Metataxonomic signature of beef burger perishability depends on the meat origin prior grinding

(Article begins on next page)

18 October 2024

1   **Metataxonomic signature of beef burger perishability depends on the meat origin prior grinding**

2

3   Cristian Botta, Irene Franciosa, Valentina Alessandria, Vladimiro Cardenia, Luca Cocolin, Ilario

4   Ferrocino*.

5

6   Department of Forestry, Agriculture and Food Sciences, University of Torino, Italy.

7

8   **Running title:** Potentiality of metataxonomic analysis to detect batch variability

9

10   **Key words:** ground beef, metataxonomic, metabolomic, spoilage profiles

11

12   * Corresponding author:

13   Department of Agricultural, Forest, and Food Science, University of Turin, Largo Paolo Braccini 2,

14   10095, Grugliasco, Torino, Italy. E-mail address: ilario.ferrocino@unito.it (IF)

15

16   **Abstract**

17   Spoilage dynamics of two hamburger batches from different beef origins were followed from their shared

18   processing run until the use-by date and beyond. Amplicon based sequencing of bacterial and fungal

19   communities were compared with microbial counts and volatilome profile in order to determine whether

20   and at which extent their perishability was related to the batch origin.

21   Microbiological counts did not differ between batch A and B, whereas Volatile Organic Compounds

22   (VOCs) profiles were only distinguishable after the use-by date. Metataxonomic analysis showed that

23   both batches shared the same initial fungal and bacterial community, which however represented a

24   transient signature of the processing run. Indeed, it was rapidly replaced by batch-autochthonous species

of fungi and bacteria. Different temporal succession patterns of psychotropic lactic acid bacteria (LAB) were observed between the batches from the fourth day of vacuum storage. In particular, the sequential dominance of *Carnobacterium divergens* and *Leuconostoc piscium* in batch B was correlated with a more heterogeneous volatilome and greater production of VOCs linked to off-odours, such as the acetoin. The metataxonomic survey was able to discriminate between the two batches of hamburgers in relation to their origin and regardless of the initially shared processing-derived contamination.

1.INTRODUCTION

Meat consumption has increased worldwide in the last decades in relation to the greater demand from developing countries, in which the growing economic prosperity shifted the dietary habits towards a larger consumption of animal derived proteins (Bonnet, Bouamra-Mechemache, Réquillart, & Treich, 2020). It is therefore a concern that every year great percentages of meat products are wasted due to premature spoilage caused by bacteria, molds and yeasts development (Luong, Coroller, Zagorec, Membré, & Guillou, 2020). Indeed, shelf life depends to the tight relationship between physical-chemical composition of a given product and its microbiota. Food organoleptic traits are associated with the metabolic activities of beneficial or unwanted microbial communities, which alternatively determine shelf-life prolongation or reduction (De Filippis, Parente, & Ercolini, 2018).

High physical-chemical heterogeneity between different batches characterises meat and influences its initial microbial diversity (Pieszczek, Czarnik-Matusewicz, & Daszykowski, 2018). The initial composition of this animal-derived microbiota is subsequently modified by environmental sources of contamination, such as the operator's handling, water, air and the contact with equipment surfaces (Botta, Ferrocino, Pessione, Cocolin, & Rantsiou, 2020; Chaillou et al., 2015; Stellato et al., 2016). Impact of process-derived contamination on the final shelf life are particularly high when hygienic parameters suggested by the Good Manufacturing Practices (GMPs) are not fully accomplished (de Filippis, La

Storia, Villani, & Ercolini, 2013; Redondo-Solano et al., 2021). After packaging, the gaseous atmosphere and the maintenance of the cold-supply chain are the only means available to contrast a premature spoilage by slowing down microbial growth.

Among fresh meat products, beef burger is more prone to pigment degradation, proteins denaturation and lipid oxidation than intact muscle cuts. The increased surface area leads to high nutrients availability for the microbes growth and favors their catabolic activities (Bao, Puolanne, & Ertbjerg, 2016; Limbo, Torri, Sinelli, Franzetti, & Casiraghi, 2010). In relation to the ISO standards for the enumeration of spoilage population in meat its shelf-life under vacuum packages (VP) spans from ten to fourteen days (Pothakos, Snauwaert, De Vos, Huys, & Devlieghere, 2014). However, the number of biochemical reactions rises considerably in parallel to the microbial growth and compounds related to undesirable sensory changes are produced even before the use-by date (Hultman, Johansson, & Björkroth, 2020; Pothakos, Devlieghere, Villani, Björkroth, & Ercolini, 2015; Valerio et al., 2020).

One of the major causes of off-flavours and off-odours in ground beef is the lipid oxidation with the following formation of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) like aldehydes and ketones (Valerio et al., 2020). Overall, VOCs accumulation over certain thresholds can lead to products rejection due to rancidity odours and meat colour change (Casaburi, Piombino, Nychas, Villani, & Ercolini, 2015). These compounds come from the enzymatic degradation of amino acids, of which psychotrophic LAB, *Brochothrix thermosphacta* and *Pseudomonas* spp. are known to be the main responsible (Casaburi et al., 2015; Pennacchia, Ercolini, & Villani, 2011). Conversely, little is known on yeasts and molds involvement in the spoilage of chilled packaged meat during shelf-life (Yang, Che, Qi, Liang, & Song, 2017), while in dry cold-aged beef the mycobiota is known to alternatively play a spoilage and pro-technological role in relation to the species developed on the crust (Mikami et al., 2021; Oh, Lee, Lee, Jo, & Yoon, 2019; Ryu et al., 2018).

In this context, meat industries are constantly seeking for preventative techniques able to maximize the shelf-life of ground beef products by reducing or limiting their initial contamination levels. Different possible strategies have been proposed to accomplish this request: boosting the in-house sanitizing methods with environmental ozone treatments (Botta et al., 2020), prior-grinding decontamination of meat cuts with electrolyzed water (Botta et al., 2021, 2018), and the use of biopreservatives during shelf-life (Ferrocino, Greppi, Lastoria, Rantsiou, & Ercolini, 2016; Grispoldi, Karama, Sechi, Iulieto, & Cenci-Goga, 2020). It was however noticed that any preventative technique can be more or less effective in relation to the initial microbiota composition of the treated product, which varies between production runs (Botta et al., 2021; Ferrocino et al., 2016). Characterization of beef microbiota from the early productive phases is therefore important to define its shelf-life the most suitable preventative strategy.

To understand at which level of accuracy the metataxonomic analyses can characterise and distinguish ground beef microbiota in relation to their origin prior grinding, two batches of beef burgers manufactured in the same production run were followed along their shelf-life and beyond. Bacterial and fungal community were investigated and comprehensively compared with culture-dependent microbiology and volatilomic profiles.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Beef burger preparation and sampling

Beef burgers were manufactured in a local meat factory (Piedmont, Italy) with beef of *Fassona Piedmontese* cattle breed. Two batches (A and B) were prepared (trimming, grinding, packaging) consecutively the same day and in the same processing plant in February 2020: batches were provided from two different breeders, undergone independent slaughtering/maturation processes and no sanitization prior grinding. From each batch a total of 15 beef burgers (100 g each in a square shape)

96 were vacuum packed in a transparent linear low-density polyethylene bags (LLDPE; oxygen

97 transmission, 0.83 cm^3·m^{-2}·h-1 at 23 °C, 30 cm × 30 cm) and stored at 4.0 ± 0.5 °C without light

98 exposure.

99 The expiration date was fixed by the producer the 14th day of vacuum storage at 4 °C in relation to

100 previous shelf-life test performed following standard ISO indications for microbial analysis

101 (ISO13721:1995, ISO 15214:1998, ISO 4833:2003). Three samples for each batch were analysed along

102 five sampling points: the day 0, immediately after grinding-packaging; days 4 and 8, during regular shelf-

103 life; days 15 and 30, after the expiration date.

104

105 **2.2 Microbial counts**

106 Serial dilutions were set up from ground beef samples (10 g of meat in 90 mL Ringer's solution; Oxoid)

107 for each sampling point, with the exception of the the 30th day, due to the suspension of non-essential

108 activities occurred during the pandemic emergency of March 2020.

