis concerning the development of mélange block-inmatrix fabric. J. Struct. Geol. 25, 371–388.
- Boccaletti, M., Coli, M. (Eds.), 1982. Carta strutturale dell'Appennino settentrionale. Progetto Finalizzato Geodinamica, CNR, Pubbl. n. 429, 4 geological maps.
- Brown, K.M., Orange, D.L., 1993. Structural aspects of diapiric mélange emplacement: the Duck Creek Diapir. J. Struct. Geol. 15 (7), 831–847.
- Burg, J.P., Bernoulli, D., Smit, J., Dolati, A., Bahroudi, A., 2008. A giant catastrophic mud and debris flow in the Miocene Makran. Terra Nova 20, 181–193.
- Byrne, T., 1984. Early deformation in mélange terranes of the Ghost Rocks Formation, Kodiak Islands, Alaska. In: Raymond,

L.A. (Ed.), Melanges: Their Nature, Origin and Significance. Boulder, Colorado Geological Society of America Special Papers, 198, pp. 21–51.

- Camerlenghi, A., Pini, G.A., 2009. Mud volcanoes, olistostromes and Argille scagliose in the Mediterranean region. Sedimentology 56, 319–365. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1365-3091.2008.01016.x.
- Castellarin, A., Pini, G.A., Crestana, G., Rabbi, E., 1986. Caratteri strutturali mesoscopici delle Argille Scagliose dell'Appennino bolognese. Mem. Sci. Geol. 38, 459–477.
- Chester, F.M., Logan, J.M., 1986. Implications for mechanical properties of brittle faults from observations of the Punchbowl fault zone, California. PAGEOPH 124, 79–106. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00875720.
- Clennell, M.B., 1992. The Melanges of Sabah, Malaysia. University of London, UK (Ph.D. thesis, 483 pp.).
- Cloos, M., 1982. Flow melanges: numerical modeling and geologic constraints on their or- igin in the Franciscan subduction complex, California. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 93, 330–345.
- Codegone, G., Festa, A., Dilek, Y., 2012. Formation of Taconic mélanges and broken formations in the Hamburg Klippe, central Appalachian Orogenic Belt, eastern Pennsylvania. Tectonophysics 568–569, 215–229.
- Coli, N., Berry, P., Boldini, D., Castelluci, P., 2008. Analysis of the block-size distribution in the Shale-Limestone Chaotic Complex (Tuscany, Italy). In: 42nd U.S. Rock Mechanics - 2nd U.S.-Canada Rock Mechanics Symposium.
- Coli, N., Berry, P., Boldini, D., 2011. In situ non-conventional shear tests for the mechanical characterisation of a bimrock. Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci. 48 (1), 95–102. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmms.2010.0 9.012</u>.
- Collot, J.-Y., Lewis, K., Lamarche, G., Lallemand, S., 2001. The giant Ruatoria debris avalanche on the northern Hikurangi margin, New Zealand: result of oblique seamount subduction. J. Geophys. Res. 106 (9), 19271–19297.
- Cowan, D.S., 1982. Deformation of partly dewatered and consolidated Franciscan sediments near Piedras Blancas Point, California. In: Leggett, J.K. (Ed.), Trench- Forearc Geology: Sedimentation and Tectonics on Modern and Ancient Margins. Geological Society of London Special Publication, 10, pp. 439–457.
- Cowan, D.S., 1985. Structural styles in Mesozoic and Cenozoic mélanges in the western Cordillera of North America. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 96, 451–462.
- Cowan, D.S., Pini, G.A., 2001. Disrupted and chaotic rock units in the Apennines. In: Vai, G.B., Martini, I.P. (Eds.), Anatomy of a Mountain Belt: The Apennines and

Adjacent Mediterranean Basins. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp. 165–176.

- D'Elia, B., et al., 1998. Geotechnical characterisation of slope movements in structurally complex clay soil and stiff jointed clays. In: Rivista italiana
- di geotecnica, 3, pp. 5–32.
- Deville, E., 2009. Mud volcano systems. In: Lewis, N., Moretti, A. (Eds.), Volcanoes: Formation, Eruptions and Modelling. Nova Science Publishers Inc., pp. 95–126
- Elter, P., Trevisan, L., 1973. Olistostromes in the tectonic evolution of the Northern Apennines. In: De Jong, K.A., Scholten, R. (Eds.), Gravity and Tectonics. John Willey and Sons, New York, pp. 175– 188.
- Escuder-Viruete, J., Baumgartner, P.O., 2014. Structural evolution and deformation kinematics of a subduction-

related serpentinite matrix mélange, Santa Elena Peninsula, northwest Costa Rica. J. Struct. Geol. 66, 356– 381. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2014.06.003.

