



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

# Suspected environmental poisoning by drugs, household products and pesticides in domestic animals

 This is a pre print version of the following article:

 Original Citation:

 Availability:

 This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1835691

 since 2022-01-26T12:52:16Z

 Published version:

 DOI:10.1016/j.etap.2020.103471

 Terms of use:

 Open Access

 Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

1 Suspected environmental poisoning by drugs, households and pesticides in domestic animals 2 3 Alessia Bertero<sup>a</sup>, Marina Rivolta<sup>b</sup>, Franca Davanzo<sup>b</sup>, Francesca Caloni<sup>a,\*</sup>

4

5 <sup>a</sup> Department of Environmental Science and Policy, Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Celoria 10, 6 20133 Milan, Italy;

- 7 <sup>b</sup> Milan Poison Control Centre, ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda, Piazza
- 8 dell'Ospedale Maggiore 3, 20162 Milan, Italy
- 9
- 10 \* Corresponding author
- 11

# 12

#### 13 Abstract

- 14 Animal poisoning by chemicals (pesticides and household products) and drugs is a frequent
- 15 occurrence and special attention should be paid to this phenomenon to improve prevention and
- 16 treatment strategies but also because of the fundamental role that animals may play as
- 17 bioindicators for the environmental health.
- 18 From January 2017 to March 2019 the Poison Control Centre of Milan (CAV) in collaboration with
- 19 the University of Milan, collected and analyzed epidemiological data on animal poisoning. During
- 20 this period, the CAV received a total of 442 toxicology consultations related to animal poisoning
- 21 and, among these, 80.3% were related to chemicals and drugs. The dog was the species most
- 22 frequently involved (83.7%), followed by cats (14.6%) and rabbits (0.6%), while single enquiries 23 concerned a pony, a ferret and an African hedgehog (0.3% each). The outcome was positive for
- 24 52.7% of the episodes, negative for 4.2% and unknown for 43.1% of the cases. Pesticides and
- 25 drugs were the two major causes of poisoning (34.1% and 33.5%, respectively), followed by
- 26 household products (29.3%) and other causative agents (3.1%, n=11). As for drugs, this category
- 27 included human (84%, mainly CNS drugs and NSAIDs) and veterinary (10.1%, mainly parasiticides)
- 28 medicinal products, tobacco/nicotine (2.5%) and drugs of abuse (*Cannabis* and hashish, 3.4%). The
- 29 dog was the most involved species (86.6%), followed by cats (13.4%). Detergents (20.2%)
- 30 accounted for the majority of the toxicology consultations on household products, followed by
- 31 caustic agents (16.3%), fertilizers (15.4%), antifreezes (7.7%, mainly ethylene glycol) and
- 32 firelighters (6.7%). The involved species were dogs (71.2%), cats (26.9%) and rabbits (1.9%). Other
- 33 causative agents included chemiluminescent glow-sticks, firecrackers and coal tar. In conclusion,
- 34 these findings can provide useful information for the identification and monitoring of known and
- 35 emerging toxicants, with positive repercussions on human, animal and environmental health.
- 36

#### 37 Keywords (max 6)

- 38 Chemicals; domestic animals; drugs; households; pesticides; poisoning.
- 39
- 40 1. Introduction
- 41 Animal poisoning is a frequent occurrence (Berny et al., 2010a; Bertero et al., 2020a; Caloni et al.,
- 42 2018; McFarland et al., 2017) and is an issue that is receiving special attention nowadays, thanks
- 43 also to the spreading of a new public sensibility and awareness. Against this background, an
- 44 increasing level of importance is placed on the systematic collection of epidemiological data
- 45 concerning toxicant exposure in animals, not just to help veterinarians in the diagnosis and
- 46 treatment of poisoning cases or for the implementation of preventive measures but also for the
- 47 role that animals can plays as bioindicators for human and environmental health. Indeed, a
- 48 structured recording and analysis of animal toxicant exposure cases may provide fundamental

- 49 pieces for the evaluation of the risk posed by environmental pollutants through a one health
- 50 perspective, by virtue of the close interconnection exiting between animals, humans and
- 51 ecosystems.
- 52 A centralized veterinary poison center does not exist in Italy (Caloni et al., 2012) and the collection
- of data relies on the efforts of universities, research institutes, government institutions and poison
- 54 centers. The human Poison Control Centre in Milan (CAV), established in 1967, consists of a
- dedicated team of specialists that offer telephone consultations to the public and to healthcare
- 56 professionals on toxicant exposures, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Due to the absence of a
- veterinary-specific poison centre, the CAV also provides consultations on episodes of suspected
   animal poisoning. Moreover, thanks to an ongoing collaboration with the University of Milan,
- epidemiological data are extrapolated from the toxicology consultations classified, inserted in a
- 60 databank and analysed.
- 61 In this paper, epidemiological data on animal poisoning enquiries concerning drugs, households
- and pesticides received by the CAV between January 2017 March 2019 will be presented and
- analyzed. The purpose is to provide comprehensive information on toxicant exposure in terms of
- 64 incidence, species involved, causative agents, route of exposure, clinical sign and outcome, also
- analyzing causative agent trends and the emergence of new tendencies/compounds.
- 66