109 Microbial counts were performed following standard ISO indications for the enumeration of spoilage

110 population in meat products, without varying incubation parameters (temperature, oxygen) to favour the

111 psychotrophic detection. This choice has been operated to resemble the common output obtained from

112 analytical laboratories and metataxonomic analysis.

113 As far as viable population detected: Total Viable Count of mesophilic bacteria (TVC) on Plate Count

114 Agar (PCA) incubated for 48 h at 30 °C; lactic acid bacteria (LAB) on De Man, Rogosa and Sharpe

115 (MRS) agar incubated for 48 h at 30 °C in microaerophilic condition; coagulase-negative cocci (CNC)

116 on Mannitol Salt Agar (MSA) incubated at 30 °C for 24 h; *Enterobacteriaceae* on Violet Red Bile

117 Glucose Agar (VRBGA) incubated for 24 h at 37 °C; yeasts and moulds on Malt Extract agar (MEA)

118 supplemented with tetracycline (0.05 g/L; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) and incubated at 25 °C for

119   five days. All media and supplements were provided by Biolife s.p.a. (Milan, Italy) unless differently

120   stated. The pH of each sample was measured by using a digital pH-meter (Crison, Modena, Italy).

121

122   **2.3 Analysis of volatile organic compounds (VOCs)**

123   The volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in both batches of beef burger samples were determined in

124   triplicate following the protocol suggested by Mentana and collegues (2019) slightly modified. The

125   VOCs were extracted using headspace-solid phase microextraction (HS-SPME) and analysed by GC/MS

126   (QP-2010 Plus, Shimadzu, Japan), interfaced with a computerized system for data acquisition (Software

127   GC–MS Solution V. 2.5, Shimadzu, Japan). Briefly, 3.0 g of ground meat were accurately weighted in a

128   20 ml glass headspace vial with 20 µl of internal standard solution (1-octanol, 1.05 µg/mL) and sealed

129   with a PTFE silicone septum. The VOCs were isolated by a fused-silica fibre (10 mm length) coated with

130   a 50/30 mm thickness of DVB/CAR/PDMS and a Combi Pal system (CTC Analytics AG, Zwingen,

131   Switzerland). The samples were conditioned at 40 °C for 15 min in order to reach the equilibrium; then,

132   the fiber was exposed to headspace for 30 min. Next, the fiber was desorbed in split mode (split ratio

133   1:25) into the GC/MS injector at 248 °C for 5 min. The separation of VOCs was achieved using a

134   Stabilwax column (20 m, 0.18 mm i.d., 0.18 µm film thickness, Restek, USA). Helium was used as

135   carrier gas at constant linear velocity of 36.2 cm/sec, the oven temperature was from 40 °C (maintained

136   for 4 min) to 210 °C by 5 °C/min, then increased up to 250 °C by 20 °C/min, the final temperature was

137   held for 5 min. The ion source and interface temperature were set at 200 and 230 °C, respectively. The

138   filament emission current was 70 eV and a mass range from 33 – 350 amu was scanned at 0.30 scan/s in

139   scan mode. VOCs were recognized by comparing their mass spectra with those reported in the NIST08s

140   (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg) library and pure commercial standards.

141   In addition, the blank injection of fiber and vials were also carried out to prevent environmental

142 contamination. For quantitative purposes the response factor between the total ion current of each analyte

143 and internal standard was used to determine VOCs concentrations as µg/g.

144

145 **2.4 Amplicon-based sequencing**

146 At each sampling point, 1 ml of the first 10-fold serial dilution was collected, centrifuged at 13,000 rpm

147 for 30 s, the pellet was collected and stored at − 80 °C until DNA-extraction. Total DNA was extracted

148 from each sample collected as previously described (Ferrocino et al., 2016), standardized at 100 ng/L

149 (NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer; Thermo Scientific, Milan, Italy) and used to study the

150 metataxonomic profiles of bacterial and fungal microbiota in parallel. Amplicon library of V3-V4 region

151 was constructed from 16S rRNA gene of bacterial DNA using primers and conditions previously reported

152 (Klindworth et al., 2013), while amplicon library of the D1 domain from 26S rRNA gene of fungal Large

153 Ribosomal Subunit (LSU) was prepared with primers and conditions described by (Mota-Gutierrez,

154 Ferrocino, Rantsiou, & Cocolin, 2019).

155 The PCR products of both libraries were purified with Agencourt AMPure kit (Beckman Coulter, Milan,

156 Italy) and the resulting products were tagged with sequencing adapters using the Nextera XT library

157 preparation kit (Illumina Inc, San Diego, CA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sequencing

158 was performed using a MiSeq Illumina instrument (Illumina) with V3 chemistry, which generated 2 X

159 250 bp paired-end reads. MiSeq Control Software, V2.3.0.3, RTA, v1.18.42.0, and CASAVA, v1.8.2,

160 were used for the base-calling and Illumina barcode demultiplexing processes.

161

162 **2.5 Bioinformatic analysis**

163 A total of 570,943 and 1,673,760 raw-reads were respectively produced by 16S and 26S amplicon-based

164 sequencing of the thirty samples collected. To obtain Amplicon Sequence Variants (ASVs) the raw-reads

165   were analysed with *DADA2* package in R environment (Callahan et al., 2016), using R program for

166   Statistical Computing 4.1.1 (http://www.r-project.org).

167   For 16S amplicon-based sequencing, 325,271 reads passed the quality filtering parameters applied

168   [*truncLen=c(250,222); trimLeft = c(35,35); maxEE=c(2,2); minLen = c(50,50)*] with an average value

169   of 10,842 reads/sample. After merging (minimum overlap of 5 bp) and *de-novo* chimera removal (*per-*

170   *sample* method) all paired-end sequences shorter than 365 bp were discharged: 89.1 % of the initial

171   filtered sequences were used to construct bacterial ASVs frequency table. For 26S fungal gene, filtering

172   parameters [*truncLen=c(245,240); trimLeft = c(35,35); maxEE=c(2,2); minLen = c(50,50)*] reduced the

173   reads to 1,185,674 (40,781 reads/sample in average). After merging (minimum overlap of 12 bp) and *de-*

174   *novo* chimera removal (*per-sample* method) no trimming was performed in relation to the length

175   variability of this amplified region; one sample of production B collected from the fifteenth day was

176   removed due to low sequences number (< 1000). Finally, 54.2 % of the initially filtered sequences were

177   used to construct fungal ASVs frequency table. All parameters not reported for filtering/merging steps

178   are intended as default *DADA2* setting.

179   Taxonomy was assigned with a 99 % sequence similarity through Bayesian classifier method (Wang,

180   Garrity, Tiedje, & Cole, 2007) by matching bacterial ASVs to the 2021 release (version 138.1) of Silva

181   prokaryotic SSU reference database (https://zenodo.org/record/4587955#.YObFvhMzZRE), with a

182   further check at 100 % of similarity for ASVs assigned to the species level with the *addSpecies* script.

183   Fungal ASVs taxonomy was assigned at 99 % against an internal database of 26S rRNA (Mota-Gutierrez

184   et al., 2019), then confirmed against NCBI LSU-reference database by using BLASTn suite

185   (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore?term=PRJNA51803). When the taxonomic assignment was not

186   able to reach the species, the highest taxonomic level available was displayed. ASVs with uncertain

187   taxonomic assignment (to the Order rank or lower resolution) were aligned against NCBI nucleotide

188   collection (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and all sequences matching (> 99 % similarity) vegetable

189   genomes (mainly pepper), animal genomes (meat), mitochondria and chloroplasts were removed from

190   the frequency tables.

191   ASVs were aligned with PyNAST (Caporaso et al., 2010) and unrooted phylogenetic trees were

192   constructed with FastTree (Price, Dehal, & Arkin, 2009) to allow further phylogenetic based analysis.

193   Alpha diversity metrics (Observed Species, ACE, Shannon, Simpson, Fisher, PD whole tree) and

194   weighted UniFrac beta-diversity distance were calculated with *phyloseq* and *picante* packages (Kembel

195   et al., 2010; McMurdie & Holmes, 2013): rarefaction limit was set to the lowest number of

196   sequences/sample.