- Esu, F., 1977. Behaviour of slopes in structurally complex formations. General report. In: Session IV. Proc. Int. Symp. The Geotechnics of Structurally Complex Formations, Capri, Italy, pp. 292–304.
- Fergusson, C.L., Frikken, P., 2003. Diapirism and structural thickening in an Early Paleozoic subduction complex, southeastern New South Wales, Australia. J. Struct. Geol. 25, 43–58.
- Festa, A., 2011. Tectonic, sedimentary, and diapiric formation of the Messinian mélange:tertiary Piedmont Basin (northwestern Italy). In: Wakabayashi, J., Dilek, Y. (Eds.), Melanges: Processes of Formation and Societal Significance. Geological Society of America Special Papers, 480, pp. 215–232. https://doi.org/10.1130/2011.2480 (10).
- Festa, A., Dilek, Y., Pini, G.A., Codegone, G., Ogata, K., 2012. Mechanisms and processes of stratal disruption and mixing in the development of mélanges and broken formations: redefining and classifying mélanges. Tectonophysics 568–569, 7–24.
- Festa, A., Ogata, K., Pini, G.A., Dilek, Y., Codegone, G., 2015. Late Oligocene–early Miocene olistostromes (sedimentary mélanges) as tectono-stratigraphic constraints to the geodynamic evolution of the exhumed Ligurian accretionary complex (Northern Apennines, NW Italy). Int. Geol. Rev. 57 (5– 8), 540–562.
- Festa, A., Ogata, K., Pini, G.A., Dilek, Y., Alonso, J.L., 2016. Origin and significance of olistostromes in the evolution of orogenic belts: a global synthesis. Gondwana Res. 39. 180–203.
- Festa, A., Pini, G.A., Ogata, K., Dilek, Y., 2019. Diagnostic features and field-criteria in recognition of tectonic, sedimentary and diapiric mélanges in orogenic belts and exhumed subductionaccretion complexes. Gondwana Res. 74, 7–30. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.gr.2019.01.003.
- Fisher, D., Byrne, T., 1987. Structural evolution of underthrusted sediments, Kodiak Islands, Alaska. Tectonics 6 (6), 775–793.
- Flores, G., 1956. The results of the studies on petroleum exploration in Sicily: discussion.Boll. Serv. Geol. Ital. 78, 46–47.
- Flores, G., 1959. Evidence of slump phenomena (olistostromes) in areas of hydrocarbon exploration in Sicily. In: Proceedings of the 5th World Petroleum Congress, New York, USA. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, pp. 259–275 (Section 1).
- Fryer, P., Wheat, C.G., Mottl, M.J., 1999. Mariana blueschist mud volcanism: implications for conditions within the subduction zone. Geology 27, 103–106. Geersen, J., Volker, D., Behrmann, J.H., Reichert, C., Krastel, S., 2011. Pleistocene giant slope failure offshore Arauco Peninisula, Southern Chile. J. Geol. Soc. Lond. 168, 1237–1248.
- Gokceoglu, C., Zorlu, K., 2004. A fuzzy model to predict the uniaxial compressive strength and the modulus of elasticity of a problematic rock. Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell. 17 (1), 61–72 issn: 09521976. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2003.11.006.
- Grigull, S., Krohe, A., Moos, Ch., Wassmann, S., Stöckhert, B., 2012.
 "Order from chaos": a field-based estimate on bulk rheology of tectonic mélanges formed in subduction zones. Tectonophysics 568, 86–101.
- Harris, R.A., Sawyer, R.K., Audley-Charles, M.G., 1998. Collisional mélange development: geologic association of active mélangeforming processes with exhumed mélange facies in the

western Banda orogen, Indonesia. Tectonics 17 (3), 458-479.