### 67 2. Material and methods

- Since 1990 the Poison Control Centre of Milan (CAV) records, analyzes and archives data related to
   animal poisoning episodes occurring in Italy. On request, the CAV gives telephone consultations
   providing information and suggestions for the management of animal poisoning to veterinarians
- 71 but also to animal owners.
- 72 The typical procedure for the collection of data concerning the professional counseling require to
- 73 complete a form during the toxicology consultations with information on the animal species,
- 74 potential poisoning agents, route of exposure, clinical signs. Veterinary toxicologists at the
- 75 University of Milan collaborate with the CAV to handle the enquiries. Moreover, continuous
- vpdate on cases from follow-up calls are included, in order to maintain the database as up-to-
- date, complete and accurate as possible. The data on this paper have been collected from January
- 78 2017 to March 2019 and the toxic compounds have been classified according to the following
- categories: pesticides (insecticides, rodenticides, molluscicides, herbicides and fungicides), drugs
   (human and veterinary medicinal products, tobacco/nicotine and drugs of abuse), household
- 81 products and other compounds.
- 8283 2.1. Statistical analysis
- 84 Descriptive statistic was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Mac, Version 26.0 (Armonk, NY:
- IBM Corp.) and graphs were created using Prism for Mac, Version 8.4.1 (GraphPad Software Inc.,
  La Jolla, CA, USA).
- 87

# 88 3. Results

- 89 From January 2017 to March 2019, the CAV received a total of 442 toxicology consultations
- 90 related to animal poisoning episodes. Among these, 80.3% (n=355) were related to chemicals
- 91 (households and pesticides) and drugs. As for the latter, 70.4% of the toxicology consultations
- 92 (n=250) were from veterinarians, 28.7% (n=102) from animal owners and for 0.8% of the enquiries
- 93 (n=3) the caller was unknow. The majority of the calls were from Lombardy (36.3%, n=129),
- 94 followed by Emilia Romagna (12.4%, n=44), Veneto (11.5%, n=41) and Sicily (6.8%, n=24) (Figure
- 1). The dog was the species most frequently involved (83.7%, n=297), followed by the cat (14.6%,
- 96 n=52). Two calls regarded rabbits (0.6%) and single enquiries were received concerning a pony, a

- 97 ferret and an African hedgehog (0.3% each) (Figure 2). The majority of the exposures occurred
- 98 indoor (78.9%, n=280), 17.2% (n=61) outdoor, whereas for 3.9% of the episodes (n=14) the site of
- exposure was unknown. The route of the exposure was ingestion in most of the cases (87.9%).
- 100 Toxicant exposures were generally accidental (93%, n=330), but in some cases they were due to
- 101 owner errors/misuses (2.8%, n=10), one (0.3%) episode was due to an intentional poisoning and
- for 14 cases (3.9%) the circumstances that led to the intoxication were unknow. In the majority of the cases, symptoms of the intoxication appeared within 24 h after the exposure (62.5%, n=222).
- 104 The outcome was positive for 187 animals (52.7%), fatal for 15 animals (4.2%) and unknow in 153
- 105 cases (43.1%).
- 106

### 107 **3.1 Classes of toxic compounds**

- The data analysis showed that, among the considered toxicants (chemicals and drugs) (Figure 3), pesticides and drugs were the two major causes of poisoning (34.1%, n=121 and 33.5%, n=119, respectively) followed by bouchedd products (20.2%, n=104) and other causative agents (2.1%)
- respectively), followed by household products (29.3%, n=104) and other causative agents (3.1%, n=11).
- 112

# 113 3.1.1 Pesticides

- 114 A total of 121 enquiries (34.1%) were related to pesticides. Among these, the greater number of
- calls involved insecticides (44.6%, n=54), followed by rodenticides (28.9%, n=35), fungicides (9.1%,
- n=11), herbicides (7.4%, n=9) and molluscicides (6.6%, n=8), whereas in 4 cases (3.3%) the
- 117 involved pesticide was not further characterized (Figure 4).

# 118 3.1.1.1 Insecticides

- 119 The enquiries on insecticides have been classified as reported in Figure 5. Pyrethrins/pyrethroids
- 120 were the most common cause of intoxication (42.6%, n=23), with the association cypermethrin-
- 121 tetramethrin being the most frequently involved, followed by neonicotinoids (acetamiprid and
- 122 imidacloprid, 25.9%, n=14), organoarsenic compounds (dimethylarsinate; 14.8%, n=8), carbamates
- 123 (5.6%, n=3), isothiazolinones (1.9%, n=1), phenylpyrazoles (1.9%, n=1) and pyrroles (1.9%, n=1),
- 124 while in 3 cases the insecticide involved was unknown (5.6%).
- 125 Specifically, concerning the dog, 16.5% of all the enquiries on this species were due to insecticides
- 126 (49 out of 297), and pyrethrins/pyrethroids were the most involved class (40.8%, n=20), followed
- 127 by neonicotinoids (24.5%, n=12), organoarsenic compounds (dimethylarsinate; 16.3%, n=8) and
- 128 carbamates (6.1%, n=3). In cats, 5.8% (3 out of 52) of the calls were related to insecticides, with 2
- calls involving neonicotinoids (acetamiprid and imidacloprid) and 1 call pyrethroids (deltamethrin).
- 130 Both in dogs and cats the major route of exposure was ingestion.
- 131 Chlorfenapyr, a novel pyrrole insecticide (Ngufor et al., 2016), was reported as the causative agent
- in one case of intoxication concerning a dog. A poisoning episode of a ferret involved the mucosal
- exposure to pyrethroids, and the same class was involved in the intoxication of a pony through the
- 134 gastrointestinal route.

# 135 3.1.1.2 Rodenticides

- 136 Rodenticides accounted for 9.9% (n=35) of all the calls received by CAV concerning chemicals and
- drugs, and 28.9% of the enquiries on pesticides (Figure 6). The dog was the only species involved.
- 138 Anticoagulant rodenticides accounted for 31.4% of the enquiries (n=11) and non-anticoagulant
- 139 compounds were responsible for 5.7% (n=2) of the calls, while in 22 cases the involved molecule
- 140 was unknown (62.9%). Bromadiolone and difenacoum were the most frequently involved
- 141 compounds (14.3%, n=5 and 8.6%, n=3, respectively), but brodifacoum, coumatetralyl,
- 142 difethialone, thallium and  $\alpha$ -chloralose were also reported (2.9%, n=1, each).
- 143 **3.1.1.3 Molluscicides**

- 144 All the enquiries received by CAV on molluscicide intoxications were related to the accidental
- ingestion of metaldehyde by dogs (6.6% of the call concerning pesticides and 2.3% of the total
- 146 calls on chemicals and drugs).