197   Metagenome inference was performed from bacterial ASVs frequency table with MetGEMs toolbox

198   (Patumcharoenpol et al., 2021) using default parameters (https://github.com/yumyai/MetGEMs) and

199   AGORA collection as reference database of genome-scale models (Magnúsdóttir et al., 2017). Gene

200   family abundances were predicted and identified as KEGG orthologs (KO) and collapsed at level 3 of

201   the KEGG annotations.

202   Sequencing data were deposited at the Sequence Read Archive of the National Center for Biotechnology

203   Information under the bioproject accession number PRJNA777553.

204

205   2.6 Statistics

206   Statistical analyses and data plotting were performed in R environment unless otherwise stated. Normality

207   and homogeneity of the data (Log-Transformed abundances, alpha-diversity metrics, viable counts,

208   VOCs concentrations) were checked by means of Shapiro-Wilk's W and Levene's tests, respectively.

209   Variation and differences between multiple groups were assessed with one-way ANOVA (coupled with

210   Tukey's post-hoc test) and Kruskal–Wallis's test (coupled with pairwise Wilcoxon's test) for parametric

211   and not parametric data, respectively. Pairwise comparisons were alternatively performed with Wilcoxon

212   and T-tests according to data normality.

213 Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed on VOCs concentrations with *factominer* package.

214 Adonis and ANOSIM statistical tests were used to detect significant differences among VOCs (PCA

215 scores) and microbial communities (PCoA based on β-diversity weighted UniFrac distance). Non-metric

216 Multi-Dimensional Scaling (NMDS) analyses were based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities and were

217 performed by using original ASVs frequency tables as input. The significance influence of time and batch

218 (individually or interactively) on clusters produced by NMDS was tested by Permutation Analysis of

219 Variance (PERMANOVA), using the *Adonis* function based on Brey-Curtis dissimilarity distance. Best

220 set of taxa and VOCs that were significantly fitting (Pearson's moment correlation; Bray-Curtis distance)

221 with the NMDS-based distribution of the samples were calculated by *bioenv* function and plotted in the

222 NMDS bi-plot as vectors (Torondel et al., 2016).

223 To construct the ASVs co-occurrence/exclusion network, the SparCC algorithm was run with default

224 parameters and 100 bootstraps using the package *SpiecEasi* (Friedman & Alm, 2012). Pseudo *P*-values

225 were calculated as the proportion of simulated bootstraps and only highly significant (pseudo *P*-values <

226 0.001) positive (R > 0.5) and negative (R < -0.5) correlations were used to infer the network with the

227 program Gephi 0.9.2-beta (https://gephi.org). Presence of recurrent sub-networks modules (group of

228 ASVs that are co-varying) were detected considering only co-occurrences through the modularity

229 algorithm implemented in Gephi suite with default parameters (Blondel, Guillaume, Lambiotte, &

230 Lefebvre, 2008). Correlation between taxa (ASVs merged at highest taxonomic level achieved) and

231 VOCs concentration was performed by means of Spearman's rank correlation.

232 Enrichment analysis was performed with *GAGE* package on the predicted KO abundance table to identify

233 biological pathways significantly overrepresented and underrepresented between batches (Luo,

234 Friedman, Shedden, Hankenson, & Woolf, 2009).

235

236 **3. RESULTS**

237

3.1 Microbiological dynamics

No differences were observed in microbiological dynamics between batches A and B by comparing each single sampling point at 0, 4, 8 and 15 days, although in batch B we observed the tendency to reach higher counts the fifteenth day (**Fig. 1**). As far as the time-dependent growth, in both batches TVC and LAB counts increased significantly (Kruskal-Wallis; $P < 0.05$) from day 0 to the eighth day with a progressive growth of 3 logarithms. On the other hand, yeast counts increased significantly from the fourth to the eighth day (~ 1.5 Log CFU/g increase), whereas the *Enterobacteriaceae* population showed a marked growth from eighth to the fifteenth day ($P < 0.05$). The pH value remained stable along the first fifteen days of storage, with an average value of pH 5.52 ± 0.12 (**data not shown**).

247

3.2 Metabolomic biomarkers of spoilage

A total of fifty-two VOCs were quantified in the headspace of beef burger packages along the 30 days of storage monitored (**Supplementary Table 1**). Alcohols represented the more abundant compounds (10.3 ± 5.2 µg/g in average), followed by aldehydes (7.0 ± 5.0 µg/g), ketones (2.0 ± 1.1 µg/g), organic acids (1.5 ± 1.2 µg/g) and alkanes (1.1 ± 0.7 µg/g). Less abundant VOCs were represented by esters (mainly ethyl acetate), aromatic compounds (indole) and one lactam (caprolactam). Changes in the volatilomic profile were mainly related to storage time, with some VOCs that showed different dynamics between batches. In particular, along the shelf-life of batch A the amounts of hexanal, isopropyl alcohol and the acetic/formic acids decreased significantly (ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc; $P < 0.05$) after the fourth day, while butanoic and propanoic acids concentrations increased after the eighth day. Less time-dependent variability was observed in batch B, were only three VOCs (1-pentanol, 2-heptenal, ethyl-cyclopentane) decreased progressively and significantly along the 30 day of vacuum storage. In both batches, ethanol, 3-methyl-1-butanol, ethyl acetate and caprolactam showed the highest concentrations

261 the thirteenth day, whereas 1-octel-3-ol significantly decreased at the end of storage. Moreover,

262 comparing the volatilomes profile of the batches in each single day we observed that several VOCs

263 (pentanal, 2-butanone, acetoin, acetone, ethyl-cyclopentane, 3-methyl- heptane, ethyl acetate) were

264 significantly (T-test; $P < 0.01$) more abundant in batch B the eighth and fifteenth day.

265 Principal Component Analysis (PCA) confirmed that time had a greater impact on data variance in

266 comparison to the effect exerted by the batches. Indeed, scores plots show a significant (Anosim and

267 ADONIS tests; $P < 0.001$) separation of the thirtieth day samples from all the others (**Fig 2 A**).

268 Considering that the two first PCA dimensions explained 47.8 % of the variance, the discrimination of

269 the samples along time is mainly led by the first dimension (Dim1), with the second dimension (Dim2)

270 related to the minimal and not significant segregation of the samples between batches (**Fig 2 B**). The

271 corresponding loading plot of the variables shows that alkanes and aldehydes/alcohols are the main

272 VOCs that contribute to the variance explained by the first and second dimensions, respectively (**Fig 2**

273 **C and D**).

274

275 **3.3 Compositional changes in bacterial and fungal communities during shelf-life**

276 Bacterial community of beef burgers was mainly formed by members of Firmicutes phylum, namely

277 *Leuconostoc gelidum*, *Dellaglioa sp*., *Carnobacterium divergens*, *Latilactobacillus sp*., *Streptococcus*

278 *salivarius*, *Lactococcus piscium*, *Kocuria rhizophila* and different species belonging to *Staphylococcus*

279 (**Fig 3 A**). Gram negative bacteria were less abundant and primarily represented by *Bacteroides sp*. and

280 the psychrophiles *Pseudomonas fragi/psychrophila*, in the early and late stages of storage respectively.

