

This is a pre print version of the following article:



# AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

# Suspected environmental poisoning by drugs, household products and pesticides in domestic animals

Original Citation:	
Availability:	
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1835691	since 2022-01-26T12:52:16Z
Published version:	
DOI:10.1016/j.etap.2020.103471	
Terms of use:	
Open Access  Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.	

(Article begins on next page)

### Suspected environmental poisoning by drugs, households and pesticides in domestic animals

Alessia Bertero<sup>a</sup>, Marina Rivolta<sup>b</sup>, Franca Davanzo<sup>b</sup>, Francesca Caloni<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Environmental Science and Policy, Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Celoria 10, 20133 Milan, Italy;

<sup>b</sup> Milan Poison Control Centre, ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda, Piazza dell'Ospedale Maggiore 3, 20162 Milan, Italy

\* Corresponding author

#### Abstract

Animal poisoning by chemicals (pesticides and household products) and drugs is a frequent occurrence and special attention should be paid to this phenomenon to improve prevention and treatment strategies but also because of the fundamental role that animals may play as bioindicators for the environmental health.

bioindicators for the environmental health. From January 2017 to March 2019 the Poison Control Centre of Milan (CAV) in collaboration with the University of Milan, collected and analyzed epidemiological data on animal poisoning. During this period, the CAV received a total of 442 toxicology consultations related to animal poisoning and, among these, 80.3% were related to chemicals and drugs. The dog was the species most frequently involved (83.7%), followed by cats (14.6%) and rabbits (0.6%), while single enquiries concerned a pony, a ferret and an African hedgehog (0.3% each). The outcome was positive for 52.7% of the episodes, negative for 4.2% and unknown for 43.1% of the cases. Pesticides and drugs were the two major causes of poisoning (34.1% and 33.5%, respectively), followed by household products (29.3%) and other causative agents (3.1%, n=11). As for drugs, this category included human (84%, mainly CNS drugs and NSAIDs) and veterinary (10.1%, mainly parasiticides) medicinal products, tobacco/nicotine (2.5%) and drugs of abuse (Cannabis and hashish, 3.4%). The dog was the most involved species (86.6%), followed by cats (13.4%). Detergents (20.2%) accounted for the majority of the toxicology consultations on household products, followed by caustic agents (16.3%), fertilizers (15.4%), antifreezes (7.7%, mainly ethylene glycol) and firelighters (6.7%). The involved species were dogs (71.2%), cats (26.9%) and rabbits (1.9%). Other causative agents included chemiluminescent glow-sticks, firecrackers and coal tar. In conclusion, these findings can provide useful information for the identification and monitoring of known and emerging toxicants, with positive repercussions on human, animal and environmental health.

### **Keywords (max 6)**

Chemicals; domestic animals; drugs; households; pesticides; poisoning.

# 1. Introduction

Animal poisoning is a frequent occurrence (Berny et al., 2010a; Bertero et al., 2020a; Caloni et al., 2018; McFarland et al., 2017) and is an issue that is receiving special attention nowadays, thanks also to the spreading of a new public sensibility and awareness. Against this background, an increasing level of importance is placed on the systematic collection of epidemiological data concerning toxicant exposure in animals, not just to help veterinarians in the diagnosis and treatment of poisoning cases or for the implementation of preventive measures but also for the role that animals can plays as bioindicators for human and environmental health. Indeed, a structured recording and analysis of animal toxicant exposure cases may provide fundamental

pieces for the evaluation of the risk posed by environmental pollutants through a one health perspective, by virtue of the close interconnection exiting between animals, humans and ecosystems.

A centralized veterinary poison center does not exist in Italy (Caloni et al., 2012) and the collection of data relies on the efforts of universities, research institutes, government institutions and poison centers. The human Poison Control Centre in Milan (CAV), established in 1967, consists of a dedicated team of specialists that offer telephone consultations to the public and to healthcare professionals on toxicant exposures, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Due to the absence of a veterinary-specific poison centre, the CAV also provides consultations on episodes of suspected animal poisoning. Moreover, thanks to an ongoing collaboration with the University of Milan, epidemiological data are extrapolated from the toxicology consultations classified, inserted in a databank and analysed.

In this paper, epidemiological data on animal poisoning enquiries concerning drugs, households and pesticides received by the CAV between January 2017 - March 2019 will be presented and analyzed. The purpose is to provide comprehensive information on toxicant exposure in terms of incidence, species involved, causative agents, route of exposure, clinical sign and outcome, also analyzing causative agent trends and the emergence of new tendencies/compounds.

#### 2. Material and methods

Since 1990 the Poison Control Centre of Milan (CAV) records, analyzes and archives data related to animal poisoning episodes occurring in Italy. On request, the CAV gives telephone consultations providing information and suggestions for the management of animal poisoning to veterinarians but also to animal owners.

The typical procedure for the collection of data concerning the professional counseling require to complete a form during the toxicology consultations with information on the animal species, potential poisoning agents, route of exposure, clinical signs. Veterinary toxicologists at the University of Milan collaborate with the CAV to handle the enquiries. Moreover, continuous update on cases from follow-up calls are included, in order to maintain the database as up-to-date, complete and accurate as possible. The data on this paper have been collected from January 2017 to March 2019 and the toxic compounds have been classified according to the following categories: pesticides (insecticides, rodenticides, molluscicides, herbicides and fungicides), drugs (human and veterinary medicinal products, tobacco/nicotine and drugs of abuse), household products and other compounds.

#### 2.1. Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistic was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Mac, Version 26.0 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) and graphs were created using Prism for Mac, Version 8.4.1 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).

