



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Effect of biocontrol agents and potassium phosphite against Phytophthora crown rot, caused by Phytophthora capsici, on zucchini in a closed soilless system

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1891853 since 2023-02-10T18:32:45Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.scienta.2020.109207

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

- 1 Effect of biocontrol agents and potassium phosphite against Phytophthora crown rot, caused
- 2 by *Phytophthora capsici*, on zucchini in a closed soilless system
- 3

4 G. Gilardi^a, M. Pugliese ^{a,b,c}, M.L. Gullino ^{a,b}, A. Garibaldi^a

- 5 ^a Centre for Innovation in the Agro-Environmental Sector, AGROINNOVA, University of Torino,
- 6 Largo P. Braccini 2, 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy
- 7 ^b Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences (DISAFA), University of Torino, Largo P.
- 8 Braccini 2, 10095, Grugliasco (TO), Italy
- 9 ^c AgriNewTech srl, Torino, Italy.
- 10
- 11 *Corresponding author*:
- 12 Tel: +39 0116708540
- 13 Fax: +39 0116708703
- 14 E-mail address: giovanna.gilardi@unito.it
- 15

16 Abstract

17 Five biocontrol agents and potassium phosphite, used at various concentrations and at a different 18 number of applications, have been tested to establish their ability to control Phytophthora capsici on 19 hydroponically grown zucchini plants. In a first set of trials, various experimental biocontrol agents 20 (Trichoderma sp. TW2, a mixture of Pseudomonas FC7B, FC8B, FC9B, Fusarium solani FUS25 and 21 Pseudomonas sp. PB26) and a commercial formulation of Trichoderma gamsii +T. asperellum 22 (Remedier) were applied at the artificial infestation with the pathogen of a peat substrate, 5-7 days 23 before planting the zucchini seedlings, and later at 5-day-intervals. BCAs were compared with a 24 potassium phosphite-based fertiliser. In a second set of trials, the potassium phosphite fertiliser was 25 applied directly to the growing media or via a nutrient solution every 6 days, starting at the infestation 26 with the pathogen and 5-7 days before planting, in order to select the optimal rate, type and number 27 of applications. Potassium phosphite reduced by 62 to 94% Phytophthora crown rot of zucchini, 28 providing more consistant disease severity reduction than those achieved using the experimental 29 BCAs, alone or in mixture, and the Trichoderma gamsii + T. apserellum formulated mixture (29 to 30 47% reduction in disease severity). One application of potassium phosphite, at the highest tested 31 concentration, was less effective than three applications. Potassium phosphite consistently reduced 32 the severity of Phytophthora crown rot under different disease pressure (by 48 to 79%) when applied 33 via a treated peat growing media or via a nutrient solution with 3 to 6 applications, thereby offering 34 growers an important opportunity to control P. capsici on soilless grown zucchini.

35

Keywords: Hydroponic; Phytophthora control, *Cucurbita pepo*, nutrient solution, microorganisms,
phosphites.

38

39 **1. Introduction**

40

41 Zucchini (Cucurbita pepo L.) is an important crop throughout the world that is affected by several 42 air- and soil-borne pathogens which cause severe losses (Gubler and Davis, 1996). Currently, soil-43 borne pathogens are a cause of particular concern in many geographical areas, including the 44 Mediterranean, because of the difficulties encountered in their management, due to the increasing 45 lack of effective, available control measures (Colla et al., 2014; Garibaldi et al., 2014; Katan, 2017). 46 Phytophthora capsici, which causes the root and crown rot of zucchini (Lamour et al., 2012), has long been known in Italy (Cristinzio and Noviello, 1980) and remains one of the most critical 47 48 pathogens of this crop (Gullino et al., 2018). This pathogen can also be spread through infected 49 transplants, seeds and water resources (Granke et al., 2012; Lamour et al., 2012; Reistano and Stephens, 1999), and is thus also of concern for soilless systems, where oomycetes find an 50 51 environment that is favourable for their survival and spread (Jenkins and Averre, 1983). In fact, 52 despite having been developed and promoted to reduce the problems caused by soil-borne pathogens, to reduce the release of nutrients into the environment and to improve water efficiency (Van Os,
1999). However, closed soilless systems, which are increasingly adopted in southern countries, are
often characterised by the presence of root diseases (Postma et al., 2008; Stanghellini and Rasmussen,
1994).

Owing to the limited availability of synthetic fungicides registered for soilless systems, it is necessary 57 58 to evaluate the efficacy of alternative disease control measures. Thus, disease management, based on 59 biocontrol agents, suppressive soils and inorganic salts, is increasingly being exploited in such 60 growing systems (Gullino et al., 2015; Paulitz, 1997; Van Os, 1999; Postma, 2004; 2010; Vallance et 61 al., 2001). Phosphite has been shown to be effective in the control of oomycete related diseases in 62 horticulture. Deliopoulos et al., (2010), for instance, showed that phosphite salts are effective against 63 several soil-borne pathogens in different pathosystems, such as Pythium ultimum-cucumber, 64 Phytophthora cinnamomi-lupin and Phytophthora nicotianae-tobacco. The protective effect induced 65 by phosphite, and its persistence in planta, may vary among species (Barrett et al. 2003; Shearer and Crane, 2012), type of application (Guest and Grant 1991; Smillie et al., 1989), Phytophthora species 66 67 and strains (Coffey and Bower, 1984), and could be affected by concentration (Jackson et al., 2000; 68 Daniel and Guest, 2006). Although the extensive research carried out to better understand the mode 69 of action of phosphite in plant protection (Hardy et al., 2001; Thao et al., 2009; Alexanderson et al., 70 2016), there is still a need to better understand their potential when applied in hydroponics. In the 71 case of biocontrol agents, different microorganisms have been tested in the past in soilless systems, 72 such as Muscodor albus against Rhizoctonia damping-off of broccoli, Gliocladium virens against 73 Rhizoctonia solani and Pythium ultimum of zinnia, cotton and cabbage (Lumsdenand Locke, 1989), 74 and non-pathogenic Fusarium oxysporum against Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. basilici on basil (Fravel 75 and Larkin 1999). Other studies have shown a positive effect of applying biocontrol agents to 76 hydroponic systems via recirculating nutrient solutions or in the growing-medium on different hosts 77 affected by oomycete pathogens; this is the case of bacterial isolates of fluorescent *Pseudomonades* 78 in the Pseudomonas fluorescens, P. putida and P. aeruginosa group against Pythium ultimum on

tomato (Alsanius et al., 1999), of a mixture of *Fusarium* spp. and *Trichoderma* spp. against *Phytophthora cryptogea* on gerbera (Garibaldi et al., 2003), of indigenous *Pseudomonas* spp. and *Trichoderma* sp. against *Pythium aphanidermatum* on cucumber (Postma et al., 2000, 2005), of *Muscodor albus* against *Phytophthora capsici* on bell pepper (Mercier and Manker, 2005) and of *Bacillus subtilis* against *Pythium aphanidermatum* on lettuce (Utkhede et al., 2000). However, despite many studies, their pratical application is still limited.