281 Through the Permutational Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA; **Supplementary Table 2)** we

282 observed that the greatest portion of variability in the microbiota composition was determined by the

283 batch (R^2=0.122; $P < 0.01$), while the time explained a lower portion of variability and not significantly

284 (R^2=0.104; P>0.05). Independently from time, batch B was characterized by greater (P[FDR] <0.05)

richness, diversity and an overall higher (*P*[FDR] <0.001) presence of *Lactococcus piscium*, *Carnobacterium divergens*, *Pseudomonas psychrophila* and *Pseudomonas fragi* abundances, in comparison to batch A (**Supplementary Figure 1**). Moreover, we observed during vacuum storage of the batches different succession patterns of the main species, more easily appreciated by dividing the period in three storage phases (**Fig 3 B**): hamburgers at day 0, shortly after production and packaging (named "Production"); within the use-by date (day 4 and 8 collectively named "Shelf-life"); and after the use-by date (day 15 and 30 collectively named "Expired"). In particular, ASVs assigned to *Leuconostoc gelidum, Carnobacterium divergens* and *Dellagliola* sp. were not detected the first day. On the contrary, *Streptococcus*, *Staphylococcus* and *Kokuria* were highly abundant the first day and not anymore present after the fourth day of storage. Interestingly, the disappearance of initially dominating taxa, like *Staphylococcus* and *Kokuria*, was less significant and more gradual in batch B, in which we observed after the use-by date a greater increase of *Lactococcus piscium* and an undefined *Lactobacillus* species included in the new *Latilactobacillus* genus. However, β-diversity analysis (**Fig 3 C**) showed that beef burgers immediately after production (day 0) represented in both batches a phylogenetically distinct community (weighted UniFrac distance; ADONIS and Anosim: *P*[FDR] <0.001) compared to all the following sampling points (days 4, 8, 15 and 30).

As far as fungi are concerned, we observed a core mycobiota composed by nine yeasts and three molds, which represented together more than 50 % of total abundance in 70 % of the samples (**Figure 4**). In particular, *Kurtzmaniella zeylanoides* and *Debaryomyces hansenii* were the most abundant yeasts and were present in all samples, followed by less ubiquitous ASVs assigned to the *Trichosporonaceae* family (*Trichosporon*, *Apiotrichum*) and to the genera *Starmerella*, *Cutaneotrichosporon* and *Malassezia*. Filamentous fungi were mainly represented by *Cladosporium cladosporioides, Penicillium* sp. and, to a lesser extent, *Phanerochaete australosanguinea*. As far as compositional variability of the mycobiota, PERMANOVA did not highlight any significant effect of time and batch (**Supplementary Table 2**).

309 Accordingly, no significant differences in the distribution of the main taxa and alpha-diversity measures

310 were observed between batches, along the time course and in relation to the three phases aforementioned.

311

312 **3.4 Correlation patterns of bacterial and fungal communities**

313 In order to display and better understand the ecological associations determined by the succession

314 patterns observed, a co-occurrence/exclusion network was inferred using the significant SparCC

315 correlations (*P*-value < 0.001) existing among the core bacteria and fungi, at the level of each unique

316 ASV (**Fig. 5 A**).

317 The correlation network shows 45 nodes composed by 27 bacterial- and 18 fungal-ASVs, respectively;

318 these nodes are connected by 161 edges, of which 120 represent positive correlation. The majority of the

319 remaining 41 negative correlations were observed between ASVs of the same kingdom. Bacteria related

320 to the initial meat contamination, such as *Staphylococcus*, *Kokuria* and *Streptococcus*, were negatively

321 correlated to ASVs that were predominant from the fourth day of vacuum storage, namely *Dellaglioa*,

322 *Leuconostoc, Carnobacterium* and *Latilactobacillus*. Within fungi, ASVs assigned to *Kurtzmaniella*

323 *zeylanoides* and *Kurtzmaniella santamariae* showed the higher number of negative correlations with the

324 other yeasts and moulds and several positive correlations with the bacterial ASVs of *Dellaglioa*,

325 *Leuconostoc* and *Latilactobacillus* (**Supplementary Table 3**).

326 Taking into account only the co-occurrences (**Fig. 5 B**), five modules of highly co-occurring ASVs were

327 identified. The modules coded as 2 and 3 encompass the initial mycobiota and microbiota of hamburgers,

328 respectively, whereas the closely related modules 0 and 1 comprise all ASVs that dominated the

329 microbiota and mycobiota of hamburgers from the fourth to the thirteenth day. Notably, the bacterial

330 ASVs grouped in the module 0 are distinctive of the batch B (**Fig. 5 C**).

331

332 **3.5 Links between volatilomic profiles and microbiota composition**

333 To depict the significant batch-to-batch variation of the bacterial community, non-metric

334 multidimensional scaling (NMDS) based on core species (> 0.5 % average abundance) was performed

335 (**Fig. 6**). NMDS ordination was not plotted for the fungal community in relation to the not significant

336 influence of batches and time on its distribution.

337 In addition to the NMDS ordination of the samples, VOCs and bacterial taxa significantly correlated

338 (Pearson's correlation; $P < 0.001$) with the bacterial community variance were plotted as arrows, of

339 which vertexes represent the direction of change of each VOC and taxa (**Fig. 6 and Supplementary**

340 **Table 4**). The presence of *Kokuria rhizophila* and formic acid was markedly related to the moment of

341 packaging (day 0) in both batches. Acetoin, indole and hexanal were correlated to batch B, and in

342 particular to its shelf-life period (days 4 and 8), while benzenacetaldheyde was mostly correlated with

343 the expired samples of this batch (from 15 to 30 days). As far as the bacterial species are concerned, the

344 separation between samples of the two batches was highly correlated to the presence of *Carnobacterium*

345 *divergens* and the duo *Dellagliola sp.*/*Leuconostoc gelidum* in batch A and B, respectively. Moreover,

346 NMDS plot highlighted the gradual change of microbiota composition in batch B during early stages

347 (from 0 to 4 days), in comparison to A in which it is graphically more marked.

348 Concerning the direct pairwise correlations between bacteria/fungi abundances and VOCs concentration,

349 we observed that the pattern of positive and negative correlations (P[FDR]<0.001) were highly

350 dependent of the time course (**Supplementary Figure 2**). Indeed, all dominant taxa in the early stages

351 of storage (Bacteria: *Kokuria, Staphylococcus, Streptococcus*; Fungi: *Cladosporium, Apiotrichum*) were

352 positively correlated with the VOCs mostly present at day 0, such as hexanal, 1-pentanol, acetic and

353 formic acid. On the contrary, the most abundant taxa *Leuconostoc gelidum* and *Kurtzmaniella*

354 *zeylanoides*, which have developed after the fourth day, were negatively correlated with theses VOCs.

355

356 **3.6 Functional differences in the inferred microbiome**

The majority of the metabolic pathways differentially represented (*GAGE* enrichment statistic: $P < 0.001$) between the two batches belonged to the carbohydrate metabolism (**Supplementary Figure 3).** In particular, five pathways related to the monosaccharides/polysaccharide metabolisms (ko00052, ko00500, ko00051, ko00040) and the central pathway of glycolysis/gluconeogenesis (ko00010) were presumptively overrepresented in A and underrepresented in B. In parallel, the TCA cycle (ko00020), the pathways of glyoxylate/dicarboxylate metabolism (ko00630), and two pathways responsible of butyric and propionic acids production (ko00640, ko00650) were likely overrepresented in B.

Abundance differences of metabolic pathways related to amino acid metabolisms were observed as well, with valine/leucine/isoleucine degradation (ko00280) and β-alanine metabolism presumptively enriched in B, and an overall higher biosynthesis of amino acids (ko01230) histidine-glutathione metabolisms (ko00340, ko00480) predicted in the batch A. Finally, lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis (ko00540) was enriched in B, while conversely the glycerophospholipid metabolism (ko00564) was presumptively more abundant in A.

4. DISCUSSION

Metataxonomic has already proved capable to characterize and clearly distinguish ground beef microbiota among different production runs (Botta et al., 2021; Sade, Penttinen, Björkroth, & Hultman, 2017). Deeping the potentiality of this culture-independent analysis, here the possibility to microbiologically discriminate between beef burger batches manufactured in the same processing run but from different pre-grinding origin has been explored.

The two batches studied have shown the same microbial counts along time course, likely in reason of the same initial contamination level and storage conditions. As expected in a vacuum packaged meat, LAB population constituted the majority of the culturable fraction from the early storage stages (Ercolini, Russo, Torrieri, Masi, & Villani, 2006; Pothakos et al., 2015), and reached a microbial spoilage threshold

381 capable to cause sensory deterioration already the eighth day (Stoops et al., 2015). On the basis of

382 stationary phase reached , we could assume that both batches were already in the early spoilage condition

383 at the end of the first week (Hultman et al., 2020).