- Higgins, G.E., Saunders, J.B., 1974. Mud volcanoes, their nature and origin. Verh. Naturforsch. Ges. Basel 84, 101–152.
- Hsü, K.J., 1968. Principles of mélanges and their bearing on the Franciscan-Knoxville Paradox. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 79, 1063– 1074.
- Hsü, K.J., 1974. Melanges and their distinction from olistostromes. In: Dott Jr., R.H., Shaver, R.H. (Eds.), Modern and Ancient Geosynclinal Sedimentation, 19. SEPM Special Publication, pp. 321–333.
- Kalender, A., Sonmez, H., Medley, E., Tunusluoglu, C., Kasapoglu, K.E., 2014. An approach to predicting the overall strengths of unwelded bimrocks and bimsoils. Eng. Geol. 183, 65–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2014.10.007.
- Kaufmann, F.J., 1886. Emmen- und Schlierengegenden nebst Umgebungen bis zur Brünigstrasse und Linie Lungern-Grafenort. Beiträge zur Geologische Karte der Schweiz 24 (1), 608 pp.
- Kimura, K., Hori, R., 1993. Offscraping accretion of Jurassic chertclastic complexes in the Mino-Tamba Belt, central Japan. J. Struct. Geol. 15, 145–161. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/0191-8141(93)90092-O.
- Kimura, G., Mukai, A., 1991. Underplated units in an accretionary complex: mélange of the Shimanto belt of eastern Shikoku, southwest Japan. Tectonics 10, 31–50. https://doi.org/10.1029/90TC00799.
- Kimura, G., Yamaguchi, A., Hojo, M., Kitamura, Y., Kameda, J., Ujiie, K., Hamada, Y., Hamahasi, M., Hina, S., 2012. Tectonic mélange as fault rock of subduction plate boundary. Tectonophysics 568–569, 25–38.
- Kopf, A., 2002. Significance of mud volcanism. Rev. Geophys. 40, 1–51.
- Lentini, F., 1979. Le unità Sicilidi della Val d'Agri (Appennino lucano). Geol. Romana 18, 215–225.
- Lindquist, E.S., 1994. The mechanical properties of a physical model melange. In: Proc. 7th Congress of the Int. Assoc Eng. Geol., Lisbon, Portugal. A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam. Lindquist, E.S., Goodman, R.E., 1994. The strength and deformation properties of a physical model melange. In: Nelson, P.P., Laubach, S.E. (Eds.), Proc. 1st North American Rock Mechanics Conference (NARMS), Austin, Texas. A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam.
- Lucente, C.C., Pini, G.A., 2008. Basin-wide mass-wasting complexes as markers of the Oligo-Miocene foredeep-accretionary wedge evolution in the Northern Apennines, Italy. Basin Res. 20, 49–71.
- Lundberg, N., Moore, J.C., 1986. Macroscopic structural features in deep sea drilling project cores from forearc regions. In: Moore, J.C. (Ed.), Structural Fabric in Deep Sea Drilling Project Cores From Forearcs, 166. Geological Society of America Memoir, pp. 13–44.
- Maekawa, H., Shozul, M., Ishli, T., Fryer, P., Pearce, J.A., 1993. Blueschist metamorphism in and active subduction zone. Nature 364, 520–523.
- Marinos, P., Hoek, E., 2001. Estimating the geotechnical properties of rock masses such as flysch. Bull. Eng. Geol. Environ. 60, 85–92.
- Marinos, V., et al., 2019. Selection of TBM and geotechnical assessment of a microtunnel in a difficult geological environment: a case of a natural gas pipeline beneath an active landslide (Albania). In: Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment (2019), 78, pp. 1795–1813. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10064-018-1241-6.
- Masson, D.G., Harbitz, C.B., Wynn, R.B., Pedersen, G., Løvholt, F.,

2006. Submarine landslides: processes, triggers and hazard prediction. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A 364, 2009-2039.