The type, rate and timing of the application of biocontrol agents and salts, which often act as resistance inducers, affect both the level of disease control and the yield (Paulitz, 1997; Walter et al., 2013; Bonanomi et al., 2018). Thus, finding the right application method for biocontrol agents and salts in soilless systems against zoospore producing pathogens merits further attention.

Although other studies have been carried out on the effect of biocontrol agents and salts against pathogens that are well adapted to soilless systems, such as *Pythium* spp., and *Phytophthora* sp. (Armitage, 1993; Förster et al., 1998; Garibaldi et al., 2003; Garibaldi and Gullino, 2010; Gullino et al., 2015; Stanghellini et al., 1994), there is still a lack of knowledge on their efficacy against *Phytophthora capsici* on zucchini grown in soilless systems, and the possible effect of combined BCAs on disease severity.

This work has been carried out in a closed soilless system, under controlled conditions, in order to evaluate the efficacy of experimental biocontrol agents used alone or in mixture, compared with a commercial formulation of *Trichoderma gamsii* +*T. asperellum*, and potassium phosphite salts, considering the long-term efficacy of different types and different numbers of applications to control *P. capsici* on zucchini, with the aim of developing practical solutions to manage the disease.

- 101 **2. Material and methods**
- 102

^{103 2.1.} Experimental layout, soilless system and plant material

104 Thirteen trials were carried out in a glasshouse at the Agroinnova Centre of Competence of the 105 University of Torino, in Grugliasco (Torino, Italy), at temperatures ranging from 20 to 28°C, in a fully automated closed soilless system. A small-scale hydroponic experimental system, with a 106 107 recirculating nutrient solution, was used throughout the trials. Each hydroponic unit consisted of one 108 channel (6 m long and 25 cm wide) connected to a storage tank (300 L) filled with a nutrient solution, 109 which was automatically delivered to the plants, thanks to the use of an electronic control unit 110 (Idromat2, Calpeda S.p.a., Vicenza, Italy). The nutrient solution was pumped at 1.5-1.6 mS cm⁻¹ from 111 the water storage tank, fed to the plants through drip emitters and left to drain back into the storage 112 tank by means of gravity Nutrient solutions with the following compositions were used: 11.24 mM 113 NO₃⁻, 4.8 mM NH₄, 0.75 mM KH₂PO₄, 0.75 mM K₂SO₄, 0.012 mM Iron chelate EDTA, 2 mM MgO, 2 mM SO₃, 0.2 mM B, 0.001 mM Mo, 0.15 mM Zn, 3.1 mM CaO, 0.05 mM Cu⁺⁺, 0.25 mM Mn, 12.2 114 115 mM K. The pH and E.C. values were checked regularly by means of portable instruments, that is, a 116 pH meter and a SevenGo DUO TM SG23 conductivity meter (Tettler, Toledo, Spain). The plants 117 were irrigated with the solution as described above and treated. 118 The experimental unit consisted of six pots replicated five times (n= 30 pots each channel). Two

plants were planted in each pot, and six pots corresponded to one sub-replicate of 12 plants each. Five
replicates were used per treatment (60 plants/treatment).

Each trial included one untreated and inoculated control and different treatments with products
tested alone or in mixture, according to the protocol tested in the first and second set of trials
(Tables 1 and 2).

124 The susceptible Genovese zucchini cv. (Furia Sementi, Monticelli Terme (PR), Italy) was 125 transplanted at 15 days of age into 3 L plastic pots filled with a growing medium based on blonde 126 peat (Tecno 2, Turco S.r.l., Albenga, Italy) in all the trials.

127

128 2.2.Biological control agents (BCAs) and phosphite treatments

The following BCAs, isolated from suppressive composts and provided by AgriNewTech srl (Italy), were tested alone and in mixture (1:1:1 v/v) in the first set of trials (trials 1- 4): *Pseudomonas* sp. PB26 (Pugliese et al., 2008), *Fusarium solani* FUS25 (Gullino and Pugliese, 2011), *Trichoderma sp.* TW2 (Cucu et al., 2019). A mixture of three *Pseudomonas* spp. strains, *Pseudomonas* sp. FC7B (EU836174) - *Pseudomonas putida* FC 8B (EU836171) and *Pseudomonas* sp. FC 9B (EU836172), isolated from a suppressive rockwool substrate in a soilless system (Clematis et al., 2009; Srinivasan et al., 2009), was also used (Table 1).

136 The bacterial strains were maintained at 4°C in Luria Bertani (LB) slants throughout the study. The fresh bacterial suspensions were prepared by inoculating a loopful of bacterial cells into 30 ml 137 138 of an LB medium in 100 ml Erlenmeyer flasks, and then incubating the suspension on a rotary shaker at 600 rpm for 48 h at 23°C. The cell suspension was centrifuged, and the pellets were re-suspended 139 140 in sterile deionised water. The bacterial concentrations were checked by means of optical density 141 (OD₆₀₀) before application. The density (OD600) was adjusted with sterile deionised water, by means of serial dilution, to 1x10⁸ cell ml⁻¹ before application. *Trichoderma* sp. TW2 was grown in a 1000-142 143 ml-flask containing 250 ml of potato dextrose broth (SIGMA, Germany) and maintained under static 144 conditions at 25°C. After 15 days, the produced mycelium was transferred to 200 ml sterile distilled water and homogenised using a hand-held rotary mixer. The conidia suspension obtained for the 145 *Trichoderma* sp. TW2 isolate was standardised to 1×10^7 CFU ml⁻¹. 146

The antagonistic *Fusarium solani* FUS25 was propagated into 1000-ml-flasks containing 250 ml of potato dextrose broth (Sigma, Germany) and maintained on a rotary shaker for ten days at 200 rpm. The cultures were centrifuged at 8,000 g for 20 min at 4°C. The conidia and mycelium pellet were transferred into 200 ml of sterile distilled water and homogenised using a rotary mixer. The conidial suspension was adjusted with sterile deionized water, by means of serial dilution, to 1×10^7 conidia ml⁻¹ before application.

153 In the first set of trials, each BCA suspension was applied to each pot and after planting around the 154 base of 15 day-old seedlings at a final concentration of $1 \times 10^7 \text{CFUml}^{-1}$. The BCAs were applied six times to the growing medium at 5 day-intervals using 100 ml/pot of the suspension, according to theexperimental protocol (Table 1).