384 On the other hand, metataxonomic analysis highlighted from the fourth day a marked discrepancy

385 between batches of most abundant taxa, mainly represented by psychrotrophic LAB species, which are

386 generally recognized as meat spoilers and usually underestimated by mesophilic enumeration protocols

387 (Jääskeläinen et al., 2015; Pennacchia et al., 2011; Pothakos et al., 2014; Stoops et al., 2015). Despite we

388 are aware that different incubation conditions would have potentially provide different LAB dynamics,

389 a distinction between the microbial composition of batches would have not be effective if not coupled

390 with a massive isolation and identification of the colonies (Pothakos et al., 2014). Moreover, due to the

391 advancement of new technologies, the current time to execute metataxonomic analysis are comparable

392 to those of a complete culture-based characterization of microbiota (Jagadeesan et al., 2019; Rhoads &

393 Au, 2015; van Dijk, Jaszczyszyn, Naquin, & Thermes, 2018). Therefore, metataxonomic analysis

394 demonstrated in the present study to provide a better discriminatory capability than culture-dependent

395 approaches.

396 Aside the comparison between culture-dependent and -independent outputs, we observed through

397 metataxonomic analysis a temporal succession of species in both bacterial and fungal ecologies. While

398 time course succession of spoilage bacteria has been widely observed during cold storage of packaged

399 meat , no information is available on mycobiota development in ground beef during its cold-vacuum

400 storage (Jääskeläinen, Hultman, Parshintsev, Riekkola, & Björkroth, 2016; Sade et al., 2017). Indeed,

401 the numerous culture-independent surveys carried out on cured meats are not directly comparable in

402 reason to significantly higher temperatures (Alapont, Martínez-Culebras, & López-Mendoza, 2015; Alía

403 et al., 2016; Belleggia et al., 2020; Franciosa et al., 2021). Our observation and the mycobiota dynamics

404 described in dry cold-aged beef indicate that a longitudinal succession of yeast species can occur also

during meat cold storage, and it is generally characterised by an increasing presence of *Kurtzmaniella zeylanoides* along time course (Oh et al., 2019; Ryu et al., 2018). However, the weak increase of yeast and mold counts showed their inability to actively affect beef burgers spoilage, confirming previous observations in tray-packaged pork during cold storage (Yang et al., 2017).

Immediately after packaging, bacterial and fungal communities were composed in both batches by genera and species that commonly inhabit the processing plant environment and can colonise meat during each processing run (Botta et al., 2020; Chaillou et al., 2015; Kang, Ravensdale, Coorey, Dykes, & Barlow, 2019). In particular, several species of *Staphylococcus* and *Streptococcus*, as well as fungi like *Malassezia*, *Trichosporon* and *Cutaneotrichosporon*, are well known members of human/animal skin, nostrils and mouth (Cundell, 2018; Zhang et al., 2020). On the other hand, *Kocuria* spp. and filamentous fungi (*Cladosporium, Penicillium*) were more probably transported on meat contact surfaces by dust and air (Chaillou et al., 2015). A distinguishing composition of bacterial community at the moment of production-packaging have already been observed during packaged beef shelf-life and associated to different production days (Sade et al., 2017).

Noteworthy, this initial autochthonous community has here represented a transitory signature of the production run shared by the two batches. Indeed, it has been soon replaced by other batch-autochthonous species likely collected on the carcases and cuts during the previous slaughtering-boning phases carried out in the supplier's facilities (Kang et al., 2019). This second community was mainly composed by psychotrophic LAB suited to develop during shelf-life and to cause the product spoilage (Pothakos et al., 2015). The parallel logarithmic increase of LAB counts confirms the predominance of this population over the rest of spoilage microbiota, in spite of the aforementioned culture-dependent underestimation of psychrotrophs.

Not only bacteria distribution and abundances differed between batches, but also their temporal succession patterns did. *Leuconostoc gelidum* and *Dellagliola sp*. took simultaneously and sharply over

429 the dominance after packaging in batch A, and remained predominant until the thirtieth day. On the

430 contrary, *Carnobacterium divergens* become the predominant species in batch B until the eighth day,

431 from which onwards a remarkable presence of *Lactococcus piscium* and *Pseudomonas spp* was observed.

432 The occurrence of *Pseudomonas spp.* in the late vacuum storage phases and a more heterogeneous

433 production of VOCs, with some concentrations over the threshold of sensory perception, indicates a

434 faster spoilage dynamic for the batch B in comparison to A (Casaburi et al., 2015; Ercolini et al., 2011).

435 This worst-case scenario in batch B is likely connected to the dynamic and rapid succession of spoilage

436 bacteria occurred during its vacuum storage.

437 Focusing on VOCs production, high concentrations of acetoin were observed in the second week of B

438 storage. The accumulation of this buttery-odour compound in vacuum packaged meat can be the result

439 of *Carnobacterium divergens* homofermentative metabolism or the catabolism of aspartate and alanine

440 by *Lactococcus piscium*: both predominant in this batch (Andreevskaya et al., 2018; Höll, Hilgarth,

441 Geissler, Behr, & Vogel, 2020). In particular, acetoin production by *Lactococcus piscium* take place in

442 the late stages of vacuum meat storage when glucose has been consumed by other fast-growing LAB

443 able to upregulate carbohydrate pathways, such as the *Leuconostoc gelidum* that was dominant in batch

444 A (Andreevskaya et al., 2018; Hultman et al., 2020). Noteworthy, metabolic pathways related to

445 monosaccharides and amino acids catabolisms were presumptively more abundant in batch A and B,

446 respectively.

447 Moreover, *Lactococcus piscium* is the main meat spoilage LAB in low oxygen conditions in which

448 represents an endpoint indicator of shelf-life, while in presence of oxygen the *Leuconostoc gelidum* is

449 favoured by its heme-dependent respiration capability (Botta et al., 2021; Jääskeläinen et al., 2016;

450 Rahkila, Nieminen, Johansson, Säde, & Björkroth, 2012). Different factors from the gaseous composition

451 have therefore alternatively boosted the development of these two species two vacuum packaged batches;

452 for instance the presence of more or less mutualistic species in the original batch-autochthonous

453   microbiota. Co-occurrence analysis has indeed suggested a limited ecological coexistence in these

454   batches between *Lactococcus piscium* and *Leuconostoc gelidum*. On the contrary, a better level of

455   coexistence seems to exist between *Leuconostoc gelidum* and *Dellagliola*, another predominant spoilage

456   species in low oxygen conditions (Jääskeläinen et al., 2016). Bearing in mind that inferred correlations

457   among abundances may not directly reflect the real ecological interactions, further physiological

458   confirmation of the co-occurrences observed are undoubtedly needed (Faust & Raes, 2012; Hirano &

459   Takemoto, 2019).

460   To conclude, this study showcases that metataxonomic-based profiling of meat microbiota represents an

461   effective approach to recognize in each production run the batches of different origin. In parallel we

462   showed that final production phases like trimming and grinding seems to produce transitory modification

463   of the autochthonous microbiota previously collected on carcasses and cuts. Although this is still a proof

464   of concept, the discriminatory potentiality of metataxonomic analysis has been here proved. In this light,

465   the characterisation of each beef batch microbiota in the early productive stages and its association with

466   the derived products spoilage fate will allow to create in future studies a benchmark database of those

467   profiles that can alternatively reduce or extend the final product shelf-life. This further advancement

468   would finally make the metataxonomic analysis an usable and practical tool for food industries.