# 3. Results

From January 2017 to March 2019, the CAV received a total of 442 toxicology consultations related to animal poisoning episodes. Among these, 80.3% (n=355) were related to chemicals (households and pesticides) and drugs. As for the latter, 70.4% of the toxicology consultations (n=250) were from veterinarians, 28.7% (n=102) from animal owners and for 0.8% of the enquiries (n=3) the caller was unknow. The majority of the calls were from Lombardy (36.3%, n=129), followed by Emilia Romagna (12.4%, n=44), Veneto (11.5%, n=41) and Sicily (6.8%, n=24) (Figure 1). The dog was the species most frequently involved (83.7%, n=297), followed by the cat (14.6%, n=52). Two calls regarded rabbits (0.6%) and single enquiries were received concerning a pony, a

- 97 ferret and an African hedgehog (0.3% each) (Figure 2). The majority of the exposures occurred
- 98 indoor (78.9%, n=280), 17.2% (n=61) outdoor, whereas for 3.9% of the episodes (n=14) the site of
- 99 exposure was unknown. The route of the exposure was ingestion in most of the cases (87.9%).
- 100 Toxicant exposures were generally accidental (93%, n=330), but in some cases they were due to
- owner errors/misuses (2.8%, n=10), one (0.3%) episode was due to an intentional poisoning and 101
- 102 for 14 cases (3.9%) the circumstances that led to the intoxication were unknow. In the majority of
- 103 the cases, symptoms of the intoxication appeared within 24 h after the exposure (62.5%, n=222).
- 104 The outcome was positive for 187 animals (52.7%), fatal for 15 animals (4.2%) and unknow in 153
- 105 cases (43.1%).

106 107

# 3.1 Classes of toxic compounds

108 The data analysis showed that, among the considered toxicants (chemicals and drugs) (Figure 3), 109

- pesticides and drugs were the two major causes of poisoning (34.1%, n=121 and 33.5%, n=119,
- 110 respectively), followed by household products (29.3%, n=104) and other causative agents (3.1%,
- 111 n=11).

112 113

118

135

#### 3.1.1 Pesticides

- 114 A total of 121 enquiries (34.1%) were related to pesticides. Among these, the greater number of
- calls involved insecticides (44.6%, n=54), followed by rodenticides (28.9%, n=35), fungicides (9.1%, 115
- 116 n=11), herbicides (7.4%, n=9) and molluscicides (6.6%, n=8), whereas in 4 cases (3.3%) the
- 117 involved pesticide was not further characterized (Figure 4).

# 3.1.1.1 Insecticides

- 119 The enquiries on insecticides have been classified as reported in Figure 5. Pyrethrins/pyrethroids
- 120 were the most common cause of intoxication (42.6%, n=23), with the association cypermethrin-
- tetramethrin being the most frequently involved, followed by neonicotinoids (acetamiprid and 121
- 122 imidacloprid, 25.9%, n=14), organoarsenic compounds (dimethylarsinate; 14.8%, n=8), carbamates
- 123 (5.6%, n=3), isothiazolinones (1.9%, n=1), phenylpyrazoles (1.9%, n=1) and pyrroles (1.9%, n=1),
- 124 while in 3 cases the insecticide involved was unknown (5.6%).
- 125 Specifically, concerning the dog, 16.5% of all the enquiries on this species were due to insecticides
- 126 (49 out of 297), and pyrethrins/pyrethroids were the most involved class (40.8%, n=20), followed
- 127 by neonicotinoids (24.5%, n=12), organoarsenic compounds (dimethylarsinate; 16.3%, n=8) and
- 128 carbamates (6.1%, n=3). In cats, 5.8% (3 out of 52) of the calls were related to insecticides, with 2
- 129 calls involving neonicotinoids (acetamiprid and imidacloprid) and 1 call pyrethroids (deltamethrin).
- 130 Both in dogs and cats the major route of exposure was ingestion.
- 131 Chlorfenapyr, a novel pyrrole insecticide (Ngufor et al., 2016), was reported as the causative agent
- 132 in one case of intoxication concerning a dog. A poisoning episode of a ferret involved the mucosal
- 133 exposure to pyrethroids, and the same class was involved in the intoxication of a pony through the
- 134 gastrointestinal route.

#### 3.1.1.2 Rodenticides

- 136 Rodenticides accounted for 9.9% (n=35) of all the calls received by CAV concerning chemicals and
- 137 drugs, and 28.9% of the enquiries on pesticides (Figure 6). The dog was the only species involved.
- 138 Anticoagulant rodenticides accounted for 31.4% of the enquiries (n=11) and non-anticoagulant
- 139 compounds were responsible for 5.7% (n=2) of the calls, while in 22 cases the involved molecule
- 140 was unknown (62.9%). Bromadiolone and difenacoum were the most frequently involved
- 141 compounds (14.3%, n=5 and 8.6%, n=3, respectively), but brodifacoum, coumatetralyl,
- 142 diferhialone, thallium and  $\alpha$ -chloralose were also reported (2.9%, n=1, each).

#### 143 3.1.1.3 Molluscicides

- All the enquiries received by CAV on molluscicide intoxications were related to the accidental
- ingestion of metaldehyde by dogs (6.6% of the call concerning pesticides and 2.3% of the total
- calls on chemicals and drugs).

#### **3.1.1.4** Herbicides

- Herbicides accounted for 7.4% of the enquiries involving pesticides (Figure 4) and for 2.5% of the
- calls concerning chemicals and drugs. Dogs and cats were the species most frequently involved
- 150 (44.4%, n=4, each). Glyphosate was the major culprit (66.7%, n=6) in dogs (3 cases out of 4) as well
- as in cats (2 cases out of 4). In the dog species, synthetic auxins (fluroxypyr and triclopyr) were
- also reported (1 case). In cats, other involved compounds were dicamba and metribuzin (1 case
- 153 each).