The experimental BCAs were compared with a commercial formulation of *Trichoderma asperellum* + *T. gamsii* (Remedier, Isagro, Milan, Italy), which had been applied at the label rate of 0.25 g l⁻¹ of peat substrate and compared with the potassium phosphite fertilizer (Alexine 95PS P2O5 52% + K2O,42%, Alexine, Massò, Spain), which was labelled as a phosphorus supplement for soilless application using 2.5 g/l (Table 1).

The second set of trials (trials 5 to 13) was conducted to select the optimal type, frequency and number of potassium phosphite applications (Table 2). The fertilizer-based phosphite was added directly to the nutrient solution (NS) or applied to each pot around the base of the seedlings using 100 ml/pot of the suspension prepared at 1.125 and 2.5 g/l, according to the experimental protocol. Kphosphite was applied at 5-6 day intervals with 1, 3 and 6 applications (Table 2).

In both protocols, the first treatment, was carried out the same day of the artificial infestation of thepeat substrate.

169

170 2.3. Artificial inoculation with the pathogen

171 A highly virulent strain of Phytophthora capsici (coded PHC 1/16), isolated from zucchini and 172 taken from the AGROINNOVA collection, was cultured on a selective oomycete medium (Masago 173 et al., 1977) at 20°C for one week. One mycelium-agar plug (5 mm diameter), taken from an actively 174 growing colony, was transferred to a 1000-ml-flask containing the wheat-hempseed medium (200g 175 wheat kernels, 100g hempseeds and 320 ml water, sterilized at 121°C for 30 min) and incubated at 176 20°C in a growth chamber under a 12-h fluorescent photoperiod. The P. capsici produced after 10 177 days of incubation at 22°C was mixed into the soil substrate at a concentration of 1 g of fresh biomass 178 per litre of growing medium immediately before the first treatments was made. 179 Fifteen-day-old zucchini seedlings were transplanted into the treated and untreated pots 5-7 days after

180 the artificial infestation of the substrate with the pathogen.

181

182 2.4.Disease assessment and statistical analysis

The zucchini plants were assessed at 7-day-intervals, starting from when the first symptoms 183 184 caused by Phytophthora crown rot, corresponding to yellowing of the leaves, were observed. Disease severity was evaluated at the end of the trials 7 to 14 days after the final treatment, according to 185 186 Padley et al., (2008). Disease severity was expressed using the $\left[\sum (n^{\circ} \text{ plants} \times x_{0.5}) / (\text{total } n^{\circ} \text{ of plants} + x_{0.5})\right]$ 187 recorded)] formula, with x 0-5 corresponding to the reported value: 0=no symptoms, healthy plants; 188 1=1 corresponding to 30% of the leaves being slightly wilted (midpoint 15%); 2=31 corresponding 189 to 50% of foliar wilting and crown lesions (midpoint 40%); 3=51 corresponding to 70% of the plants 190 being partially collapsed (midpoint 60%); 4=71 corresponding to 90% of the plants being collapsed 191 (midpoint 80%): 5=over 90% of dead plants (midpoint 95%).

192 The data obtained from the experiments were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) 193 appropriate to the experimental design using SPSS, Version 25. The experimental unit consisted of a 194 3-L pot with two plants and sub-replicates with 12 plants each. Each set of treatments was repeated 195 at last three times in the first and second sets of trials according to protocols 1 and 2 (Tables 1 and 2). 196 The trials were combined when the 'trial' factor was not significant (P>0,05). The data were 197 compared using Tukey's test at a significance level of 5%. The considered factors were: five 198 experimental biocontrol agents and K-phosphite, and the type of application that is in pots to the peat 199 medium or via nutrient solution (NS), rate (1.125 and 2.5 g/l) and number of applications (1, 3 or 6). 200 The efficacy of the different treatments in controlling P. capsici was calculated as: % Disease reduction (E%) = $\frac{LS_i - LS_t}{LS_i} \times 100$ 201

where LS = percentage of plants affected by DS; i = inoculated and untreated control; t = treatments. 203

204 **3. Results**

205 3.1. Effect of the biocontrol agents

206 The data from the first set of trials (1-4) were analysed separately for each experimental run 207 because there was evidence of heterogeneity (P <0.05) between the trial runs. Phytophthora crown root severity ranged from 30 to 47.9% (Table 1); the experimental biocontrol agents applied to the 208 209 growing media every 4-5 days only partially reduced disease severity, with inconsistent results 210 throughout the trials. For instance, the disease reduction of Pseudomonas Ant P28, compared to the 211 untreated control, was from 17 to 47%, while it was from 8 to 54% for Fusarium solani FUS25, from 212 12 to 54% for Trichoderma sp. TW2 and from 4 to 46% for Pseudomonas (FC7, FC 8, FC 9). The 213 tested biocontrol generally provided results that were statistically comparable with the results for the 214 formulated mixture of *Trichoderma asperellum* + *T. gamsii* (29 to 43% efficacy) used as reference. 215 The co-application of the mixture of *Pseudomonas* PB26+ *F. solani* A25F+*Trichoderma* sp. TW2 tested in this study did not enhance the disease control efficacy, compared to the efficacy achieved 216 217 when BCAs were used on their own. The highest and most consistent P. capsici control was provided 218 by K-phosphite (62 to 94% efficacy).

219

220 3.2. Effect of the dosage, type and number of applications of potassium phosphite

221 The data from trials 5-13 were combined when no significant differences in disease severity were 222 found among the trials (Table 4). Phytophthora crown rot severity differed throughout the trials and 223 resulted in an average disease severity in the untreated control of 20.3, 40.1 and 59.1, respectively 224 (Table 5). The application of potassium phosphite significantly reduced disease severity in all the 225 experiments. One-way analysis of variance showed that the tested dosages and the type of application 226 (to the peat growing medium or to the nutrient solution) were not significant factors in the trials (Table 227 4), while the number of applications (1, 3 and 6) and the interaction of all the considered factors 228 significantly influenced disease severity under different disease pressures (P < 0.001). The efficacy 229 of potassium phosphite at the lowest tested rate increased by 30% and almost doubled when the 230 number of treatments was increased from one to six (Table 5). Moreover, one application of 231 potassium phosphite at the highest tested concentration resulted in a much lower effect than the three

applications in trials 5-7 and 8-10, while no significant effect (P = 0.259) was found in trials 11-13 (Tables 4 and 5). Three more applications (for a total of six) generally had little or no further effect on the efficacy of the treatment in two out of the three sets of trials that were carried out, and an efficacy of 43.2% to 78.8% was reached (Table 5). No effect of phosphite on plant growth was found at both tested rates and frequency of applications throughout the trials (data not shown).

The effect of the interaction of all the factors (concentration, number of application and applicationmethod) was significant in all the experiments.