469

470

473   **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

474   Ilario Ferrocino and Luca Cocolin designed and conceptualized the experiment. Irene Franciosa,

475   Vladimiro Cardenia and Valentina Alessandria performed microbiological and chemical analysis. Ilario

476   Ferrocino performed amplicon-based sequencing. Cristian Botta carried out the bioinformatic analysis,

477 statistics, data interpretation, generated the manuscript figures and wrote the original draft. Irene Franciosa

478 Ilario Ferrocino and Luca Cocolin supervised the data analysis and contributed to manuscript preparation.

479 All authors revised and approved the final version of the manuscript.

480

481 **REFERENCES**

482 Alapont, C., Martínez-Culebras, P. V., & López-Mendoza, M. C. (2015). Determination of lipolytic

483 and proteolytic activities of mycoflora isolated from dry-cured teruel ham. *Journal of Food*

484 *Science and Technology*, *52*(8), 5250–5256. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-014-1582-5

485 Alía, A., Andrade, M. J., Rodríguez, A., Reyes-Prieto, M., Bernáldez, V., & Córdoba, J. J. (2016).

486 Identification and control of moulds responsible for black spot spoilage in dry-cured ham. *Meat*

487 *Science*, *122*, 16–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2016.07.007

488 Andreevskaya, M., Auvinena, P., Jääskeläinen, E., Johansson, P., Ylinen, A., Paulin, L., … Auvinena,

489 P. (2018). Food Spoilage-Associated Leuconostoc, Lactococcus, and Lactobacillus Species

490 Display Different Survival Strategies in Response to Competition. *Applied and Environmental*

491 *Microbiology*, *84*, 1–16. https://doi.org/10 .1128/AEM.00554-18

492 Bao, Y., Puolanne, E., & Ertbjerg, P. (2016). Effect of oxygen concentration in modified atmosphere

493 packaging on color and texture of beef patties cooked to different temperatures. *Meat Science*,

494 *121*, 189–195. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEATSCI.2016.06.014

495 Belleggia, L., Ferrocino, I., Reale, A., Boscaino, F., Di Renzo, T., Corvaglia, M. R., … Osimani, A.

496 (2020). Portuguese cacholeira blood sausage: A first taste of its microbiota and volatile organic

497 compounds. *Food Research International*, *136*(July).

498 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109567

499 Blondel, V. D., Guillaume, J. L., Lambiotte, R., & Lefebvre, E. (2008). Fast unfolding of communities

500 in large networks. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, *10*, 1–12.

501   https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2008/10/P10008

502   Bonnet, C., Bouamra-Mechemache, Z., Réquillart, V., & Treich, N. (2020). Viewpoint: Regulating

503   meat consumption to improve health, the environment and animal welfare. *Food Policy*,

504   *97*(February), 101847. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2020.101847

505   Botta, C., Coisson, J. D., Ferrocino, I., Colasanto, A., Pessione, A., Cocolin., L., … Rantsiou, K.

506   (2021). Impact of electrolyzed water on the microbial spoilage profile of Piedmontese steak

507   tartare. *Microbiology Spectrum*, *9*(3), e01751-21. https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1128/Spectrum.01751-

508   21

509   Botta, C., Ferrocino, I., Cavallero, M. C., Riva, S., Giordano, M., & Cocolin, L. (2018). Potentially

510   active spoilage bacteria community during the storage of vacuum packaged beefsteaks treated

511   with aqueous ozone and electrolyzed water. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, (June),

512   10–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2017.10.012

513   Botta, C., Ferrocino, I., Pessione, A., Cocolin, L., & Rantsiou, K. (2020). Spatiotemporal Distribution

514   of the Environmental Microbiota in Food Processing Plants as Impacted by Cleaning and

515   Sanitizing Procedures: The Case of Slaughterhouses and Gaseous Ozone. *Applied and*

516   *Environmental Microbiology*, *86*(23), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.01861-20

517   Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J., Rosen, M. J., Han, A. W., Johnson, A. J. A., & Holmes, S. P. (2016).

518   DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nature Methods*, *13*(7),

519   581–583. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869

520   Caporaso, J. G., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F. D., Desantis, T. Z., Andersen, G. L., & Knight, R. (2010).

521   PyNAST: A flexible tool for aligning sequences to a template alignment. *Bioinformatics*, *26*(2),

522   266–267. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp636

523   Casaburi, A., Piombino, P., Nychas, G. J., Villani, F., & Ercolini, D. (2015). Bacterial populations and

524   the volatilome associated to meat spoilage. *Food Microbiology*, *45*(PA), 83–102.

525   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2014.02.002

526 Chaillou, S., Chaulot-Talmon, A., Caekebeke, H., Cardinal, M., Christieans, S., Denis, C., …

527   Champomier-Vergès, M.-C. (2015). Origin and ecological selection of core and food-specific

528   bacterial communities associated with meat and seafood spoilage. *The ISME Journal*, *9*(5), 1105–

529   1118. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.202

530 Cundell, A. M. (2018). Microbial Ecology of the Human Skin. *Microbial Ecology*, *76*(1), 113–120.

531   https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-016-0789-6

532 de Filippis, F., La Storia, A., Villani, F., & Ercolini, D. (2013). Exploring the Sources of Bacterial

533   Spoilers in Beefsteaks by Culture-Independent High-Throughput Sequencing. *PLoS ONE*, *8*(7),

534   e70222. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070222

535 De Filippis, F., Parente, E., & Ercolini, D. (2018). Recent Past, Present, and Future of the Food

536   Microbiome. *Annual Review of Food Science and Technology*, *9*, 589–608.

537   https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-food-030117-012312

538 Ercolini, D., Ferrocino, I., Nasi, A., Ndagijimana, M., Vernocchi, P., La Storia, A., … Villani, F.

539   (2011). Monitoring of Microbial Metabolites and Bacterial Diversity in Beef Stored under

540   Different Packaging Conditions. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *77*, 7372–7381.

541   https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.05521-11

542 Ercolini, D., Russo, F., Torrieri, E., Masi, P., & Villani, F. (2006). Changes in the spoilage-related

543   microbiota of beef during refrigerated storage under different packaging conditions. *Applied and*

544   *Environmental Microbiology*, *72*(7), 4663–4671. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00468-06

545 Faust, K., & Raes, J. (2012). Microbial interactions: From networks to models. *Nature Reviews*

546   *Microbiology*, *10*(8), 538–550. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2832

547 Ferrocino, I., Greppi, A., Lastoria, A., Rantsiou, K., & Ercolini, D. (2016). *Impact of Nisin-Activated*

548   *Packaging on Microbiota of Beef Burgers during Storage*. *82*(2), 1–13.

23

549   https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03093-15.Editor

550 Franciosa, I., Coton, M., Ferrocino, I., Corvaglia, M. R., Poirier, E., Jany, J. L., … Mounier, J. (2021).

551   Mycobiota dynamics and mycotoxin detection in PGI Salame Piemonte. *Journal of Applied*

552   *Microbiology*. https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.15114

553 Friedman, J., & Alm, E. J. (2012). Inferring Correlation Networks from Genomic Survey Data. *PLoS*

554   *Computational Biology*, *8*(9), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002687

555 Grispoldi, L., Karama, M., Sechi, P., Iulieto, M. F., & Cenci-Goga, B. T. (2020). Effect of the addition

556   of starter cultures to ground meat for hamburger preparation. *Microbiology Research*, *11*(1).

557   https://doi.org/10.4081/mr.2020.8623

558 Hirano, H., & Takemoto, K. (2019). Difficulty in inferring microbial community structure based on co-

559   occurrence network approaches. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *20*(1), 1–14.

560   https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-019-2915-1

561 Höll, L., Hilgarth, M., Geissler, A. J., Behr, J., & Vogel, R. F. (2020). Metatranscriptomic analysis of

562   modified atmosphere packaged poultry meat enables prediction of Brochothrix thermosphacta and

563   Carnobacterium divergens in situ metabolism. *Archives of Microbiology*, *202*(7), 1945–1955.

564   https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-020-01914-y

565 Hultman, J., Johansson, P., & Björkroth, J. (2020). Longitudinal Metatranscriptomic Analysis of a

566   Meat Spoilage Microbiome Detects Abundant Continued Fermentation and Environmental Stress

567   Responses during Shelf Life and Beyond. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *86*(24), 1–

568   15. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01575-20

569 Jääskeläinen, E., Hultman, J., Parshintsev, J., Riekkola, M. L., & Björkroth, J. (2016). Development of

570   spoilage bacterial community and volatile compounds in chilled beef under vacuum or high

571   oxygen atmospheres. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *223*, 25–32.