162163

180 181

- 154 Glyphosate was also involved in one enquiry concerning an African hedgehog which after the
- exposure to this herbicide showed dyspnea and oral edema.

# **3.1.1.5 Fungicides**

- 157 Fungicide exposure accounted for 9.1% of the enquiries involving pesticides (Figure 4) and for
- 158 3.1% of the calls received by CAV on chemicals and drugs. The dog was the only species affected,
- with copper sulfate and dodine being the most frequently implicated compounds (27.3%, n=3,
- each), followed by ziram (18.2%, n=2) and dicopper chloride trihydroxide (9.1%, n=1). In 2 cases
- 161 (18.2%) the involved fungicide compound was not identified.

#### **3.1.2 Drugs**

- 164 In this category (Figure 7) are included human (84%, n=100; Table 1) and veterinary (10.1%, n=12;
- Table 2) medicinal products, tobacco/nicotine (2.5%, n=3) and drugs of abuse (3.4%, n=4). As for
- dogs (86.6% of the calls, n=103), the majority of the enquiries involved the exposure to human
- drugs (85.4%, n=88), with CNS drugs (20.5%, n=18) and NSAIDs (12.5%, n=11) together with alpha
- and beta blockers (12.5%, n=11) being the most involved classes of compounds (Table 1).
- Veterinary drugs (mainly parasiticides and NSAIDs) were responsible for 7.8% of the intoxications
- in dogs (n=8) (Table 2) and drugs of abuse, specifically Cannabis indica (n=1) and hashish (n=3),
- were involved in 3.9% of the cases, followed by the exposure to tobacco/nicotine (2.9%, n=3)
- 172 (Figure 7).
- A significantly lower number of drug intoxications were reported in cats, which accounted for
- 174 13.4% of the calls (n=16). Human drugs were the major culprit (75%, n=12), particularly CNS drugs
- 175 (33.3%, n=4), muscle relaxers (25%, n=3) and NSAIDs (16.7%, n=2) (Table 1), followed by veterinary
- drugs (25%, n=4)(Table 2). As for the latter, the most involved classes of compounds were
- parasiticides (75%, n=3), with 2 cases due to adverse reactions to pyrethroids. A sporadic case of
- acute intoxication with dyspnea was reported in a cat after the accidental ingestion of feline facial
- 179 pheromones (Table 2).

# 3.1.3 Household products

- In general, detergents (20.2%, n=21) accounted for the majority of the calls involving households,
- followed by caustic agents (16.3%, n=17), fertilizers (15.4%, n=16), antifreezes (7.7%, n=8) and
- 184 firelighters (6.7%, n=7).
- The dog species accounted for the majority of the calls on household products (71.2%, n=74),
- followed by the cat (26.9%, n=28) and just 2 enquiries (1.9%) were about rabbits (Figure 8). As for
- dogs, the majority of the cases were due to the exposure to fertilizers and detergents (20.3%,
- n=15 and 18.9%, n=14, respectively), followed by caustic agents such as strong acids and bases,
- anti-limescales and bleaches (16.2%, n=12). Other frequent implicated classes of compounds were
- antifreezes (mainly ethylene glycol) and firelighters (8.1%, n=6 each). Concerning cats, many
- enquiries were about detergents (25%, n=7), caustic agents (anti-limescales, bleach and sodium

hydroxide, 17.9%, n=5), essential oils (liquid potpourri for home fragrance, 14.3%, n=4) and antifreezes (7.1%, n=2). The 2 calls received on rabbits were about the ingestion of a firelighter and a washable mural paint.

**3.1.4 Oth** 

# 3.1.4 Other causative agents

Other causative agents are reported in Table 3. Among those, a chemiluminescent glow-stick was responsible of an intoxication in a cat which ingested its liquid content. The ingestion of a firecracker by a dog was reported to cause vomiting and sensory alterations (the animal was lethargic/comatose). These 2 cases had positive outcomes whereas a fatal episode was reported in a dog after the ingestion of coal tar, due to aspiration pneumonia.

# 3.2 Clinical signs

The most frequent clinical signs due to toxicant exposure were gastrointestinal (mainly vomiting), neurological (especially convulsions, tremors and ataxia) and cardiological (arrhythmias, bradycardia and tachycardia) signs. Death occurred in 7.4% of the cases with a known outcome. Household products (53.3%, n=8), pesticides (20%, n=3) and drugs (20%, n=3) were the most common causes of death (Table 4).

#### 4. Discussion

This work aims to provide an overview on animal exposure to toxicants (drugs, households and pesticides). Keeping a systematic and up-to-date collection of these data in crucial, not only for the clinical management of this type of intoxications but, other than that, to maintain the attention high on the issue of environmental safety, which connects humans, animals and ecosystems, also in the view to perform a comprehensive evaluation of the toxicological risks.

In this context, animals may play a fundamental role as bioindicators for the determination and assessment of environmental toxicants (Bertero et al., 2020b; Bischoff et al., 2010; Braouezec et al., 2016; Henriquez-Hernandez et al., 2017; Serpe et al., 2018; Srebocan et al., 2019). Moreover, animals have shown to be very sensitive to the detrimental health effects of environmental pollutants, often more than humans, being also able to furnish key information on the rise of emerging toxicants (Gulson et al., 2009; Tsuchiya, 1992).