239

240 **4. Discussion**

241

Hydroponic systems have become a standard cultivation method in Southern Europe for several intensively grown vegetables, in part because, despite the high initial investments, they can provide growers with higher incomes (Savvas et al., 2013; Sambo et al., 2019). In fact, soilless cultivation, apart from being a solution from a technical and agronomical point of view, in many cases represents the grower's choice when crop rotation is not feasible and resistant cultivars are not available, and when chemical control becomes complicated due to increasing limitations in the availability of fumigants and registered fungicides (Garibaldi et al., 2014; Vallance et al., 2011).

249 Unfortunately, soilless grown plants may be attacked by the same pests and diseases as plants 250 cultivated traditionally in soil, even though the occurrence and degree of severity may be different 251 (Schnitzler 2004), and one of the main concerns of closed systems is the potential spread of root 252 pathogens with the recirculation of the nutrient solution (Postma et al., 2008). The very limited 253 availability of traditional fungicides for soilless systems has stimulated the adoption of other options. 254 For instance, some biocontrol agents have been labelled for applications in irrigation systems and 255 phosphite fertilizers, when labelled as phosphorus supplements, are admitted for application in 256 soilless systems (Gómez-Merino and Trejo-Télle, 2015). Hydroponic is a complex environment and multiple chemical and biological equilibria must be taken into account for developing practicalsolutions to manage diseases of plants grown soilless.

259 In the present study, the experimental biocontrol agents obtained from suppressive compost 260 (Pseudomonas sp. PB26, F. solani A25F and Trichoderma sp. TW2) and from a soilless rockwool medium (Pseudomonas FC7B, FC8B, FC9B mixture) have provided a certain degree of control and 261 262 have led to results that are comparable with those obtained for the formulated mixture of Trichoderma 263 gamsii + T. apserellum. Among the huge diversity of microorganisms that have shown to suppress 264 the growth of P. capsici, the most explored belong to rizhobacteria (Thomashow et al., 1995; Sheoran 265 et al., 2015; Agisha et al., 2019) and Trichoderma (Harman, 2006; Kaewchai et al., 2009; Segarra et 266 al., 2016; Bae et al., 2011). Trichoderma spp. have shown high biocontrol potential through one or more mechanisms such as mycoparasitism, competition for key nutrients and colonization of sites, 267 268 production of antibiotics, or by stimulating plant defense mechanisms (Benítez et al., 2004; Elad et 269 al., ...). The disease suppression and plant-growth promotion activities of various strains might be 270 related to the production of different antibiotics, secondary metabolites, lytic enzymes, 271 phytohormones, siderophores, and volatiles (Bae et al., 2011; Li et al., 2019). Trichoderma species 272 are well known for their capacity to produce secondary metabolites, including peptaibols, terpenes, 273 diketopiperazines, steroids, amides, lactones, polyketides, tetronic acid derivatives, peptides, 274 pyranone derivatives, pyridines, and cyclopentenones, which may have numerous biological 275 activities, including antifungal, antibacterial, plant-growth-enhancing/inhibitoring, bioinducer, 276 antagonism and plant resistance effects (Li et al., 2019), suggesting a potential role also in the control 277 of P. capsici, as demonstrated by Bae et al., 2011 Pseudomonas strains are known for producing 278 metabolites active against P. capsici, like pyrazines, dimethyl trisulphide and dimethyl disulfide 279 (Sheoran et al., 2015; Agisha et al., 2019). Among different mecchanisms, the ability in inducing a 280 motility inhibitory effect of zoospore of P. capsici provided by Pseudomonas has been demostred 281 (Zohara et al., 2016). Non-pathogenic Fusarium oxysporum and F. solani collected from wiltsuppressive soil have been reported as biocontrol agents against Fusarium wilt diseases of tomato,
watermelon and muskmelon (Larkin and Fravel 1998; Malandrakisa et al., 2018).

284 Most biological control studies in hydroponics deal with one antagonist, although attempts to 285 apply more than one antagonist helped in disease control efficiency. Indeed, the efficiency of 286 biological control agents in mixtures may be related to complementary modes of action of combined 287 organisms (Xu et al., 2011). For instance, a mixture of fluorescent pseudomonads and nonpathogenic 288 isolates of F. oxysporum were effective in reducing the density of pathogenic F. oxysporum f.sp. 289 gladioli populations in soils (Lemanceau and Alabouvette, 1993). Other studies have demonstrated 290 that the combination of fungi and bacterial species, respectively, Trichoderma hamatum and 291 Pseudomonas aeruginosa, is able to significantly reduce the incidence of P. capsici disease in chili 292 pepper (Chemeltorit et al. 2017). In the present study the co-application of the mixture of 293 Pseudomonas sp. PB26 + F. solani A25F + Trichoderma sp. TW2 did not generally enhance the 294 efficacy of the BCA used alone. However, in agreement with another study (Xu et al., 2011), 295 combinations may be valuable for other reasons, including control of various pathogens, more 296 consistent efficacy, or control over different environments and stress conditions, which were not 297 evaluated in this study. Since inconsistent results were observed for the tested biocontrol agents, 298 further investigations are needed under various environmental conditions. Indeed, introduction of 299 single or mixtures of biocontrol agents that are not native to that microenvironment fail to sustain its 300 population high enough for being effective. The presented results provided evidence of a new 301 application potential of Pseudomonas sp. PB26, F. solani A25F and Trichoderma sp. TW2 for 302 controlling Phytophthora capsici in soilless. Hence, future research on the dosage/frequency and on 303 possible combinations with other control measures is suggested.