572   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2016.01.022

573   Jääskeläinen, E., Vesterinen, S., Parshintsev, J., Johansson, P., Riekkola, M. L., & Björkroth, J. (2015).

574   Production of buttery-odor compounds and transcriptome response in Leuconostoc gelidum subsp.

575   gasicomitatum LMG18811T during growth on various carbon sources. *Applied and*

576   *Environmental Microbiology*, *81*(6), 1902–1908. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03705-14

577   Jagadeesan, B., Gerner-Smidt, P., Allard, M. W., Leuillet, S., Winkler, A., Xiao, Y., … Grant, K.

578   (2019). The use of next generation sequencing for improving food safety: Translation into

579   practice. *Food Microbiology*, *79*(October 2018), 96–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2018.11.005

580   Kang, S., Ravensdale, J., Coorey, R., Dykes, G. A., & Barlow, R. (2019). A Comparison of 16S rRNA

581   Profiles Through Slaughter in Australian Export Beef Abattoirs. *Frontiers in Microbiology*,

582   *10*(November), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02747

583   Kembel, S. W., Cowan, P. D., Helmus, M. R., Cornwell, W. K., Morlon, H., Ackerly, D. D., … Webb,

584   C. O. (2010). Picante: R tools for integrating phylogenies and ecology. *Bioinformatics*, *26*(11),

585   1463–1464. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq166

586   Klindworth, A., Pruesse, E., Schweer, T., Peplies, J., Quast, C., Horn, M., & Glöckner, F. O. (2013).

587   Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation

588   sequencing-based diversity studies. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *41*(1), 1–11.

589   https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks808

590   Limbo, S., Torri, L., Sinelli, N., Franzetti, L., & Casiraghi, E. (2010). Evaluation and predictive

591   modeling of shelf life of minced beef stored in high-oxygen modified atmosphere packaging at

592   different temperatures. *Meat Science*, *84*(1), 129–136.

593   https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEATSCI.2009.08.035

594   Luo, W., Friedman, M. S., Shedden, K., Hankenson, K. D., & Woolf, P. J. (2009). GAGE: Generally

595   applicable gene set enrichment for pathway analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *10*, 1–17.

596   https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-161

597 Luong, N. D. M., Coroller, L., Zagorec, M., Membré, J. M., & Guillou, S. (2020). Spoilage of chilled

598   fresh meat products during storage: A quantitative analysis of literature data. *Microorganisms*,

599   *8*(8), 1–29. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8081198

600 Magnúsdóttir, S., Heinken, A., Kutt, L., Ravcheev, D. A., Bauer, E., Noronha, A., … Thiele, I. (2017).

601   Generation of genome-scale metabolic reconstructions for 773 members of the human gut

602   microbiota. *Nature Biotechnology*, *35*(1), 81–89. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3703

603 McMurdie, P. J., & Holmes, S. (2013). Phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis

604   and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. *PLoS ONE*, *8*(4).

605   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217

606 Mentana, A., Conte, A., Del Nobile, M. A., Quinto, M., & Centonze, D. (2019). Volatile organic

607   compound data of ready-to-cook tuna fish-burgers: Time evolution in function of different and/or

608   combined mild preservation technologies and relevant statistical analysis. *Data in Brief*, *25*,

609   104371. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2019.104371

610 Mikami, N., Toyotome, T., Yamashiro, Y., Sugo, K., Yoshitomi, K., Takaya, M., … Shimada, K.

611   (2021). Dry-aged beef manufactured in Japan: Microbiota identification and their effects on

612   product characteristics. *Food Research International*, *140*(December 2020), 110020.

613   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.110020

614 Mota-Gutierrez, J., Ferrocino, I., Rantsiou, K., & Cocolin, L. (2019). Metataxonomic comparison

615   between internal transcribed spacer and 26S ribosomal large subunit (LSU) rDNA gene.

616   *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *290*(July 2018), 132–140.

617   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2018.10.010

618 Oh, H., Lee, H. J., Lee, J., Jo, C., & Yoon, Y. (2019). Identification of Microorganisms Associated

619   with the Quality Improvement of Dry-Aged Beef Through Microbiome Analysis and DNA

620   Sequencing, and Evaluation of Their Effects on Beef Quality. *Journal of Food Science*, *84*(10),

621   2944–2954. https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.14813

622 Patumcharoenpol, P., Nakphaichit, M., Panagiotou, G., Senavonge, A., Suratannon, N., &

623   Vongsangnak, W. (2021). MetGEMs Toolbox: Metagenome-scale models as integrative toolbox

624   for uncovering metabolic functions and routes of human gut microbiome. *PLoS Computational*

625   *Biology*, *17*(1 December), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008487

626 Pennacchia, C., Ercolini, D., & Villani, F. (2011). Spoilage-related microbiota associated with chilled

627   beef stored in air or vacuum pack. *Food Microbiology*, *28*(1), 84–93.

628   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2010.08.010

629 Pieszczek, L., Czarnik-Matusewicz, H., & Daszykowski, M. (2018). Identification of ground meat

630   species using near-infrared spectroscopy and class modeling techniques – Aspects of optimization

631   and validation using a one-class classification model. *Meat Science*, *139*(January), 15–24.

632   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.01.009

633 Pothakos, V., Devlieghere, F., Villani, F., Björkroth, J., & Ercolini, D. (2015). Lactic acid bacteria and

634   their controversial role in fresh meat spoilage. *Meat Science*, *109*, 66–74.

635   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2015.04.014

636 Pothakos, V., Snauwaert, C., De Vos, P., Huys, G., & Devlieghere, F. (2014). Psychrotrophic members

637   of Leuconostoc gasicomitatum, Leuconostoc gelidum and Lactococcus piscium dominate at the

638   end of shelf-life in packaged and chilled-stored food products in Belgium. *Food Microbiology*, *39*,

639   61–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2013.11.005

640 Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S., & Arkin, A. P. (2009). Fasttree: Computing large minimum evolution trees

641   with profiles instead of a distance matrix. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *26*(7), 1641–1650.

642   https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msp077

643 Rahkila, R., Nieminen, T., Johansson, P., Säde, E., & Björkroth, J. (2012). Characterization and

644   evaluation of the spoilage potential of Lactococcus piscium isolates from modified atmosphere

645   packaged meat. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *156*(1), 50–59.

646   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2012.02.022

647 Redondo-Solano, M., Guzmán-Saborío, P., Ramírez-Chavarría, F., Chaves-Ulate, C., Araya-Quesada,

648   Y., & Araya-Morice, A. (2021). Effect of the type of packaging on the shelf life of ground rabbit

649   meat. *Food Science and Technology International*, 1–10.

650   https://doi.org/10.1177/10820132211003705

651 Rhoads, A., & Au, K. F. (2015). PacBio Sequencing and Its Applications. *Genomics, Proteomics and*

652   *Bioinformatics*, *13*(5), 278–289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gpb.2015.08.002

653 Ryu, S., Park, M. R., Maburutse, B. E., Lee, W. J., Park, D. J., Cho, S., … Kim, Y. (2018). Diversity

654   and characteristics of the meat microbiological community on dry aged beef. *Journal of*

655   *Microbiology and Biotechnology*, *28*(1), 105–108. https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.1708.08065

656 Sade, E., Penttinen, K., Björkroth, J., & Hultman, J. (2017). Exploring lot-to-lot variation in spoilage

657   bacterial communities on commercial modified atmosphere packaged beef. *Food Microbiology*,

658   *62*, 147–152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2016.10.004

659 Stellato, G., Storia, A. La, Filippis, F. De, Borriello, G., Villani, F., & Ercolini, D. (2016). Overlap of

660   spoilage microbiota between meat and meat processing environment in small-scale and large-scale

661   retail distribution. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *82*(April), 4045–4054.

662   https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00793-16

663 Stoops, J., Ruyters, S., Busschaert, P., Spaepen, R., Verreth, C., Claes, J., … Van Campenhout, L.

664   (2015). Bacterial community dynamics during cold storage of minced meat packaged under

665   modified atmosphere and supplemented with different preservatives. *Food Microbiology*, *48*, 192–

666   199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2014.12.012

667 Torondel, B., Ensink, J. H. J., Gundogdu, O., Ijaz, U. Z., Parkhill, J., Abdelahi, F., … Quince, C.

668   (2016). Assessment of the influence of intrinsic environmental and geographical factors on the

669   bacterial ecology of pit latrines. *Microbial Biotechnology*, *9*(2), 209–223.