# 147 3.1.1.4 Herbicides

- 148 Herbicides accounted for 7.4% of the enquiries involving pesticides (Figure 4) and for 2.5% of the
- calls concerning chemicals and drugs. Dogs and cats were the species most frequently involved
- 150 (44.4%, n=4, each). Glyphosate was the major culprit (66.7%, n=6) in dogs (3 cases out of 4) as well
- as in cats (2 cases out of 4). In the dog species, synthetic auxins (fluroxypyr and triclopyr) were
- also reported (1 case). In cats, other involved compounds were dicamba and metribuzin (1 case
- 153 each).
- 154 Glyphosate was also involved in one enquiry concerning an African hedgehog which after the 155 exposure to this herbicide showed dyspnea and oral edema.

# 156 3.1.1.5 Fungicides

- 157 Fungicide exposure accounted for 9.1% of the enquiries involving pesticides (Figure 4) and for
- 158 3.1% of the calls received by CAV on chemicals and drugs. The dog was the only species affected,
- 159 with copper sulfate and dodine being the most frequently implicated compounds (27.3%, n=3,
- each), followed by ziram (18.2%, n=2) and dicopper chloride trihydroxide (9.1%, n=1). In 2 cases
- 161 (18.2%) the involved fungicide compound was not identified.
- 162

# 163 3.1.2 Drugs

- 164 In this category (Figure 7) are included human (84%, n=100; Table 1) and veterinary (10.1%, n=12;
- Table 2) medicinal products, tobacco/nicotine (2.5%, n=3) and drugs of abuse (3.4%, n=4). As for
- dogs (86.6% of the calls, n=103), the majority of the enquiries involved the exposure to human
- 167 drugs (85.4%, n=88), with CNS drugs (20.5%, n=18) and NSAIDs (12.5%, n=11) together with alpha
- and beta blockers (12.5%, n=11) being the most involved classes of compounds (Table 1).
- 169 Veterinary drugs (mainly parasiticides and NSAIDs) were responsible for 7.8% of the intoxications
- in dogs (n=8) (Table 2) and drugs of abuse, specifically *Cannabis indica* (n=1) and hashish (n=3),
- were involved in 3.9% of the cases, followed by the exposure to tobacco/nicotine (2.9%, n=3)(Figure 7).
- 173 A significantly lower number of drug intoxications were reported in cats, which accounted for
- 174 13.4% of the calls (n=16). Human drugs were the major culprit (75%, n=12), particularly CNS drugs
- 175 (33.3%, n=4), muscle relaxers (25%, n=3) and NSAIDs (16.7%, n=2) (Table 1), followed by veterinary
- drugs (25%, n=4)(Table 2). As for the latter, the most involved classes of compounds were
- parasiticides (75%, n=3), with 2 cases due to adverse reactions to pyrethroids. A sporadic case of
- acute intoxication with dyspnea was reported in a cat after the accidental ingestion of feline facial
- 179 pheromones (Table 2).
- 180

# 181 3.1.3 Household products

- 182 In general, detergents (20.2%, n=21) accounted for the majority of the calls involving households,
- followed by caustic agents (16.3%, n=17), fertilizers (15.4%, n=16), antifreezes (7.7%, n=8) and
  firelighters (6.7%, n=7).
- 185 The dog species accounted for the majority of the calls on household products (71.2%, n=74),
- 186 followed by the cat (26.9%, n=28) and just 2 enquiries (1.9%) were about rabbits (Figure 8). As for
- dogs, the majority of the cases were due to the exposure to fertilizers and detergents (20.3%,
- n=15 and 18.9%, n=14, respectively), followed by caustic agents such as strong acids and bases,
- anti-limescales and bleaches (16.2%, n=12). Other frequent implicated classes of compounds were
- antifreezes (mainly ethylene glycol) and firelighters (8.1%, n=6 each). Concerning cats, many
- 191 enquiries were about detergents (25%, n=7), caustic agents (anti-limescales, bleach and sodium

- 192 hydroxide, 17.9%, n=5), essential oils (liquid potpourri for home fragrance, 14.3%, n=4) and
- antifreezes (7.1%, n=2). The 2 calls received on rabbits were about the ingestion of a firelighterand a washable mural paint.
- 195

#### 196 **3.1.4 Other causative agents**

- 197 Other causative agents are reported in Table 3. Among those, a chemiluminescent glow-stick was
- 198 responsible of an intoxication in a cat which ingested its liquid content. The ingestion of a
- 199 firecracker by a dog was reported to cause vomiting and sensory alterations (the animal was
- 200 lethargic/comatose). These 2 cases had positive outcomes whereas a fatal episode was reported in
- a dog after the ingestion of coal tar, due to aspiration pneumonia.