Results on toxicant exposure collected in this paper are quite similar to those previously reported in Italy and in other European countries (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010a; Bertero et al., 2020b; Caloni et al., 2018; Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016; McFarland et al., 2017; Modrá and Svobodová, 2009; Schediwy et al., 2015; Vandenbroucke et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2007), but some peculiarities and new trends are emerging.

From national perspective, a great number of calls were from the Northern part of Italy (*i.e.* from Lombardy, Veneto and Emilia Romagna) but Southern and Central regions are also well represented since a remarkable number of enquiries had been received from these territories, enabling to outline a fair view of the phenomenon at a national level.

enabling to outline a fair view of the phenomenon at a national level.

Most of the toxicology consultations were related to dogs and cats (Figure 2), revealing a better predisposition for pet owners and veterinarians to use the CAV consultation service, maybe because these figures are more likely to know the existence of this opportunity. The majority of the enquiries related to dogs were due to the exposure to pesticides and drugs, followed by household products (Figure 3), whereas for the feline species households, followed by drugs and pesticides, have been identified as the major culprits. A similar situation has been reported in a previous work by CAV (Caloni et al., 2012), in which the data collected from 2000 to 2010 revealed that pesticides and drugs, followed by household products were the toxic classes most frequently involved in calls related to suspected animal poisonings. A similar trend has been observed in

```
Europe, where pest control substances and drugs are common causative agents of poisoning in
240
241
       pets, followed by other toxicants such as household products (Caloni et al., 2018). In particular,
242
       Vandenbroucke et al. (Vandenbroucke et al., 2010) reported pesticides, followed by drugs and
243
       households, as the major causes of intoxication in dogs, whereas medicinal products (21.8 %) and
244
       pesticides (17.3%) were among the top three toxicant categories involved in toxicology
245
       consultations received by German Poison Centers (McFarland et al., 2017). In France, data analysis
246
       of the enquiries received by the Centre National d'Informations Toxicologiques Vétérinaires
247
       (CNITV) of the College of Veterinary Medicine in Lyon identified pesticides, drugs and pollutants
248
       (i.e. hydrocarbons, detergents, antifreezes, etc.) as the major three toxicant classes involved in
249
       intoxications of domestic carnivores (Barbier, 2005). In Switzerland, medicinal products followed
250
       by pesticides are indicated as the most frequent causes of poisoning in dogs, whereas for cats,
251
       drugs (mainly veterinary medicines) but also household products (especially cleaning agents) have
252
       been implicated (Schediwy et al., 2015).
253
       Concerning pesticides, insecticides (Figure 4) were the most involved class of compounds. Among
254
       them (Figure 5), pyrethrins/pyrethroids were the predominant agents of poisoning in dogs,
255
       followed by neonicotinoids, whereas just few cases involved carbamates. These data confirm the
256
       findings reported by Caloni et al., (Caloni et al., 2016), who described the exposure to
257
       pyrethrins/pyrethroids as the primary cause of insecticide poisoning in pets delineating a new
258
       tendency since previous trends have seen carbamates as one of the most frequent cause of
259
       insecticide poisoning. Indeed, in the dog species, anticholinesterase insecticides (carbamates and
260
       organophospates) were reported as the most commonly found insecticide compounds in a
261
       previous epidemiological study on animal poisoning by CAV (Caloni et al., 2012), and the same
262
       class has been indicated among the major causes of insecticide poisoning in many European
263
       papers (Barbier, 2005; Bertero et al., 2020a; Caloni et al., 2018; Modrá and Svobodová, 2009; Ruiz-
264
       Suárez et al., 2015; Vandenbroucke et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2007). Besides, in this scenario,
265
       neonicotinoids appear as emerging molecules in our study, with many cases recorded in dogs
266
       (Figure 5). Moreover organochlorines, insecticides that are still responsible of pet intoxications
267
       (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010a; Bertero et al., 2020a; Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016;
268
       Martínez-Haro et al., 2008), have not been found as a cause of animal poisoning in this study,
269
       whereas a case concerning the exposure to chlorfenapyr, a novel pyrrole insecticide (Ngufor et al.,
270
       2016), has been reported in a dog (Figure 5). On the other hand, the toxicology consultations
271
       related to insecticide intoxications in the feline species were mainly due to neonicotinoid
272
       (acetamiprid and imidacloprid) intoxications and just one case involved pyrethroids (deltamethrin)
273
       (Figure 5). Even if only 3 cases of insecticide poisoning have been recorded for this species in the
274
       present study, these data may be interesting, introducing possible new trends on causative agents
275
       since, besides the most frequently reported anticholinesterase and pyrethrin/pyrethroid
276
       intoxication episodes (Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016; Giuliano Albo and
277
       Nebbia, 2004; Modrá and Svobodová, 2009; Schediwy et al., 2015), neonicotinoids seem to
278
       emerge among the main causes of insecticide poisoning (Caloni et al., 2016). The reasons of this
279
       rise may lay on the relatively low toxicity towards mammas, in the face of a high toxicity towards
280
       insects (Goulson, 2013), together with a great versatility (various formulations are available, for
281
       home gardening and for indoor use as baits).
282
       As for rodenticides (Figure 6), anticoagulant compounds and in particular second generation
283
       molecules such as bromadiolone and difenacoum remained a major cause of intoxication, due to
284
       their widespread use, confirming previous findings from Italy and other European countries
285
       (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016; McFarland et al., 2017;
286
       Modrá and Svobodová, 2009; Schediwy et al., 2015). Non-anticoagulant rodenticides were found
```

responsible of just 2 poisoning episodes, one due to the exposure to  $\alpha$ -chloralose and the other to