670   https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12334

671   Valerio, F., Skandamis, P. N., Failla, S., Contò, M., Biase, M. Di, Bavaro, A. R., … Lavermicocca, P.

672   (2020). Microbiological and physicochemical parameters for predicting quality of fat and low-fat

673   raw ground beef during refrigerated aerobic storage. *Journal of Food Science*, *85*(2), 465–476.

674   https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.15000

675   van Dijk, E. L., Jaszczyszyn, Y., Naquin, D., & Thermes, C. (2018). The Third Revolution in

676   Sequencing Technology. *Trends in Genetics*, *34*(9), 666–681.

677   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2018.05.008

678   Wang, Q., Garrity, G. M., Tiedje, J. M., & Cole, J. R. (2007). Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid

679   assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Applied and Environmental*

680   *Microbiology*, *73*(16), 5261–5267. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00062-07

681   Yang, C., Che, Y., Qi, Y., Liang, P., & Song, C. (2017). High-Throughput Sequencing of Viable

682   Microbial Communities in Raw Pork Subjected to a Fast Cooling Process. *Journal of Food*

683   *Science*, *82*(1), 145–153. https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.13566

684   Zhang, D., Wang, Y., Shen, S., Hou, Y., Chen, Y., & Wang, T. (2020). The mycobiota of the human

685   body: a spark can start a prairie fire. *Gut Microbes*, *11*(4), 655–679.

686   https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2020.1731287

687

688

689

690

691

692

Tables and figures legends

694

695   **Fig. 1. Microbiological dynamics during hamburgers vacuum storage at 4 °C.** Charts showing the

696   viable counts (mean ± SD) of: Coagulase Negative Cocci (CNC); *Enterobacteriaceae*; Lactic Acid

697   Bacteria (LAB); Total Mesophilic Bacterial counts (TVC) and yeasts. Dashed line indicates the shelf-

698   life end (14th day).

699

700   **Fig. 2. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of the fifty-two VOCs detected in the hamburgers.**

701   Score plots highlighting distribution of the samples in relation to the time (**A**) and batch (**B**); significant

702   separation between samples is reported (Anosim and ADONIS tests). Loading plot (**C**) and contribution

703   (**D**) of each variable (VOCs) on the variance explained by the first two components (Dim1, Dim2) of the

704   PCA.

705

706   **Fig. 3. Composition of bacterial community during hamburgers vacuum storage.** Stacked bar plots

707   **(A)** showing microbiota composition (relative abundance) at the highest taxonomic level assigned

708   (asterisks highlight 100 % of ASVs similarity to reference taxa) and relative colour coding key. Samples

709   are grouped by batch and sequentially displayed according to the time; taxa are sorted in the legend from

710   the most to the least abundant (> 0.5 %). Box plots **(B)** displaying Log-transformed abundances of the

711   core species/genera in the two batches along three distinct storage phases: production, shelf-life and

712   expired. Points display each single observation and are coloured according to belonging batch. Asterisks

713   are highlighting significant differences (Wilcoxon's test) between batches at each phase (*P*-value [FDR

714   adjusted]: *= <0.05; **= <0.01, ***= <0.001); differences among phases within each batch are shown

715   by connectors and *P*-value (Kruskal-Wallis and Pairwise Wilcoxon tests [FDR adjusted). PCoA charts

716   **(C)** displaying for each batch the weighted UniFrac distance matrix (β-diversity). Days and phases of

storage are defined by different colours and shapes (legend); dashed ellipses are indicating significant

different communities ($P <0.001$ [FDR adjusted], ANOSIM and Adonis tests).

Figure 3. Composition of fungal community during hamburgers vacuum storage. Stacked bar plots

showing mycobiota composition (relative abundance) at the highest taxonomic level assigned and

relative colour coding key. Samples are grouped by batch and sequentially displayed according to the

time; taxa are sorted in the legend from the most to the least abundant (> 1 %).

Fig. 5. Co-occurrence/exclusion network of the hamburger microbiota and mycobiota. Network is

constructed with bacterial and fungal ASVs (nodes), which are pairwise connected by lines (edges) in

relation to significant SparCC correlation (100 bootstraps; pseudo *P-value* < 0.001). Nodes are made

proportional to ASVs occurrences and coloured in relation to the belonging kingdom in **A** and co-

occurring modules in **B**, respectively, as reported in the relative colour coding keys. Network modularity

was calculated considering only co-occurrences by means of the community detection algorithm

implemented in Gephi 0.9.2-beta (https://gephi.org). Edges thicknesses are made proportional to SparCC

correlation value and colours indicate negative (red; SparCC correlation < -0.5) or positive (green;

SparCC correlation > 0.5) correlations; lengths have no specific meaning. In the graph **B,** only positive

correlations are shown. In the stacked-area plots (**C**) the cumulative relative abundances of each module

is displayed along the time (average of the three replicates) for each batch and considering separately

fungal and bacterial ASVs. Detailed information on correlation types are reported in **Supplementary**

Table 3.

741

742

743 **Fig. 6. Biplot of the Non-Multidimensional Scaling (NMDS) analysis with best fitting VOCs and**

744 **taxa.** NMDS of the samples based on bacterial species composition with the set of VOCs (grey arrows)

745 and taxa (blue arrows) that are significantly correlated (Pearson; $P < 0.001$) with the NDMS distribution.

746 Arrows indicate the direction of change of each variable (VOCs and taxa). Only the main species were

747 considered for NMDS (> 0.5 % of average abundances); dots (samples) are shaped and coloured in

748 relation to three temporal phases and batches (legend).

749

750 **Supplementary Tables:**

751

752 **Supplementary Table 1.** The fifty-two VOCs detected in the headspace of vacuum packaged hamburger

753 during the thirty days of storage at 4 °C. Data are the means of three replicates (n=3; ± std error mean)

754 and reported as µg/g. Asterisk are highlighting significant differences between batches at each day of

755 sampling (T-test), *P*-value: *=< 0.05, **=< 0.01, ***=< 0.001. Different letters indicate significant

756 differences (ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc tests; *P*-value < 0.05) between sampling days (0, 4, 8, 15,

757 30) in the batch A (a, b, c) and B (x, y, z), respectively.

758

759 **Supplementary Table 2.** Variance in biological dissimilarity explained by each categorical variable

760 (batch, time, or their interactions) in the core bacterial and fungal communities. Variance explained (R^2)

761 and statistical significance (*P*-value) quantified by Permutational Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA)

762 test with Bray–Curtis dissimilarity.

763

764 **Supplementary Table 3.** Summary of positive and negative SparCC correlations detected among

765 bacterial and fungal ASVs.

766

767 **Supplementary Table 4.** Correlation coefficients (Pearson's moment correlation) of l variables (VOCs

768 and taxa) with NMDS ordination.

769

770

771

Supplementary Figures:

773 **Supplementary Figure 1.** Box plots displaying Log-transformed abundances and alpha-diversity

774 metrics significantly different (Wilcoxon's test; *P*-value [FDR adjusted]: <0.05) between batch A and B

775 (all sampling points considered together).

776

777

778 **Supplementary Figure 2. Correlation between metataxonomic and volatilomic data.** Tile plots

779 showing existing correlation between VOCs and ASVs merged at the species/genus level. Colours

780 represents level of Spearman's Rho correlation (from -1 to 1; caption) and significant positive and

781 negative correlations are highlighted with asterisks (FDR: *= P <0.05; **= P <0.01; ***= P <0.001).

782

783 **Supplementary Figure 3.** Metabolic pathways differentially represented in the two batches on the base

784 of inferred bacterial metagenomes**;** box plots show the results of pathways enrichment analysis (*gage*

785 Bioconductor) with all metabolic pathways significantly (*gage* enrichment statistic: P < 0.001)

786 overrepresented in batch A and B.

787

788

789

790

791   **Fig. 1**

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

Fig. 2.

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

A

Bacteria   Fungi

B

Module 0   Module 1   Module 2   Module 3   Module 4

C

824

825

38

826 **Fig. 6**

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

S Figure 1

A

B

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

S Figure 3