Among the options that were tested, the one with potassium phosphite provided a good control of the pathogen. Although studies have long been made on phosphite in order to understand its role in agriculture (McDonald et al., 2001; Ouimette and Coffey, 1989; 1990; Ristaino and Johnston, 1999; Thao and Yamakawa, 2009), its exploitation in soilless systems has been limited. Phosphite has, for 308 instance, been investigated under hydroponic conditions against the Phytophthora crown rot of tomato 309 and pepper-P. capsici (Förster et al., 1998; Stanghellini et al., 1994) and lettuce- Phytophthora 310 drechsleri (Jee et al., 2002). These compounds are systemic, can be transported upward in the xylem 311 and downward in the phloem to the roots (Cohen and Coffey, 1986), and have both protective and 312 curative properties (Barrett et al., 2003). Both direct and indirect modes of action may occur, 313 depending on the time interval between the phosphite application and the inoculation, the applied 314 phosphite concentration and the tolerance of the host and pathogen to phosphite (Jackson et al., 2000; 315 Smillie et al., 1989). The high water solubility of phosphite allows different type of application, 316 however, there is still a lack of information involving phosphite in soilless. Results from the present 317 study help in elucidating the possible long-term effect of phosphite applied in soilless against 318 *P.capsici.* Many phosphite application methods, including foliar, seed and root immersion, trunk 319 injections and soil applications, have resulted to be efficient against several soilborne and foliar 320 pathogens in horticultural crops (Alexandersson et al., 2016; Barrett et al., 2003; Carmona et al., 321 2018; Föster et al., 1998; Greenhalghet al. 1994; Yandoc-Ables et al. 2007; Liljeroth et al., 2016; 322 Lobato et al., 2010; Smillie et al., 1989). Under field conditions, phosphite-based fertilizers are 323 normally applied as drenches or through an irrigation system. However, the application of phosphites 324 should be timed carefully on the basis of the plant genotype, phenological stage and environmental 325 conditions (Alexandersson et al., 2016). In fact, excessive phosphite concentrations have resulted in 326 phytotoxicity in some horticultural crops (Barrett et al., 2003; Pilbeam et al., 2000; Walker, 1991). In 327 the present study, potassium phosphite has been applied to zucchini plants by adding soluble forms 328 of the element to the nutrient solution of a closed hydroponics system and the results have been 329 compared with the results of its application to the growing media. The obtained results have pointed 330 out that the type of application of potassium phosphite and the concentration of application did not 331 affect the Phytophthora crown rot control in the trials carried out under different disease pressures. 332 The results obtained in this study are in agreement with those of Pilbeam et al. (2000), who showed 333 a slight improvement in the control of *Phytophthora cinnamomi* on *Eucalyptus marginata* above a 334 certain application rate. However, the efficacy of potassium phosphite was improved when the 335 number of applications was increased from 1 to 3. When the number of application was increased, the protection provided by potassium phosphite was generally doubled, without any negative effect 336 337 on plant growth. Increasing the number of applications from 3 to 6 did not provide any significant 338 additional advantage. Because potassium phosphite acts systemically and is known for its direct effect 339 on the pathogen (Guest and Bompeix, 1990; Smillie et al., 1989), its application in a closed soilless 340 system under controlled conditions should be a topic of continuous research on different hosts and 341 pathogens. Indeed, potassium phosphite acts primarily on the pathogen, inducing the release of stress 342 metabolites to elicit the defence response (Guest and Grant, 1990) and some host plants are more 343 responsive to phosphonate than others. In the present study, we did not evaluate the mechanism of 344 action of phosphite. The results obtained consistently show that potassium phosphite, applied to the 345 nutrient solution, represents an important option for growers to control P. capsici on soilless gown 346 zucchini. Moreover, it is possible that the level of control provided by the here tested biocontrol agents may be improved in IPM programmes. The impact of combined application of BCA with 347 348 reduced dosage of phosphite merits further evaluations.

349

350 Acknowledgements

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 633999 (EUCLID EU-CHINA Lever for IPM Demonstration). The authors would like to thank Andrea China Gallo for her technical assistance and Marguerite Jones for the language revision.

355

356 Conflict of Interest

357 Massimo Pugliese declares he has a financial interest (shareholder) in the company AgriNewTech 358 that provided three microorganisms (*Trichoderma* sp. TW2, *Fusarium solani* FUS25 and 359 *Pseudomonas* sp. PB26) tested in this study.

360 **References**

- Agisha, V. N., Kumar, A., Eapen, S. J., Sheoran, N., Suseelabhai, R., 2019. Broad-spectrum
 antimicrobial activity of volatile organic compounds from endophytic *Pseudomonas putida* BP25
 against diverse plant pathogens. Biocontrol Sci. Techn. 29 (11), 1069-1089.
- 365 Alexandersson, E., Mulugeta, T., Lankinen, Å., Liljeroth, E., Andreasson, E., 2016. Plant Resistance
- 366 Inducers against Pathogens in Solanaceae Species-From Molecular Mechanisms to Field Application.
- 367 Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2016, 17(10), 1673-1695.
- Alsanius, B.W., Khalil, S., Hultberg, M., Englund, J.E., Sundin, P. 1999. The influence of introduced
 Pseudomonas isolates on the rhizobacterial community of hydroponically cultivated tomato: a
 comparison of different methods of assessment, in: Hultberg M. (Ed.). Seed bacterization with
 Pseudomonas fluorescens and interactions with *Pythium ultimum* on tomato in soilless systems, Acta
 universitatis agriculturae sueciae, Agaria: 159.
- Armitage, P., 1993. Chemical control of *Phytophthora cinnamomi* in irrigation water. Australian
 Hort. 91 (10), 30-36.
- 375 Bae, H., Roberts, D. P., Lim, H.-S., Strem, M. D., Park, S.-C., Ryu, C.-M., Melnick, R., L., Bailey,
- B. A., 2011. Endophytic Trichoderma isolates from tropical environments delay disease onset and
- 377 induce resistance against *Phytophthora capsici* in hot pepper using multiple mechanisms. Mol. Plant.
- 378 Microbe In. 24 (3), 336-351.
- Barrett, S.R., Shearer, B.L., Hardy, G.E.S., 2003. The efficacy of phosphite applied after inoculation
 on the colonisation of *Banksia brownii* stems by *Phytophthora cinnamomi*. Australas. Plant Pathol.
 32, 1-7.
- 382 Barrett, S.R., Shearer, B.L., Hardy, G. J., 2002. Root and shoot development in *Corymbia calophylla*
- and *Banksia brownii* after the application of the fungicide phosphite. Aust J Bot 50,155–161
- 384 Benítez, T., Rincón, A. M., Limón, M. C., Codón, A. C., 2004. Biocontrol mechanisms of
- 385 *Trichoderma* strains. International Microbiology, 7(4), 249-260.

- Berg, G., 2009. Plant-microbe interactions promoting plant growth and health: perspective for
 controlled use of microorganisms in agriculture. Appl. Microb. Biotec. 84 (1), 11-18.
- 388
- 389 Bonanomi, G., Lorito, M., Vinale, F., Woo, S.L., 2018. Organic amendments, beneficial microbes,
- and soil microbiota: toward a unified framework for disease suppression Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 56,
- 391 1-20.
- Carmona, M.A., Sautua, F.J., Grijalba, P.E., Cassina, M., Pèrez-Hernandez, O., 2018. Effect of
 potassium and manganese phosphites in the control of Pythium damping-off in soybean: a feasible
 alternative to fungicide seed treatments. Pest Manag. Sci. 74, 366-374.
- 395 Chemeltorit, P. P., Mutaqin, K. H., Widodo, W., 2017. Combining Trichoderma hamatum THSW13
- 396 and Pseudomonas aeruginosa BJ10-86: a synergistic chili pepper seed treatment for Phytophthora
- 397 capsici infested soil. European Journal of Plant Pathology 147, 157–166.
- Cohen, Y., Coffey, M. D., 1986. Systemic Fungicides and the Control of Oomycetes. Annual Review
 of Phytopathology 24, 311-338.
- Coffey, M.D., Bower, L.A., 1984. *In vitro* variability among isolates of eight *Phytophthora* species
 in response to phosphorous acid. Phytopathology 74, 738-42.
- 402 Colla, P., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M.L, 2014. Consequences of European pesticide policies
 403 enforcement in soil disinfestation sector. Acta Hort. 1044, 363-366.
- 404 Cucu, M.A., Gilardi, G., Pugliese, M., Matic, S., Ulrich, G., Gullino, M.L., Garibaldi, A. 2019.
- 405 Influence of different biological control agents and compost on total and nitrification-driven
- 406 microbial communities at rhizosphere and soil level in a lettuce Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lactucae
- 407 pathosystem. J Appl Microbiol, 126, 905-918.
- 408 Daniel, R., Guest, D.I., 2006. Phosphite induces defence responses in *Arabidopsis thaliana*409 challenged with *Phytophthora palmivora*. Phytopathology 96, S28–S28.
- 410 Deliopoulus, T., Kettlewell, P.S., Hare, M.C., 2010. Fungal disease suppression by inorganic salts: A
- 411 review. Crop Prot. 29, 1059-1075.