287

```
288
       thallium, thus, despite the restrictions applied to the use of the latter as a rodenticide in many
289
       countries, this molecule is still responsible of poisoning cases. Interestingly, no rodenticide
290
       intoxications have been reported in cats: all the enquiries on these compounds involved dogs,
291
       species that is known to be more subject to rodenticide poisoning (Berny et al., 2010b; Caloni et
292
       al., 2016; Vandenbroucke et al., 2010). Metaldehyde was the only molluscicide compound related
293
       to animal intoxication and it was responsible of 6.6% of the enquiries involving pesticides (Figure
294
       4), percentage that is in line with those detected in another recent study performed in Italy
295
       (Bertero et al., 2020a). In this regard it seems that metaldehyde intoxication, which sees in the
296
       domestic carnivores the target species (Bertero et al., 2020a), is undergoing a slight decrease in
297
       comparison with data from previous Italian studies (Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016), even if
298
       it continues to be a major issue in Italy as well as in other European countries (Caloni et al., 2018;
299
       Modrá and Svobodová, 2009; Schediwy et al., 2015; Vandenbroucke et al., 2010; Wang et al.,
300
       2007), probably because of the palatability and wide availability that characterize this compound.
301
       As reported also by other authors (Barbier, 2005; Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016;
302
       Vandenbroucke et al., 2010), glyphosate was the herbicide most frequently involved in animal
303
       poisoning episodes, mainly in cats and dogs, while other compounds (synthetic auxins, dicamba
304
       and metribuzin) were involved only sporadically. With regard to glyphosate, attention must be
305
       paid to the formulations available in the market since it seems that the toxicity of this molecule is
306
       influenced (and increased) by the surfactants/adjuvants (i.e. polyoxyethylene amine) added in the
307
       commercial products (Coalova et al., 2014; Cortinovis et al., 2015). In accordance with other data
308
       from European literature (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al.,
309
       2016), the fungicide implicated in the highest number of enquiries was copper sulphate, together
310
       with dodine. Additional involved compounds were ziram and dicopper chloride trihydroxide,
311
       which have also been reported in cases of fungicide intoxications by other authors (Barbier, 2005;
312
       Caloni et al., 2012; Caloni et al., 2016).
313
       Drugs (Figure 7) generally account for a great number of intoxications in domestic animals, mainly
314
       because of owner improper/off-lab use (i.e. administration without a prescription) or accidental
315
       ingestion (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2014; Caloni et al., 2012; McFarland et
316
       al., 2017; Modrá and Svobodová, 2009; Schediwy et al., 2015; Vandenbroucke et al., 2010). In the
317
       present work, the dog was the species most affected (86.6% of the calls), with the majority of the
318
       enquiries concerning exposure to human drugs (Table 1) (86.6%; mainly CNS drugs, NSAIDs and
319
       alpha/beta blockers) and just few toxicology consultations (7.8%, n=8) related to veterinary drugs
320
       (Table 2) (parasiticides and NSAIDs). These results are in line with those of a previous survey by
321
       CAV (Caloni et al., 2012), which reported CNS drugs and NSAIDs as the classes of human medicines
322
       most involved in dog intoxications. Similar results were obtained in another study by CAV (Caloni
323
       et al., 2014) and in other surveys performed by European authors (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al.,
324
       2010a; Caloni et al., 2018; Schediwy et al., 2015), probably because of to the widespread use of
325
       these drugs by people. As for the cats, this species accounted for a lower number of drug
326
       intoxications (13.4%); human medicines (Table 1) were again the principal cause of poisoning
327
       (75%; CNS drugs, muscle relaxers, NSAIDs), followed by veterinary drugs (Table 2) (25%; mainly
328
       parasiticides). In addition, an interesting case was related to the oral exposure of a cat to feline
329
       facial pheromones, which led to an acute intoxication with respiratory symptoms that ended with
330
       a positive outcome but draw attention to the toxicological aspects connected to these relatively
331
       new products (pheromones). Previous data from CAV (Caloni et al., 2012) reported, for this
332
       species, several cases of misuse of veterinary parasiticides (mainly pyrethroids and in particular
       permethrin-based spot on) together with episodes of acetaminophen intoxications, and similar
333
334
       results have been reported by other authors (Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2014; McFarland et
335
       al., 2017; Schediwy et al., 2015). Therefore, our data seem to differ from those of many European
```

```
336
       researches that found veterinary parasiticides as the major culprit of drug intoxications in cats
337
       (Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2014; McFarland et al., 2017; Schediwy et al., 2015), while,
338
       considering all the enquiries on drugs, our data are in line with the general tendency reported in
339
       the European literature which sees the parasiticides as the major class of veterinary drugs
340
       involved in animal poisoning (Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2014; Caloni et al., 2012; McFarland
341
       et al., 2017; Schediwy et al., 2015), and CNS drugs and NSAIDs as the human medicines most
342
       frequently implicated (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2014; Caloni et al., 2012;
343
       McFarland et al., 2017; Schediwy et al., 2015; Vandenbroucke et al., 2010). The dog was the only
344
       species exposed to drugs of abuse (Figure 7), with percentages similar to those detected in a
345
       previous paper by CAV (Caloni et al., 2012). Household products accounted for a large number of
346
       enquiries (Figure 3), being the domestic environment reach in potentially toxic chemicals, whose
347
       numerousness and assortment is continuously increasing due to the incessant placing on the
348
       market of new products. Detergents accounted for the majority of the enquiries involving
349
       households, followed by caustic agents, fertilizers, antifreezes (mainly ethylene glycol) and
350
       firelighters (Figure 8), results that are in accordance with those reported in a previous
351
       epidemiological study performed by CAV (Caloni et al., 2012) and in many researches carried out
352
       around Europe (Barbier, 2005; Berny et al., 2010b; Caloni et al., 2018; McFarland et al., 2017;
353
       Schediwy et al., 2015). Dogs accounted for the majority of the enquiries on households, followed
354
       by cats, and just 2 enquiries were related to rabbits (Figure 8). In dogs, fertilizers (20.3%) and
355
       detergents (18.9%) were the major culprits, but also caustic agents (16.2%), antifreezes (8.1%,
356
       mainly ethylene glycol) and firelighters (8.1%) were among the most frequent causes. In cats, the
357
       greatest number of calls were about detergents (25%), followed by caustic agents (17.9%),
358
       essential oils (liquid potpourri, 14.3%) and antifreezes (7.1%). Interestingly, with regard to the
359
       feline species, essential oils emerged as a frequent cause of poisoning incidents. In literature a
360
       general tendency seems to depict detergents as often involved both in cat and dog intoxications
361
       (Caloni et al., 2018; Giuliano Albo and Nebbia, 2004; McFarland et al., 2017), as in our work,
362
       whereas fuel (petroleum distillate) intoxications seem to affect particularly cats (just one case
363
       recorded in our study, no cases in dogs) (Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2018; Giuliano Albo and
364
       Nebbia, 2004), probably because of the grooming behavior of this species, which may lead to a
365
       high oral absorption. As for ethylene glycol, intoxications are frequently observed in the dog (5
366
       cases in the present work) as well as in the feline species (2 cases concerning cats have been
367
       observed in our survey) (Amoroso et al., 2017; Berny et al., 2010a; Caloni et al., 2018; Potter et al.,
368
       2015). Moreover, it should be noted that household products were the major cause of fatal
369
       poisoning incidents in this study (53.3%)(Table 4), and in particular ethylene glycol alone
370
       accounted for 26.7% of the recorded fatal cases, which is in line with the high mortality rate
371
       generally observed for this compound (Bates, 2016; Berny et al., 2010a; García-Ortuño et al.,
372
       2006; Popa et al., 2018; Schweighauser and Francey, 2016).
373
       Other causative agents (Table 3) involved in animal intoxications included one episode due to the
374
       ingestion by a cat of the liquid content of a chemiluminescent glow-stick (plastic rods used as
375
       decorative items that sparkle in the dark as a result of a chemical reaction). Indeed these products
376
       are becoming a popular fashion accessory, particularly among young people, and cases of
377
       intoxication are sprouting up in pets (Schediwy et al., 2015) as well as in humans, particularly
378
       children (Cairns et al., 2018; Garnier et al., 2012). In our study the cat exposed developed, one
379
       hour after the ingestion, vomiting and reddening of the oral mucosa, symptoms that are similar to
380
       those (hypersalivation, retching/vomiting, hyperemia of the oral mucosa) described in other
381
       episodes in literature and that are due to the irritant effects exerted by the liquid content
382
       (Schediwy et al., 2015). However, even if the symptoms in case of an accidental acute exposure
383
       are reported to be not severe and the outcome favorable, attention should be paid to this
```