- Medley, E.W., 1994. The Engineering Characterization of Melanges and Similar Block-in- matrix Rocks (Bimrocks). PhD thesis. University of California at Berkeley, p. 338.
- Medley, E.W., 2001. Orderly characterization of chaotic franciscan melanges. In: Felsbau, 19, p. 4 (issn: 01746979).
- Medley, E.W., Goodman, R.E., 1994. Estimating the block volumetric proportions of melanges and similar block-inmatrix rocks (bimrocks). In: Proceedings of the 1st North American Rock Mechanics Symposium. Austin, Texas, pp. 851-858.
- Medley, E.W., Zekkos, D., 2011. Geopractitioner approaches to working with antisocial mélanges. Spec. Pap. Geol. Soc. Am. 480 (August). 261-277. https://doi.org/10.1130/2011.2480(13).
- Meneghini, F., Marroni, M., Moore, J.C., Pandolfi, L., Rowe, C.D., 2009. The processes of underthrusting and underplating in the geologic record: structural diversity between the Franciscan Complex (California), the Kodiak Complex (Alaska) and the Internal Ligurian Units (Italy). Geol. J. 44, 126-152.
- Moscardelli, L., Woods, L., 2016. Morphometry of mass-transport deposits as a predictive tool. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 128 (1-2), 47-80.
- Mutti, E., Bernoulli, D., Ricci Lucchi, F., Tinterri, R., 2009. Turbidity current from Alpine flysch to the exploration of continental margins. Sedimentology 56, 267-318.
- Napoli, M.L., Barbero, M., Ravera, E., Scavia, C., 2018a. A stochastic approach to slope stability analysis in bimrocks. Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci. 101 (November 2017), 41-49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmms.2017.1.009.
- Napoli, M.L., Barbero, M., Scavia, C., 2018b. Analyzing slope stability in bimrocks by means of a stochastic approach. In: European Rock Mechanics Symposium, EUROCK 2018. 22-26 May 2018, Saint
- Petersburg.
- Napoli, M.L., Milan, L., Barbero, M., Scavia, C., 2020. Identifying uncertainty in estimates of bimrocks volumetric proportions 2D mesurements. Eng. Geol. from 278. 105831. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2020.105831.
- Ogata, K., Tinterri, R., Pini, G.A., Mutti, E., 2012. Mass transportrelated stratal disruption within sedimentary mélanges: examples from the northern Apennines (Italy) and south-

ments and Their Consequences. Advances in Mass Move-Natural and Technological Hazards Research, 31. Springer Science+Business Media B.V., pp. 585-594

- Poga čnik, Ž., Ogata, K., Pini, G.A., Tunis, G., 2014. Understanding the genesis of mass transport deposits (MTDs) for safe mining slopes planning: Anhovo quarry case, W Slovenia. In: Lollino, G., Giordan, D., Crosta, G.B., Corominas, J., Azzam, R., Wasowski, J., Sciarra, N. (Eds.), Engineering Geology for Society and Territory Volume 2, Landslide Processes. Springer Science + Business Media B.V., Dordrecht, pp. 307–310.
- Raymond, L.A., 1975. Tectonite and mélange a distinction. Geology 3, 7–9.
- Raymond, L.A., 1984. Classification of melanges. In: Raymond, L.A. (Ed.), Melanges: Their Nature, Origin and Significance, 198.

Colorado Geological Society of America Special Papers, Boulder, pp. 7-20

Rowe, C.D., Moore, J.C., Remitti, F., The IODP Expedition 343 Science Party, 2013. The thickness of subduction plate boundary faults from the seafloor into the seismogenic zone. Geology 41 (9), 991-994

Selli, R., 1962. Il Paleogene nel quadro della geologia dell'Italia Centro-meridionale.

Mem. Soc. Geol. Ital. 3, 737-789.

central Pyrenees (Spain). Tectonophysics 568-569, 185-199.

- Ogata, K., Mountjoy, J.J., Pini, G.A., Festa, A., Tinterri, E., 2014. Shear zone liquefaction in mass transport deposit emplacement: a multi-scale integration of seismic reflection and outcrop data. Mar. Geol. 356 (Special Issue), 50-64.
- Ogata, K., Storti, F., Balsamo, F., Tinterri, R., Bedogni, E., Fetter, M., Gomes, L., Hatushika, R., 2016. Sedimentary facies control on mechanical and fracture stratigraphy in turbidites. GSA Bull. https://doi.org/10.1130/B31517.1. Data Repository item 2016252.
- Ogata, K., Festa, A., Pini, G.A., Pogacnik, Z., Lucente, C.C., 2019. Substrate deformation and incorporation in sedimentary mélanges (olistostromes): examples from the northern Apennines (Italy) and northwestern Dinarides (Slovenia). Gondwana Res.47.
- Ogata, K., Festa, A., Pini, G.A., Alonso, J.L., 2020. Submarine landslide deposits in orogenic belts: olistostromes and sedimentary mélanges. In: Ogata, K., Festa, A., Pini, G.A. (Eds.), Submarine Landslides: Subaqueous Mass Transport Deposits From Outcrop to Seismic Profiles. Geophysical Monograph 246, First edition. American Geophysical Union, John Wiley & Sons Inc., USA, pp. 3-26.
- Ogawa, Y., 1998. Tectonostratigraphy of the Glen App area, Southern Uplands, Scotland: anatomy of Ordovician accretionary complex. J. Geol. Soc. Lond. 155, 651-662. Ogniben, L., 1953. "Argille scagliose" ed "argille brecciate" in Sicilia. Boll. Serv. Geol.