- 412 Föster, H., Adaskaveg, J.E., Kim D.H., Stanghellini, M.E., 1998. Effect of phosphite on tomato and
- 413 pepper plants and on susceptibility of pepper to Phytophthora root and crown rot in hydroponic414 culture. Plant Dis. 82, 1165–1170.
- 415 Fravel, L.D., Larkin, R.P., 1999. Biocontrol of Fusarium wilt of hydroponically-grown basid
 416 (Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. basilica) using *F. oxysporum* CS-20. Phytopathology 89, S26.
- 417 Garibaldi, A., Minuto, A., Grasso, V., Gullino, M.L., 2003. Application of selected antagonistic
- 418 strains against *Phytophthora cryptogea* on gerbera in closed soilless systems with disinfection by
- 419 slow sand filtration. Crop Prot. 22, 1053–1061.
- Garibaldi, A., Gilardi, G., Gullino, M.L., 2014. Critical aspects in disease management as a
 consequence of the evolution of soil-borne pathogens. Acta Hortic. 1044, 43-52.
- 422 Gómez, J., Perez, A., Serrano, Y., Aguilar, M.I., Gómez, R., 2013. Phytophthora crown and root rot
 423 of zucchini squash in Almeria, Spain. Plant Dis. 97, 1249.
- 424 Gómez-Merino, F.C., Trejo-Télle, L.L., 2015. Biostimulant activity of phosphite in horticulture. Sci.
 425 Hortic. 196, 82-90.
- 426 Granke, L. L., Quesada-Ocampo, L., Lamour, K., Hausbeck, M. K., 2012. Advances in research on
- 427 *Phytophthora capsici* on vegetable crops in the United States. Plant Dis. 96, 1588–1600.
- 428 Greenhalgh, F.C., de Boer, R.F., Merriman, P.R., Hepworth, G., Keane, P.J., 1994. Control of
- 429 Phytophthora root rot of irrigated subterranean clover with potassium phosphonate in Victoria,
 430 Australia. Plant Pathol. 43, 1009–1019.
- 431 Gubler, W.D., Davis, R.M., 1996. Phytophthora Root and Crown Rot. In: Zitter, T. A., Hopkins D.
- 432 L., Thomas, C. E. (eds.) Compendium of Cucurbit Diseases, pp. 19-20. APS Press, St. Paul, MN.
- Guest, D.I., Bompeix, G., 1990. The complex mode of action of phosphonates. Australas. Plant
 Pathol. 19,113-115
- 435 Gullino, M.L., Gilardi, G., Bertetti, D., Pugliese, M., Garibaldi, A., 2018. Emerging Soilborne
- 436 Pathogens and Trends in Their Management. Acta Hortic. in press.

- Gullino, M.L., Pugliese, M., 2011. New strain of *Fusarium solani* and its uses. Patent number
 IT2011TO01016.
- Gullino, M.L., Pugliese, M., Garibaldi, A., 2015. Use of silicon amendments against foliar and
 vascular diseases of vegetables grown soilless. p 293.-307 In: G. Sangeetha, V. Kurucheve and J.
 Jayaraj (eds.), Sustainable crop disease management using natural products, Cabi, Delémont,
 Switzerland.
- Hardy GESJ, Barrett SR, Shearer BL, 2001. The future of phosphite as a fungicide to control the
 soilborne plant pathogen Phytophthora cinnamomi in natural ecosystems. Australasian Pant
 Pathology 30, 113 139.
- 446 Harman G.E., 2006. Overview of mechanisms and uses of *Trichoderma* spp. Phytopathology 96
 447 (2),190–194.
- Hausbeck, M.K., Lamour, K. H., 2004. *Phytophthora capsici* on vegetable crops: research progress
 and management challengers. Plant Dis. 88, 1292-1303.
- Jackson, T.J., Burgess, T., Colquhoun, I., Hardy, G.E.S., 2000. Action of fungicide phosphite on *Eucalyptus marginata* inoculated with *Phytophthora cinnamomi*. Plant Pathol. 49, 147–154.
- Jarvis, B., 1991. Does Hydroponic Production Solve Soilborne Problems? American Vegetable
 Grower 10, 54- 57
- Jee, H.J., Cho, W.D., Kim, C.H., 2002. Effect of potassium phosphonate on the control of
 Phytophthora root rot of lettuce in hydroponics. Plant Pathol. J. 18(3), 142–146.
- Jenkins, S.F., Averre, C.W., 1983. Root diseases of vegetables in hydroponic culture systems, Plant
 Dis. 67, 968-970.
- Katan, J., 2017. Diseases caused by soilborne pathogens: biology, management and challenges. J.
 Plant Pathol. 99, 305-315.
- 460 Lamour, K.H., Stam, R., Jupe, J., Huitema, E., 2012. The oomycete broad-host range pathogen
- 461 Phytophthora capsici. Mol.Plant Pathol.13, 329-337.