hydrocarbons (PAH) and phthalates, substances that may pose cancerogenic, genotoxic and reprotoxic risks (Garnier et al., 2012). Other reported causes of intoxication are fireworks/ firecrackers. In our work, a dog developed vomit and a comatose state after the ingestion of firecrackers and in the literature episodes of animal poisoning caused by explosives (mainly due to components such as cyclonite, barium, and chlorate (Gahagan and Wismer, 2018)) are also described (Stanley et al., 2019), sometimes with a fatal outcome (Schediwy et al., 2015). Two

emerging product, since the chemiluminescent dyes are usually composed of polycyclic aromatic

- enquiries were related to coal tar ingestion by dogs, in one case the animal developed gastrointestinal symptoms with a favorable outcome, whereas the other developed a fatal aspiration pneumonia. Cases of coal tar-related poisoning have been reported in farm as well as in domestic animals (Osweiler, 2013). Symptoms may change in relation to the particular
- composition of the coal tar but in general acute/chronic hepatic damage and eventually renal tubular damage (due to the presence of phenolic components) are observed (Osweiler, 2013).

# 5. Conclusion

384

397 398

408 409

413 414

415

416

417 418

419

420

421

422

423

426

427

428

- Animals are greatly affected by environmental toxicants and may play a crucial role as bioindicators. Indeed, toxico-epidemiological studies on animal poisoning can be useful tools to identify, monitor and anticipate environmental, human and animal health hazards, through a one health approach.
- The data collected in this work provide a complete and up-to-date overview on toxicant (drugs, households and pesticides) exposure in animals. The observed trends in the major toxicant categories share similarities with those reported in previous Italian and European studies, but some peculiarities and new tendencies are emerging, stressing the need to perform a continuous surveillance to carry out a proper and comprehensive risk evaluation on environmental pollutants.

#### Aknowledgement

The authors are very grateful to Francesca Assisi, Maurizio Bissoli, Rossana Borghini, Valeria
Dimasi, Marcello Ferruzzi, Paola Angela Moro, Antonella Pirina, Ilaria Rebutti, Angelo Roberto
Travaglia, Fabrizio Maria Sesana, Giovanni Milanesi, Joannhe Georgatos and Paolo Severgnini.