Italia 75, 279– 289.

- Orange, D.L., 1990. Criteria helpful in recognizing shear-zone and diapiric mélanges: examples from the Hoh acretionary complex, Olympic Peninsula, Washington. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 102.935-951.
- Pettinga, J.R., 1982. Upper Cenozoic structural history, coastal southern Hawke's Bay, New Zealand. N. Z. J. Geol. Geophys. 25, 149-191.
- Pini, G.A., 1999. Tectonosomes and olistostromes in the Argille Scagliose of the Northern

Apennines, Italy. In: Geological Society of America Special

Papers, 335 (73 pp.). Pini, G.A., Ogata, K., Camerlenghi, A., Festa,

A., Lucente, C.C., Codegone, G., 2012.

Sedimentary mélanges and complexes: a key for better fossil mass-transport understanding submarine mass movements? In: Yamada, Y., et

(Eds.), Submarine § engör, A.M.C., 2014. How scientometry is killing science. GSA

- Today 24 (12), 44–45. Silver, E.A., Beutner, E.C., 1980. Melanges. Geology 8. 32-34.
- Sonmez, H., Gokceoglu, C., Tuncay, E., Medley, E., Nefeslioglu, H.A., 2004. Relationships between volumetric block proportions and overall UCS of a volcanic bimrock. Felsbau Rock Soil Eng. J. Eng. Geol. Geomech. Tunnel. 22 (5), 27-34.
- Sonmez, H., Gokceoglu, C., Medley, E.W., Tuncay, E., Nefeslioglu, H.A., 2006. Estimating the uniaxial compressive strength of a volcanic bimrock. Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci.

- 43 (4), 554–561. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmms.2005.09.014. Sonmez, H., Ercanoglu, M., Kalender, A., Dagdelenler, G., Tunusluoglu, C., 2016. Predicting uniaxial compressive strength and deformation modulus of volcanic bimrock considering engineering dimension. Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci. 86, 91–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmms.2016.03.022. Tagliaferri, A., Tinterri, R., 2016. The tectonically confined Firenzuola turbidite system (Marnoso-arenacea Formation, northern Apennines, Italy). Ital. J. Geosci. 135 (3),

Tchalenko, J.S., Ambraseys, N.N., 1970. Structural analysis of the Dasht-e Bayaz (Iran) earthquake fractures. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.

^{425-443.}

81 (1), 41–60.

- Ujiie, K., 2002. Evolution and kinematics of an ancient décollement zone, mélange in the Shimanto accretionary complex of Okinawa Island, Ryukyu Arc. J. Struct. Geol. 24, 937–952.
- Urgeles, R., Camerlenghi, A., 2013. Submarine landslides of the Mediterranean Sea: triggering mechanisms, dynamics, and frequency-magnitude distribution. J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf. 118, 2600–2618.
- Vannucchi, P., Bettelli, G., 2002. Mechanism of subduction accretion as implied from the broken formations in the Apennines, Italy. Geology 30, 835–838.
- Vannucchi, P., Bettelli, G., 2010. Myths and recent progress regarding the Argille Scagliose, Northern Apennines, Italy. In: Dilek, Y. (Ed.), Alpine Concept in Geology. Int. Geol. Rev. 52 (10–12), 1106–1137.
- Vannucchi, P., Maltman, A., Bettelli, G., Clennell, B., 2003. On the nature of scaly fabric and scaly clay. J. Struct. Geol. 25, 673–688.
- von Huene, R., Ranero, C.R., Vannucchi, P., 2004. Generic model of subduction erosion. Geology 32, 913–916.
- Wakabayashi, J., Medley, E.W., 2004. Geological Characterization of Melanges for Practitioners. Felsbau 22 (5), 10–18 (ISSN: 01746979).
- Wakita, K., 2012. Mappable features of mélanges derived from Ocean Plate Stratigraphy in the Jurassic accretionary complexes of Mino and Chichibu terranes in Southwest Japan. Tectonophysics 568–569, 74–85.