# 203 3.2 Clinical signs

- 204 The most frequent clinical signs due to toxicant exposure were gastrointestinal (mainly vomiting),
- 205 neurological (especially convulsions, tremors and ataxia) and cardiological (arrhythmias,
- bradycardia and tachycardia) signs. Death occurred in 7.4% of the cases with a known outcome.
- 207 Household products (53.3%, n=8), pesticides (20%, n=3) and drugs (20%, n=3) were the most
- 208 common causes of death (Table 4).
- 209

### 210 4. Discussion

- 211 This work aims to provide an overview on animal exposure to toxicants (drugs, households and
- pesticides). Keeping a systematic and up-to-date collection of these data in crucial, not only for the
- clinical management of this type of intoxications but, other than that, to maintain the attention
- high on the issue of environmental safety, which connects humans, animals and ecosystems, also
- in the view to perform a comprehensive evaluation of the toxicological risks.
- 216 In this context, animals may play a fundamental role as bioindicators for the determination and
- assessment of environmental toxicants (Bertero et al., 2020b; Bischoff et al., 2010; Braouezec et al., 2016; Hannandez et al., 2017; Saraa et al., 2018; Srabasen et al., 2010). Managura
- al., 2016; Henriquez-Hernandez et al., 2017; Serpe et al., 2018; Srebocan et al., 2019). Moreover,
- animals have shown to be very sensitive to the detrimental health effects of environmental
   pollutants, often more than humans, being also able to furnish key information on the rise of
- emerging toxicants (Gulson et al., 2009; Tsuchiya, 1992).
- 222 Results on toxicant exposure collected in this paper are quite similar to those previously reported
- in Italy and in other European countries (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010a; Bertero et al., 2020a;
- Bertero et al., 2020b; Caloni et al., 2018; Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016; McFarland et al.,
- 225 2017; Modrá and Svobodová, 2009; Schediwy et al., 2015; Vandenbroucke et al., 2010; Wang et
- al., 2007), but some peculiarities and new trends are emerging.
- 227 From national perspective, a great number of calls were from the Northern part of Italy (*i.e.* from
- 228 Lombardy, Veneto and Emilia Romagna) but Southern and Central regions are also well
- represented since a remarkable number of enquiries had been received from these territories,
- enabling to outline a fair view of the phenomenon at a national level.
- 231 Most of the toxicology consultations were related to dogs and cats (Figure 2), revealing a better
- 232 predisposition for pet owners and veterinarians to use the CAV consultation service, maybe
- 233 because these figures are more likely to know the existence of this opportunity. The majority of
- the enquiries related to dogs were due to the exposure to pesticides and drugs, followed by
- household products (Figure 3), whereas for the feline species households, followed by drugs and
- pesticides, have been identified as the major culprits. A similar situation has been reported in a
   previous work by CAV (Caloni et al., 2012), in which the data collected from 2000 to 2010 revealed
- that pesticides and drugs, followed by household products were the toxic classes most frequently
- involved in calls related to suspected animal poisonings. A similar trend has been observed in

240 Europe, where pest control substances and drugs are common causative agents of poisoning in 241 pets, followed by other toxicants such as household products (Caloni et al., 2018). In particular, 242 Vandenbroucke et al. (Vandenbroucke et al., 2010) reported pesticides, followed by drugs and 243 households, as the major causes of intoxication in dogs, whereas medicinal products (21.8 %) and 244 pesticides (17.3%) were among the top three toxicant categories involved in toxicology 245 consultations received by German Poison Centers (McFarland et al., 2017). In France, data analysis 246 of the enquiries received by the Centre National d'Informations Toxicologiques Vétérinaires 247 (CNITV) of the College of Veterinary Medicine in Lyon identified pesticides, drugs and pollutants 248 (i.e. hydrocarbons, detergents, antifreezes, etc.) as the major three toxicant classes involved in 249 intoxications of domestic carnivores (Barbier, 2005). In Switzerland, medicinal products followed 250 by pesticides are indicated as the most frequent causes of poisoning in dogs, whereas for cats, 251 drugs (mainly veterinary medicines) but also household products (especially cleaning agents) have 252 been implicated (Schediwy et al., 2015). 253 Concerning pesticides, insecticides (Figure 4) were the most involved class of compounds. Among 254 them (Figure 5), pyrethrins/pyrethroids were the predominant agents of poisoning in dogs, 255 followed by neonicotinoids, whereas just few cases involved carbamates. These data confirm the 256 findings reported by Caloni et al. (Caloni et al., 2016), who described the exposure to 257 pyrethrins/pyrethroids as the primary cause of insecticide poisoning in pets delineating a new 258 tendency since previous trends have seen carbamates as one of the most frequent cause of 259 insecticide poisoning. Indeed, in the dog species, anticholinesterase insecticides (carbamates and 260 organophospates) were reported as the most commonly found insecticide compounds in a 261 previous epidemiological study on animal poisoning by CAV (Caloni et al., 2012), and the same 262 class has been indicated among the major causes of insecticide poisoning in many European 263 papers (Barbier, 2005; Bertero et al., 2020a; Caloni et al., 2018; Modrá and Svobodová, 2009; Ruiz-264 Suárez et al., 2015; Vandenbroucke et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2007). Besides, in this scenario, 265 neonicotinoids appear as emerging molecules in our study, with many cases recorded in dogs 266 (Figure 5). Moreover organochlorines, insecticides that are still responsible of pet intoxications 267 (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010a; Bertero et al., 2020a; Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016; 268 Martínez-Haro et al., 2008), have not been found as a cause of animal poisoning in this study, 269 whereas a case concerning the exposure to chlorfenapyr, a novel pyrrole insecticide (Ngufor et al., 270 2016), has been reported in a dog (Figure 5). On the other hand, the toxicology consultations 271 related to insecticide intoxications in the feline species were mainly due to neonicotinoid 272 (acetamiprid and imidacloprid) intoxications and just one case involved pyrethroids (deltamethrin) 273 (Figure 5). Even if only 3 cases of insecticide poisoning have been recorded for this species in the 274 present study, these data may be interesting, introducing possible new trends on causative agents 275 since, besides the most frequently reported anticholinesterase and pyrethrin/pyrethroid 276 intoxication episodes (Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016; Giuliano Albo and 277 Nebbia, 2004; Modrá and Svobodová, 2009; Schediwy et al., 2015), neonicotinoids seem to 278 emerge among the main causes of insecticide poisoning (Caloni et al., 2016). The reasons of this 279 rise may lay on the relatively low toxicity towards mammas, in the face of a high toxicity towards 280 insects (Goulson, 2013), together with a great versatility (various formulations are available, for 281 home gardening and for indoor use as baits). 282 As for rodenticides (Figure 6), anticoagulant compounds and in particular second generation 283 molecules such as bromadiolone and difenacoum remained a major cause of intoxication, due to 284 their widespread use, confirming previous findings from Italy and other European countries 285 (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016; McFarland et al., 2017; 286 Modrá and Svobodová, 2009; Schediwy et al., 2015). Non-anticoagulant rodenticides were found

responsible of just 2 poisoning episodes, one due to the exposure to  $\alpha$ -chloralose and the other to