- Larkin, RP and Fravel, DR. 1998. Efficacy of various fungal and bacterial biocontrol organisms for
 control of Fusarium wilt of tomato. Plant Dis. 82, 1022–1028.
- 464 Lemanceau, P. and C. Alabouvette, 1993. Suppression of fusarium wilts by *Fluorescent*465 *pseudomonads:* Mechanisms and applications. Biocontrol Sci. Technol., 3, 219-234.
- Li, M.-F., Li, G.-H., Zhang K.-Q., 2019. Non-volatile metabolites from Trichoderma spp. Metabolites
 9, 58.
- 468 Liljeroth, E., Lankinen, Å., Wiik, L., Burra, D.D., Alexandersson, E., Andreasson, E., 2016.
- 469 Potassium phosphite combined with reduced doses of fungicides provides efficient protection against
 470 late blight in large-scale field trials. Crop Prot. 86, 42-55.
- 471 Lobato, M.C., Olivieri, F.P., Daleo, G.R., Andreu, A.B., 2010. Antimicrobial activity of phosphites
- 472 against different potato pathogens. J. Plant Dis. Prot. 117, 102-109.
- 473 Lumsden, R. D., Locke, J.C., 1989. Biological Control of Damping-Off Caused by *Pythium ultimum*474 and *Rhizoctonia solani* with *Gliocladium virens* in Soilless Mix. Phytopathology 79, 361-366.
- 475 Malandrakisa A., Daskalakib E.R., Papadopoulou N., Kavroulakis N., 2018. A Fusarium solani
- 476 endophyte vs fungicides: Compatibility in a *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. radicis-lycopersici tomato
- 477 pathosystem. Fungal Biology 122, 1215-1221.
- 478 Manasfi, Cannesan, M.A. Riah W., Bressan M., Laval K., Driouich A., Vicré M., Trinsoutrot-Gattin
- I. Potential of combined biological control agents to cope with Phytophthora parasitica, a majorpathogen of Choisya ternata.
- McDonald, A.E., Grant, B.R., Plaxton, W.C., 2001. Phosphite (phosphorous acid): its relevance in
 the environment and agriculture and influence on plant phosphate starvation response. J. Plant Nutr.,
 24, 1505-1519.
- 484 Mercier, J., Manker, D.C., 2005. Biocontrol of soil-borne diseases and plant growth enhancement in
- 485 greenhouse soilless mix by the volatile producing fungus *Muscodor albus*. Crop Prot. 24, 355–362.
- 486 Ouimette, D. G., Coffey, M. D., 1990. Symplastic entry and phloem translocation of phosphonate.
- 487 Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 38, 18-25.

- 488 Ouimette, D.G., Coffey, M.D., 1989. Comparative antifungal activity of four phosphonate 489 compounds against isolates of nine Phytophthora species. Phytopathology 79,761–767.
- 490 Padley, L.D., Jr. Kabelka, E.A., Roberts, P., French, R., 2008. Evaluation of *Cucurbita pepo*491 accessions for crown rot resistance to isolates of *Phytophthora capsici*. Hort. Sci. 43, 1996-1999.
- 492 Paulitz, T.C., 1997. Biological control of root pathogens in soilless and hydroponic systems. Hort.
 493 Sci. 32, 193-196.
- 494 Pilbeam, R.A., Colquhoun, I.J., Shearer, B., Hardy, G.E. StJ, 2000. Phosphite concentration: its effect
 495 on phytotoxicity symptoms and colonisation by *Phytophthora cinnamomi* in three understorey
 496 species of *Eucalyptus marginata* forest. Australas. Plant Path. 29, 86–95.
- 497 Postma, J., Geraats, B.P.J., Pastoor, R., van Elsas, J.D., 2005. Characterization of the microbial
 498 community involved in the suppression of *Pythium aphanidermatum* in cucumber grown on
 499 rockwool. Phytopathology 95, 808–818.
- 500 Postma, J., 2010. The Status of Biological Control of Plant Diseases in Soilless Cultivation. In Recent
- 501 Developments in Management of Plant Diseases. pp 133-146 (Gisi U., Chet I., Gullino M.L.Eds.).
 502 Springer Netherlands.
- 503 Postma, J., Van Os, E.A., Bonants, P.J.M., 2008. Pathogen detection and management strategies in
- 504 soilless plant growing systems. In: Raviv, M. & Lieth, J.H. (eds) Soilless culture theory and practice.
- 505 USA: Elsevier BV, pp. 425-458.
- Postma, J., van Os, E.A., Kritzman, G., 1999. Prevention of root diseases in closed soilless growing
 systems by microbial optimization. Med. Fac. Landbouww. Rijksuniv. Gent, 64(3b), 431-440.
- 508 Postma, J., Willemsen-de Klein, M.J.E.I.M., Van Elsas, J.D., 2000. Effect of the indigenous
- 509 microflora on the development of root and crown rot caused by *Pythium aphanidermatum* in 510 cucumber grown on rockwool. Phytopathology 90 (2), 125–133.
- 511 Pugliese, M., Liu, B.P., Gullino, M.L., Garibaldi A., 2008. Selection of antagonists from compost to
- 512 control soil-borne pathogens. J. Plant Dis. Protect. 115, 220-228.

- Ristaino, J. B., Johnston, S. A., 1999. Ecologically based approaches to management of Phytophthora
 blight on bell pepper. Plant Dis. 83,1080-1089.
- 515 Sambo et al., 2019. Hydroponic Solutions for Soilless Production Systems: Issues and Opportunities
- 516 in a Smart Agriculture Perspective.
- 517 Savvas, D., Gianquinto, G., Tuzel, Y., Gruda, N., 2013. Soilless culture Good Agricultural Practices
- for Greenhouse Vegetable Crops, Principles for Mediterranean Climate Areas, 217, FAO Plant
 Production and Protection Paper 303-354.
- 520 Segarra, G., Avilés, M., Casanova, E., Borrero, C., & Trillas, I. (2013). Effectiveness of biological
- 521 control of Phytophthora capsici in pepper by *Trichoderma asperellum* strain T34. Phytopathologia
- 522 Mediterranea, 52(1), 77-83.
- Schnitzler, W.H., 2004. Pest and Disease Management of Soilless Culture. Acta Hortic. 648, 191203.
- 525 Shearer, B.L., Crane, C.E., 2012. Variation within the genus *Lambertia* in efficacy of low-volume 526 aerial phosphite spray for control of *Phytophthora cinnamomi*. Australas Plant Pathol 41,47–57.
- 527 Smillie, R., Grant, B.R., Guest, D., 1989. The mode of action of phosphite: evidence of both direct
- and indirect modes of action on three Phytophthora spp. in plants. Phytopathology 79, 921–926
- 529 Stanghellini, M.E., Rasmussen, S., 1994. Hydroponics: a solution for zoosporic pathogens. Plant Dis.
 530 74, 173-178.
- 531 Stanghellini, M.E., Kim, D.H., Rasmussen, S.L., Rorabaugh, P.A., 1996. Control of root rot of 532 peppers caused by Phytophthora capsici with a non-ionic surfactant. Plant Dis. 80, 1113-1116.
- 533 Thao, H.T.B., Yamakawa, T., 2009. Phosphite (phosphorous acid): Fungicide, fertilizer or
 534 biostimulator? Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 55, 228-234.
- 535 Thomashow L.S., Weller D.M., 1995. Current concepts in the use of introduced bacteria for
- 536 biological disease control: mechanisms and antifungal metabolites. In: Stacey., G., Keen, N.T. (Eds.),
- 537 Plant-Microbe Interactions. Chapman and Hall, New York, NY, USA, pp. 187–235.