# **Declaration of competing interest**

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

#### References

- Amoroso L, Cocumelli C, Bruni G, Brozzi A, Tancredi F, Grifoni G, et al. Ethylene glycol toxicity: a retrospective pathological study in cats. Veterinaria Italiana 2017; 53: 251-254. doi:10.12834/Vetlt.1159.6409.2.
- Barbier N. Bilan d'activité du Centre National d'Informations Toxicologiques Vétérinaires pour l'année 2003. Lyon, 2005, pp. 220.
- Bates N. Ethylene glycol poisoning. Companion Animal 2016; 21: 95-99.
   doi:10.12968/coan.2016.21.2.95.
  - Berny P, Caloni F, Croubels S, Sachana M, Vandenbroucke V, Davanzo F, et al. Animal poisoning in Europe. Part 2: Companion animals. The Veterinary Journal 2010a; 183: 255-259. doi:10.1016/j.tvjl.2009.03.034.
- 429 Berny P, Velardo J, Pulce C, D'Amico A, Kammerer M, Lasseur R. Prevalence of anticoagulant 430 rodenticide poisoning in humans and animals in France and substances involved. Clinical 431 Toxicology 2010b; 48: 935-941. doi:10.3109/15563650.2010.533678.

- Bertero A, Chiari M, Vitale N, Zanoni M, Faggionato E, Biancardi A, et al. Types of pesticides involved in domestic and wild animal poisoning in Italy. Sci Total Environ 2020a; 707: 136129. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.136129.
- Bertero A, Fossati P, Caloni F. Indoor poisoning of companion animals by chemicals. Science of The Total Environment 2020b. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139366.
- 437 Bischoff K, Priest H, Mount-Long A. Animals as sentinels for human lead exposure: a case report.
  438 Journal of medical toxicology: official journal of the American College of Medical
  439 Toxicology 2010; 6: 185-189. doi:10.1007/s13181-010-0014-9.
- Braouezec C, Enriquez B, Blanchard M, Chevreuil M, Teil MJ. Cat serum contamination by
   phthalates, PCBs, and PBDEs versus food and indoor air. Environmental Science and
   Pollution Research 2016; 23: 9574-9584. doi:10.1007/s11356-016-6063-0.
- Cairns R, Brown JA, Dawson AH, Davis W, Buckley NA. Carols by glow sticks: a retrospective analysis of Poisons Information Centre data. Med J Aust 2018; 209: 505-508.

448

449

450

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

- Caloni F, Berny P, Croubels S, Sachana M, Guitart R. Chapter 3 Epidemiology of Animal Poisonings
   in Europe. In: Gupta RC, editor. Veterinary Toxicology (Third Edition). Academic Press,
   2018, pp. 45-56.
  - Caloni F, Cortinovis C, Pizzo F, Rivolta M, Davanzo F. Epidemiological study (2006–2012) on the poisoning of small animals by human and veterinary drugs. Veterinary Record 2014; 174: 222. doi:10.1136/vr.102107.
- Caloni F, Cortinovis C, Rivolta M, Davanzo F. Animal poisoning in Italy: 10 years of epidemiological
   data from the Poison Control Centre of Milan. Vet Rec 2012; 170: 415.
   doi:10.1136/vr.100210.
- Caloni F, Cortinovis C, Rivolta M, Davanzo F. Suspected poisoning of domestic animals by
   pesticides. Science of The Total Environment 2016; 539: 331-336.
   doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.09.005.
- Coalova I, Ríos de Molina MdC, Chaufan G. Influence of the spray adjuvant on the toxicity effects of a glyphosate formulation. Toxicology in Vitro 2014; 28: 1306-1311. doi:10.1016/j.tiv.2014.06.014.
  - Cortinovis C, Davanzo F, Rivolta M, Caloni F. Glyphosate-surfactant herbicide poisoning in domestic animals: an epidemiological survey. Veterinary Record 2015; 176: 413. doi:10.1136/vr.102763.
  - Gahagan P, Wismer T. Toxicology of Explosives and Fireworks in Small Animals. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract 2018; 48: 1039-1051. doi:10.1016/j.cvsm.2018.06.007.
  - García-Ortuño LE, Bouda J, Jardón HG, Morales E. Clinical-pathological diagnosis of ethylene glycol poisoning: A case report. Vet Mex 2006; 37: 9.
- Garnier R, Manel J, de Bels F, Blanc-Brisset I, Nisse P, Saviuc P, et al. Abstracts of the 2012
   International Congress of the European Association of Poisons Centres and Clinical
   Toxicologists, 25 May–1 June 2012, London, UK. Clinical Toxicology 2012; 50: 273-366.
   doi:10.3109/15563650.2012.669957.
- Giuliano Albo A, Nebbia C. Incidence of Poisonings in Domestic Carnivores in Italy. Veterinary
  Research Communications 2004; 28: 83-88. doi:10.1023/B:VERC.0000045383.84386.77.
- Goulson D. REVIEW: An overview of the environmental risks posed by neonicotinoid insecticides.

  Journal of Applied Ecology 2013; 50: 977-987. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12111.
- Gulson B, Korsch M, Matisons M, Douglas C, Gillam L, McLaughlin V. Windblown lead carbonate as the main source of lead in blood of children from a seaside community: an example of local birds as "canaries in the mine". Environ Health Perspect 2009; 117: 148-54. doi:10.1289/ehp.11577.

- Henriquez-Hernandez LA, Carreton E, Camacho M, Montoya-Alonso JA, Boada LD, Martin VB, et al.
   Potential Role of Pet Cats As a Sentinel Species for Human Exposure to Flame Retardants.
   Frontiers in Veterinary Science 2017; 4. doi:10.3389/fvets.2017.00079.
- Martínez-Haro M, Mateo R, Guitart R, Soler-Rodríguez F, Pérez-López M, María-Mojica P, et al.
  Relationship of the toxicity of pesticide formulations and their commercial restrictions with
  the frequency of animal poisonings. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 2008; 69: 396485 402. doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2007.05.006.