288 thallium, thus, despite the restrictions applied to the use of the latter as a rodenticide in many 289 countries, this molecule is still responsible of poisoning cases. Interestingly, no rodenticide 290 intoxications have been reported in cats: all the enquiries on these compounds involved dogs, 291 species that is known to be more subject to rodenticide poisoning (Berny et al., 2010b; Caloni et 292 al., 2016; Vandenbroucke et al., 2010). Metaldehyde was the only molluscicide compound related 293 to animal intoxication and it was responsible of 6.6% of the enquiries involving pesticides (Figure 294 4), percentage that is in line with those detected in another recent study performed in Italy 295 (Bertero et al., 2020a). In this regard it seems that metaldehyde intoxication, which sees in the 296 domestic carnivores the target species (Bertero et al., 2020a), is undergoing a slight decrease in 297 comparison with data from previous Italian studies (Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016), even if 298 it continues to be a major issue in Italy as well as in other European countries (Caloni et al., 2018; 299 Modrá and Svobodová, 2009; Schediwy et al., 2015; Vandenbroucke et al., 2010; Wang et al., 300 2007), probably because of the palatability and wide availability that characterize this compound. 301 As reported also by other authors (Barbier, 2005; Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016; 302 Vandenbroucke et al., 2010), glyphosate was the herbicide most frequently involved in animal 303 poisoning episodes, mainly in cats and dogs, while other compounds (synthetic auxins, dicamba 304 and metribuzin) were involved only sporadically. With regard to glyphosate, attention must be 305 paid to the formulations available in the market since it seems that the toxicity of this molecule is 306 influenced (and increased) by the surfactants/adjuvants (*i.e.* polyoxyethylene amine) added in the 307 commercial products (Coalova et al., 2014; Cortinovis et al., 2015). In accordance with other data 308 from European literature (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 309 2016), the fungicide implicated in the highest number of enquiries was copper sulphate, together 310 with dodine. Additional involved compounds were ziram and dicopper chloride trihydroxide, 311 which have also been reported in cases of fungicide intoxications by other authors (Barbier, 2005; 312 Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016).

313 Drugs (Figure 7) generally account for a great number of intoxications in domestic animals, mainly 314 because of owner improper/off-lab use (*i.e.* administration without a prescription) or accidental 315 ingestion (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2014; Caloni et al., 2012; McFarland et 316 al., 2017; Modrá and Svobodová, 2009; Schediwy et al., 2015; Vandenbroucke et al., 2010). In the 317 present work, the dog was the species most affected (86.6% of the calls), with the majority of the 318 enquiries concerning exposure to human drugs (Table 1) (86.6%; mainly CNS drugs, NSAIDs and 319 alpha/beta blockers) and just few toxicology consultations (7.8%, n=8) related to veterinary drugs 320 (Table 2) (parasiticides and NSAIDs). These results are in line with those of a previous survey by 321 CAV (Caloni et al., 2012), which reported CNS drugs and NSAIDs as the classes of human medicines 322 most involved in dog intoxications. Similar results were obtained in another study by CAV (Caloni 323 et al., 2014) and in other surveys performed by European authors (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 324 2010a; Caloni et al., 2018; Schediwy et al., 2015), probably because of to the widespread use of 325 these drugs by people. As for the cats, this species accounted for a lower number of drug 326 intoxications (13.4%); human medicines (Table 1) were again the principal cause of poisoning 327 (75%; CNS drugs, muscle relaxers, NSAIDs), followed by veterinary drugs (Table 2) (25%; mainly 328 parasiticides). In addition, an interesting case was related to the oral exposure of a cat to feline 329 facial pheromones, which led to an acute intoxication with respiratory symptoms that ended with 330 a positive outcome but draw attention to the toxicological aspects connected to these relatively 331 new products (pheromones). Previous data from CAV (Caloni et al., 2012) reported, for this 332 species, several cases of misuse of veterinary parasiticides (mainly pyrethroids and in particular permethrin-based spot on) together with episodes of acetaminophen intoxications, and similar 333 334 results have been reported by other authors (Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2014; McFarland et 335 al., 2017; Schediwy et al., 2015). Therefore, our data seem to differ from those of many European

336 researches that found veterinary parasiticides as the major culprit of drug intoxications in cats 337 (Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2014; McFarland et al., 2017; Schediwy et al., 2015), while, 338 considering all the enquiries on drugs, our data are in line with the general tendency reported in 339 the European literature which sees the parasiticides as the major class of veterinary drugs 340 involved in animal poisoning (Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2014; Caloni et al., 2012; McFarland 341 et al., 2017; Schediwy et al., 2015), and CNS drugs and NSAIDs as the human medicines most 342 frequently implicated (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2014; Caloni et al., 2012; 343 McFarland et al., 2017; Schediwy et al., 2015; Vandenbroucke et al., 2010). The dog was the only 344 species exposed to drugs of abuse (Figure 7), with percentages similar to those detected in a 345 previous paper by CAV (Caloni et al., 2012). Household products accounted for a large number of 346 enquiries (Figure 3), being the domestic environment reach in potentially toxic chemicals, whose 347 numerousness and assortment is continuously increasing due to the incessant placing on the 348 market of new products. Detergents accounted for the majority of the enquiries involving 349 households, followed by caustic agents, fertilizers, antifreezes (mainly ethylene glycol) and 350 firelighters (Figure 8), results that are in accordance with those reported in a previous 351 epidemiological study performed by CAV (Caloni et al., 2012) and in many researches carried out 352 around Europe (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010b; Caloni et al., 2018; McFarland et al., 2017; 353 Schediwy et al., 2015). Dogs accounted for the majority of the enquiries on households, followed 354 by cats, and just 2 enquiries were related to rabbits (Figure 8). In dogs, fertilizers (20.3%) and 355 detergents (18.9%) were the major culprits, but also caustic agents (16.2%), antifreezes (8.1%, 356 mainly ethylene glycol) and firelighters (8.1%) were among the most frequent causes. In cats, the 357 greatest number of calls were about detergents (25%), followed by caustic agents (17.9%), 358 essential oils (liquid potpourri, 14.3%) and antifreezes (7.1%). Interestingly, with regard to the 359 feline species, essential oils emerged as a frequent cause of poisoning incidents. In literature a 360 general tendency seems to depict detergents as often involved both in cat and dog intoxications 361 (Caloni et al., 2018; Giuliano Albo and Nebbia, 2004; McFarland et al., 2017), as in our work, 362 whereas fuel (petroleum distillate) intoxications seem to affect particularly cats (just one case 363 recorded in our study, no cases in dogs) (Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2018; Giuliano Albo and 364 Nebbia, 2004), probably because of the grooming behavior of this species, which may lead to a 365 high oral absorption. As for ethylene glycol, intoxications are frequently observed in the dog (5 366 cases in the present work) as well as in the feline species (2 cases concerning cats have been 367 observed in our survey) (Amoroso et al., 2017; Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2018; Potter et al., 368 2015). Moreover, it should be noted that household products were the major cause of fatal 369 poisoning incidents in this study (53.3%)(Table 4), and in particular ethylene glycol alone 370 accounted for 26.7% of the recorded fatal cases, which is in line with the high mortality rate 371 generally observed for this compound (Bates, 2016; Berny et al., 2010a; García-Ortuño et al., 372 2006; Popa et al., 2018; Schweighauser and Francey, 2016). 373 Other causative agents (Table 3) involved in animal intoxications included one episode due to the 374 ingestion by a cat of the liquid content of a chemiluminescent glow-stick (plastic rods used as 375 decorative items that sparkle in the dark as a result of a chemical reaction). Indeed these products 376 are becoming a popular fashion accessory, particularly among young people, and cases of 377 intoxication are sprouting up in pets (Schediwy et al., 2015) as well as in humans, particularly 378 children (Cairns et al., 2018; Garnier et al., 2012). In our study the cat exposed developed, one 379 hour after the ingestion, vomiting and reddening of the oral mucosa, symptoms that are similar to 380 those (hypersalivation, retching/vomiting, hyperemia of the oral mucosa) described in other 381 episodes in literature and that are due to the irritant effects exerted by the liquid content

(Schediwy et al., 2015). However, even if the symptoms in case of an accidental acute exposure
 are reported to be not severe and the outcome favorable, attention should be paid to this

- 384 emerging product, since the chemiluminescent dyes are usually composed of polycyclic aromatic
- 385 hydrocarbons (PAH) and phthalates, substances that may pose cancerogenic, genotoxic and
- 386 reprotoxic risks (Garnier et al., 2012). Other reported causes of intoxication are fireworks/
- firecrackers. In our work, a dog developed vomit and a comatose state after the ingestion of firecrackers and in the literature episodes of animal poisoning caused by explosives (mainly due to
- components such as cyclonite, barium, and chlorate (Gahagan and Wismer, 2018)) are also
- described (Stanley et al., 2019), sometimes with a fatal outcome (Schediwy et al., 2015). Two
- 391 enquiries were related to coal tar ingestion by dogs, in one case the animal developed
- 392 gastrointestinal symptoms with a favorable outcome, whereas the other developed a fatal
- 393 aspiration pneumonia. Cases of coal tar-related poisoning have been reported in farm as well as in
- domestic animals (Osweiler, 2013). Symptoms may change in relation to the particular
- 395 composition of the coal tar but in general acute/chronic hepatic damage and eventually renal
- tubular damage (due to the presence of phenolic components) are observed (Osweiler, 2013).
- 398 **5.** Conclusion
- 399 Animals are greatly affected by environmental toxicants and may play a crucial role as
- 400 bioindicators. Indeed, toxico-epidemiological studies on animal poisoning can be useful tools to
- 401 identify, monitor and anticipate environmental, human and animal health hazards, through a one
- 402 health approach.
- 403 The data collected in this work provide a complete and up-to-date overview on toxicant (drugs,
- 404 households and pesticides) exposure in animals. The observed trends in the major toxicant
- 405 categories share similarities with those reported in previous Italian and European studies, but
- 406 some peculiarities and new tendencies are emerging, stressing the need to perform a continuous
- 407 surveillance to carry out a proper and comprehensive risk evaluation on environmental pollutants.
- 408

# 409 Aknowledgement

- 410 The authors are very grateful to Francesca Assisi, Maurizio Bissoli, Rossana Borghini, Valeria
- 411 Dimasi, Marcello Ferruzzi, Paola Angela Moro, Antonella Pirina, Ilaria Rebutti, Angelo Roberto
- 412 Travaglia, Fabrizio Maria Sesana, Giovanni Milanesi, Joannhe Georgatos and Paolo Severgnini.
- 413