- McFarland SE, Mischke RH, Hopster-Iversen C, von Krueger X, Ammer H, Potschka H, et al. Systematic account of animal poisonings in Germany, 2012-2015. Vet Rec 2017; 180: 327. doi:10.1136/vr.103973.
- Modrá H, Svobodová Z. Incidence of animal poisoning cases in the Czech Republic: current situation. Interdisciplinary toxicology 2009; 2: 48-51. doi:10.2478/v10102-009-0009-z.
- Ngufor C, Critchley J, Fagbohoun J, N'Guessan R, Todjinou D, Rowland M. Chlorfenapyr (A Pyrrole Insecticide) Applied Alone or as a Mixture with Alpha-Cypermethrin for Indoor Residual Spraying against Pyrethroid Resistant Anopheles gambiae sl: An Experimental Hut Study in Cove, Benin. PLOS ONE 2016; 11: e0162210. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0162210.
- Osweiler GD. Overview of Coal-Tar Products Poisoning. MSD MANUAL Veterinary Manual, 2013.
- Popa AM, Goanta AM, Fernoaga C, Ionita L, Codreanu M. Clinical-diagnosis coordinates in ethylene glycol intoxication in a cat. Case study. Scientific Works. Series C. Veterinary Medicine 2018; 64: 91-94.
- Potter A, Yeates J, Gaines S. Diagnosis and reporting of antifreeze poisoning. Veterinary Record 2015; 177: 630. doi:10.1136/vr.h6831.
- Ruiz-Suárez N, Boada LD, Henríquez-Hernández LA, González-Moreo F, Suárez-Pérez A, Camacho M, et al. Continued implication of the banned pesticides carbofuran and aldicarb in the poisoning of domestic and wild animals of the Canary Islands (Spain). Science of The Total Environment 2015; 505: 1093-1099. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.10.093.
- Schediwy M, Mevissen M, Demuth D, Kupper J, Naegeli H. [New causes of animal poisoning in Switzerland]. Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd 2015; 157: 147-52. doi:10.17236/sat00011.
- Schweighauser A, Francey T. Ethylene glycol poisoning in three dogs: Importance of early diagnosis and role of hemodialysis as a treatment option. Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd 2016; 158: 109-14. doi:10.17236/sat00051.
- Serpe FP, Fiorito F, Esposito M, Ferrari A, Fracassi F, Miniero R, et al. Polychlorobiphenyl levels in the serum of cats from residential flats in Italy: Role of the indoor environment. Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part a-Toxic/Hazardous Substances & Environmental Engineering 2018; 53: 777-785. doi:10.1080/10934529.2018.1445079.
- Srebocan E, Rafaj RB, Crnic AP, Mrljak V. Levels of polybrominated diphenyl ether congeners in the serum of dogs as a potential indicator of environmental pollution and human exposure-short communication. Veterinarski Arhiv 2019; 89: 247-255. doi:10.24099/vet.arhiv.0093.
- Stanley MK, Kelers K, Boller E, Boller M. Acute barium poisoning in a dog after ingestion of handheld fireworks (party sparklers). Journal of Veterinary Emergency and Critical Care 2019; 29: 201-207. doi:10.1111/vec.12820.
- Tsuchiya K. Historical perspectives in occupational medicine. The discovery of the causal agent of minamata disease. American Journal of Industrial Medicine 1992; 21: 275-280. doi:10.1002/ajim.4700210215.
- Vandenbroucke V, van Pelt H, Backer P, Croubels S. Animal poisonings in Belgium: A review of the past decade. Vlaams Diergeneeskundig Tijdschrift 2010; 79: 259-268.

Wang Y, Kruzik P, Helsberg A, Helsberg I, Rausch W-D. Pesticide poisoning in domestic animals and livestock in Austria: A 6 years retrospective study. Forensic Science International 2007; 169: 157-160. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.08.008.

527528529

549 550

525

526

# Figure captions

- Figure 1. Geographical distribution in Italy of the enquiries received by the Poison Control Centre of Milan (CAV) during the period January 2017 March 2019 on animal exposures to drugs,
- 532 households and pesticides.
- Figure 2. Species involved in suspected poisoning by drugs, households and pesticides, according
- to the calls received by the Poison Control Centre of Milan (CAV) during the period January 2017 -
- 535 March 2019.
- Figure 3. Classes of toxicants (drugs, households and pesticides) involved in suspected animal
- poisoning (calls). Poison Control Centre of Milan (CAV), data from January 2017 to March 2019.
- Figure 4. Pesticide poisoning (calls) in animals. Poison Control Centre of Milan (CAV), data from
- 539 January 2017 to March 2019.
- 540 Figure 5. Classes of insecticides involved in suspected animal poisoning (calls). Poison Control
- 541 Centre of Milan (CAV), data from January 2017 to March 2019.
- 542 Figure 6. Rodenticides involved in suspected animal poisoning (calls). Poison Control Centre of
- Milan (CAV), data from January 2017 to March 2019.
- Figure 7. Drugs (including human and veterinary medicinal products, tobacco/nicotine and drugs
- of abuse) involved in suspected animal poisoning (calls). Poison Control Centre of Milan (CAV),
- 546 data from January 2017 to March 2019.
- 547 Figure 8. Households involved in suspected animal poisoning (calls). Poison Control Centre of
- 548 Milan (CAV), data from January 2017 to March 2019.