# 414 Declaration of competing interest

- 415 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or 416 not-for-profit sectors.
- 417418 References
- Amoroso L, Cocumelli C, Bruni G, Brozzi A, Tancredi F, Grifoni G, et al. Ethylene glycol toxicity: a
   retrospective pathological study in cats. Veterinaria Italiana 2017; 53: 251-254.
   doi:10.12834/Vetlt.1159.6409.2.
- 422 Barbier N. Bilan d'activité du Centre National d'Informations Toxicologiques Vétérinaires pour
  423 l'année 2003. Lyon, 2005, pp. 220.
- 424 Bates N. Ethylene glycol poisoning. Companion Animal 2016; 21: 95-99.
  425 doi:10.12968/coan.2016.21.2.95.
- Berny P, Caloni F, Croubels S, Sachana M, Vandenbroucke V, Davanzo F, et al. Animal poisoning in
  Europe. Part 2: Companion animals. The Veterinary Journal 2010a; 183: 255-259.
  doi:10.1016/j.tvjl.2009.03.034.
- Berny P, Velardo J, Pulce C, D'Amico A, Kammerer M, Lasseur R. Prevalence of anticoagulant
   rodenticide poisoning in humans and animals in France and substances involved. Clinical
   Toxicology 2010b; 48: 935-941. doi:10.3109/15563650.2010.533678.

432 Bertero A, Chiari M, Vitale N, Zanoni M, Faggionato E, Biancardi A, et al. Types of pesticides 433 involved in domestic and wild animal poisoning in Italy. Sci Total Environ 2020a; 707: 434 136129. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.136129.

435 Bertero A, Fossati P, Caloni F. Indoor poisoning of companion animals by chemicals. Science of The 436 Total Environment 2020b. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139366.

- 437 Bischoff K, Priest H, Mount-Long A. Animals as sentinels for human lead exposure: a case report. 438 Journal of medical toxicology : official journal of the American College of Medical 439 Toxicology 2010; 6: 185-189. doi:10.1007/s13181-010-0014-9.
- 440 Braouezec C, Enriquez B, Blanchard M, Chevreuil M, Teil MJ. Cat serum contamination by 441 phthalates, PCBs, and PBDEs versus food and indoor air. Environmental Science and 442 Pollution Research 2016; 23: 9574-9584. doi:10.1007/s11356-016-6063-0.
- 443 Cairns R, Brown JA, Dawson AH, Davis W, Buckley NA. Carols by glow sticks: a retrospective 444 analysis of Poisons Information Centre data. Med J Aust 2018; 209: 505-508.
- 445 Caloni F, Berny P, Croubels S, Sachana M, Guitart R. Chapter 3 - Epidemiology of Animal Poisonings 446 in Europe. In: Gupta RC, editor. Veterinary Toxicology (Third Edition). Academic Press, 447 2018, pp. 45-56.
- 448 Caloni F, Cortinovis C, Pizzo F, Rivolta M, Davanzo F. Epidemiological study (2006–2012) on the 449 poisoning of small animals by human and veterinary drugs. Veterinary Record 2014; 174: 222. doi:10.1136/vr.102107. 450
- 451 Caloni F, Cortinovis C, Rivolta M, Davanzo F. Animal poisoning in Italy: 10 years of epidemiological 452 data from the Poison Control Centre of Milan. Vet Rec 2012; 170: 415. 453 doi:10.1136/vr.100210.
- 454 Caloni F, Cortinovis C, Rivolta M, Davanzo F. Suspected poisoning of domestic animals by 455 pesticides. Science of The Total Environment 2016; 539: 331-336. 456 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.09.005.
- 457 Coalova I, Ríos de Molina MdC, Chaufan G. Influence of the spray adjuvant on the toxicity effects of a glyphosate formulation. Toxicology in Vitro 2014; 28: 1306-1311. 458 459 doi:10.1016/j.tiv.2014.06.014.
- 460 Cortinovis C, Davanzo F, Rivolta M, Caloni F. Glyphosate-surfactant herbicide poisoning in 461 domestic animals: an epidemiological survey. Veterinary Record 2015; 176: 413. 462 doi:10.1136/vr.102763.
- 463 Gahagan P, Wismer T. Toxicology of Explosives and Fireworks in Small Animals. Vet Clin North Am 464 Small Anim Pract 2018; 48: 1039-1051. doi:10.1016/j.cvsm.2018.06.007.
- 465 García-Ortuño LE, Bouda J, Jardón HG, Morales E. Clinical-pathological diagnosis of ethylene glycol 466 poisoning: A case report. Vet Mex 2006; 37: 9.
- 467 Garnier R, Manel J, de Bels F, Blanc-Brisset I, Nisse P, Saviuc P, et al. Abstracts of the 2012 468 International Congress of the European Association of Poisons Centres and Clinical 469 Toxicologists, 25 May–1 June 2012, London, UK. Clinical Toxicology 2012; 50: 273-366. 470 doi:10.3109/15563650.2012.669957.
- 471 Giuliano Albo A, Nebbia C. Incidence of Poisonings in Domestic Carnivores in Italy. Veterinary 472 Research Communications 2004; 28: 83-88. doi:10.1023/B:VERC.0000045383.84386.77.
- 473 Goulson D. REVIEW: An overview of the environmental risks posed by neonicotinoid insecticides. 474 Journal of Applied Ecology 2013; 50: 977-987. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12111.
- 475 Gulson B, Korsch M, Matisons M, Douglas C, Gillam L, McLaughlin V. Windblown lead carbonate as 476 the main source of lead in blood of children from a seaside community: an example of local 477 birds as "canaries in the mine". Environ Health Perspect 2009; 117: 148-54.
- 478 doi:10.1289/ehp.11577.

- Henriquez-Hernandez LA, Carreton E, Camacho M, Montoya-Alonso JA, Boada LD, Martin VB, et al.
  Potential Role of Pet Cats As a Sentinel Species for Human Exposure to Flame Retardants.
  Frontiers in Veterinary Science 2017; 4. doi:10.3389/fvets.2017.00079.
- Martínez-Haro M, Mateo R, Guitart R, Soler-Rodríguez F, Pérez-López M, María-Mojica P, et al.
   Relationship of the toxicity of pesticide formulations and their commercial restrictions with
   the frequency of animal poisonings. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 2008; 69: 396 402. doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2007.05.006.
- 486 McFarland SE, Mischke RH, Hopster-Iversen C, von Krueger X, Ammer H, Potschka H, et al.
  487 Systematic account of animal poisonings in Germany, 2012-2015. Vet Rec 2017; 180: 327.
  488 doi:10.1136/vr.103973.
- 489 Modrá H, Svobodová Z. Incidence of animal poisoning cases in the Czech Republic: current
   490 situation. Interdisciplinary toxicology 2009; 2: 48-51. doi:10.2478/v10102-009-0009-z.
- 491 Ngufor C, Critchley J, Fagbohoun J, N'Guessan R, Todjinou D, Rowland M. Chlorfenapyr (A Pyrrole
   492 Insecticide) Applied Alone or as a Mixture with Alpha-Cypermethrin for Indoor Residual
   493 Spraying against Pyrethroid Resistant Anopheles gambiae sl: An Experimental Hut Study in
   494 Cove, Benin. PLOS ONE 2016; 11: e0162210. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0162210.
- 495 Osweiler GD. Overview of Coal-Tar Products Poisoning. MSD MANUAL Veterinary Manual, 2013.
- 496 Popa AM, Goanta AM, Fernoaga C, Ionita L, Codreanu M. Clinical-diagnosis coordinates in ethylene
  497 glycol intoxication in a cat. Case study. Scientific Works. Series C. Veterinary Medicine
  498 2018; 64: 91-94.
- 499 Potter A, Yeates J, Gaines S. Diagnosis and reporting of antifreeze poisoning. Veterinary Record
   500 2015; 177: 630. doi:10.1136/vr.h6831.
- Ruiz-Suárez N, Boada LD, Henríquez-Hernández LA, González-Moreo F, Suárez-Pérez A, Camacho
   M, et al. Continued implication of the banned pesticides carbofuran and aldicarb in the
   poisoning of domestic and wild animals of the Canary Islands (Spain). Science of The Total
   Environment 2015; 505: 1093-1099. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.10.093.
- 505Schediwy M, Mevissen M, Demuth D, Kupper J, Naegeli H. [New causes of animal poisoning in506Switzerland]. Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd 2015; 157: 147-52. doi:10.17236/sat00011.
- Schweighauser A, Francey T. Ethylene glycol poisoning in three dogs: Importance of early diagnosis
   and role of hemodialysis as a treatment option. Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd 2016; 158: 109-14.
   doi:10.17236/sat00051.
- Serpe FP, Fiorito F, Esposito M, Ferrari A, Fracassi F, Miniero R, et al. Polychlorobiphenyl levels in
   the serum of cats from residential flats in Italy: Role of the indoor environment. Journal of
   Environmental Science and Health Part a-Toxic/Hazardous Substances & Environmental
   Engineering 2018; 53: 777-785. doi:10.1080/10934529.2018.1445079.
- Srebocan E, Rafaj RB, Crnic AP, Mrljak V. Levels of polybrominated diphenyl ether congeners in the
   serum of dogs as a potential indicator of environmental pollution and human exposure short communication. Veterinarski Arhiv 2019; 89: 247-255. doi:10.24099/vet.arhiv.0093.
- Stanley MK, Kelers K, Boller E, Boller M. Acute barium poisoning in a dog after ingestion of
   handheld fireworks (party sparklers). Journal of Veterinary Emergency and Critical Care
   2019; 29: 201-207. doi:10.1111/vec.12820.
- Tsuchiya K. Historical perspectives in occupational medicine. The discovery of the causal agent of
   minamata disease. American Journal of Industrial Medicine 1992; 21: 275-280.
   doi:10.1002/ajim.4700210215.
- Vandenbroucke V, van Pelt H, Backer P, Croubels S. Animal poisonings in Belgium: A review of the
   past decade. Vlaams Diergeneeskundig Tijdschrift 2010; 79: 259-268.

Wang Y, Kruzik P, Helsberg A, Helsberg I, Rausch W-D. Pesticide poisoning in domestic animals and
 livestock in Austria: A 6 years retrospective study. Forensic Science International 2007;
 169: 157-160. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.08.008.

#### 528 529 Figure captions

- 530 **Figure 1.** Geographical distribution in Italy of the enquiries received by the Poison Control Centre
- of Milan (CAV) during the period January 2017 March 2019 on animal exposures to drugs,
- bouseholds and pesticides.
- 533 Figure 2. Species involved in suspected poisoning by drugs, households and pesticides, according
- to the calls received by the Poison Control Centre of Milan (CAV) during the period January 2017 -March 2019.
- 536 **Figure 3.** Classes of toxicants (drugs, households and pesticides) involved in suspected animal
- poisoning (calls). Poison Control Centre of Milan (CAV), data from January 2017 to March 2019.
- Figure 4. Pesticide poisoning (calls) in animals. Poison Control Centre of Milan (CAV), data fromJanuary 2017 to March 2019.
- 540 **Figure 5.** Classes of insecticides involved in suspected animal poisoning (calls). Poison Control
- 541 Centre of Milan (CAV), data from January 2017 to March 2019.
- 542 **Figure 6.** Rodenticides involved in suspected animal poisoning (calls). Poison Control Centre of
- 543 Milan (CAV), data from January 2017 to March 2019.
- 544 **Figure 7.** Drugs (including human and veterinary medicinal products, tobacco/nicotine and drugs
- of abuse) involved in suspected animal poisoning (calls). Poison Control Centre of Milan (CAV),data from January 2017 to March 2019.
- 547 **Figure 8.** Households involved in suspected animal poisoning (calls). Poison Control Centre of
- 548 Milan (CAV), data from January 2017 to March 2019.
- 549
- 550