- 538 Utkhede, R.S., Levesque, C.A., Dinh, D., 2000. *Pythium aphanidermatum* root rot in hydroponically
- grown lettuce and the effect of chemical and biological agents on its control Can. J. Plant Pathol. 22(2), 138-144.
- Vallance, D., Guérin-Dubrana, F., Blancard D., Rey, P., 2011. Pathogenic and beneficial
 microorganisms in soilless cultures. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 31 (1), 191-203.
- 543 Van Os, E. A., 1999. Closed soilless growing systems: a sustainable solution for Dutch greenhouse
 544 horticulture. Water Sci. Technol. 39, 105-112.
- 545 Walters, D.R., Ratsep, J., Havis, N.D., 2013. Controlling crop diseases using induced resistance:
 546 challenges for the future. J. Exp. Bot. 64, 1263-1280.
- 547 Walker, G.E., 1991. Effect of metalaxyl and phosphonate on incidence of cavity spot in carrots.
- 548 Australas. Plant Patho. 20, 2 1-26.
- Yandoc-Ables, C. B., Rosskopf, E. N., Lamb, E. M., 2007. Management of Phytophthora crown rot
 in pumpkin and zucchini seedlings with phosphonates. Plant Dis. 91, 1651-1656.
- 551 Xu X.-M., Jeffries P., Pautasso M., and Jeger M. J., 2011. Combined Use of Biocontrol Agents to
- 552 Manage Plant Diseases in Theory and Practice. Phytopathology, 101, 1025-1031.
- 553 Sid Ahmed A., Ezziyyani M., Sánchez C.P., et al., 2003. Effect of chitin on biological control activity
- of Bacillus spp. and Trichoderma harzianum against root rot disease in pepper chili (Capsicum
- *annuum*) plants. Eur J Plant Pathol. 109, 633–637.
- 556 Zohara F., Akanda M.A.M., Narayan C.P., Mahfuz R., Islam T., 2016. Inhibitory effects of
- 557 Pseudomonas spp. on plant pathogen Phytophthora capsici *in vitro* and *in planta*. Biocatalysis and
- 558 Agricultural Biotechnology 5, 69–77.
- Jackson TJ, Burgess T, Colquhoun I, Hardy GES, Jackson TJ (2000) Action of the fungicide
 phosphite on *Eucalyptus marginata* inoculated with *Phytophthora cinnamomi*. Plant Pathology 49:
- 561 147–154.
- 562

Tables

Table 1.

Main operations carried out during the first set of trials

Operation	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3	Trial 4
Sowing in nursery	30.12.1016	30.01.2017	15.03.2017	27.09.2017
Artificial inoculation with <i>Phytophthora</i> <i>capsici</i>	12.01.2017	9.02.2017	28.03.2017	11.10.2017
Treatments with	12.01.2017	09.02.2017	28.03.2017	11.10.2017
BCAs and K-	16.01.2017	15.02.2017	03.04.2017	17.10.2017
phosphite	20.01.2017	20.02.2017	07.04.2017	23.10.2017
	25.01.2017	24.02.2017	12.04.2017	27.10.2017
	30.01.2017	01.03.2017	18.04.2017	02.11.2017
	6.02.2017	6.03.2017	21.04.2017	07.11.2017
Transplanting	16.01.2017	15.02.2017	03.04.2017	17.10.2017
End of the trial	13.02.2017	13.03.2017	28.04.2017	14.11.2017

570

Table 2.

572 Main operations carried out during the second set of trials Operation Trials carried out under different disease pressures. Average Low^a High 9 10 12 5 6 7 8 11 Artificial 16.06.17 08.09.17 08.06.17 13.04.17 09.05.17 30.05.17 05.05.17 15.09.17 inoculation with Phytophthora capsici Treatments 16.06 08.09 08.06 13.04 09.05 30.05 05.05 15.09 with K-22.06 15.09 13.06 19.04 15.05 05.06 11.05 22.09 phosphite 24.04 19.05 15.05 27.09 26.0620.09 16.06 09.06 25.09 28.04 24.05 19.05 02.10 30.06 21.06 14.06 06.10 05.07 29.09 26.06 03.05 29.05 19.06 24.05 10.07 04.10 30.06 08.05 02.06 23.06 29.05 11.10

13.06.17

14.07.17

13

05.04.17

05.04

10.04

14.04

19.04

24.04

28.04

6.04.17

12.05.17

22.09.17

25.10.17

573

^a Disease severity in the untreated control as average of three trials: low, DS 20.3; average: DS 40.1

19.04.17

22.04.17

15.05.17

16.06.17

05.06.17

7-07.17

11.05.17

12.06.17

575 and high DS 59.1.

Transplanting

End of the

trial

22.06.17

24.07.17

15.09.17

18.10.17

Table 3.

Effect of the experimental BCA treatments on Phytophthora crown rot caused by *P. capsici* on soilless grown zucchini (cv. Genovese). The data shown are expressed as disease severity (DS0-100) at the end of trials 1-4. Standard errors and the efficacy, compared with the untreated control (E%), are reported.

Treatments		DS 0-100														
		Trial 1			Trial 2				Trial 3				Trial 4			
Untreated control	30.0	±5.6	b ^a	E‰ ^b	46.7	±5.0	b	E%	40.0	±7.2	c	E%	47.9	±2.2	c	E %
Pseudomonas sp. PB26	25.0	±3.7	b	17	25.0	±2.6	ab	47	25.5	±3.6	ab	36	30.2	±3.2	ab	37
Fusarium solani FUS25	23.3	± 5.5	b	22	26.7	±3.1	ab	43	36.7	±4.3	bc	8	29.2	±3.9	ab	39
Trichoderma sp. TW2	20.0	±2.0	ab	33	21.7	±3.5	ab	54	35.0	±4.1	b	12	35.4	±4.4	bc	26
Pseudomonas sp. PB26+ F. solani FUS25+Trichoderma sp. TW2	30.0	±7.3	b	0	25.0	±3.7	ab	47	21.7	±5.7	ab	46	31.8	±4.4	a- c	34
Pseudomonas (FC7,8,9)	18.4	±1.7	ab	39	25.0	± 2.5	ab	46	38.3	±7.3	с	4	30.4	±2.5	ab	37
Trichoderma asperellum + T,gamsii	18.3	±4.9	ab	39	26.7	±3.2	ab	43	28.3	±2.0	ab	29	25.5	± 4.1	ab	47
K-phoshite (Alexine at 2.5g/l)	1.7	±1.7	а	94	8.3	±1.6	а	82	13.8	± 1.8	a	65	18.3	±2.3	а	62

^a Means in the same column, followed by the same letter, do not differ according to Tukey's Test (P <0.05)

^bE%: percentage of disease reduction, compared to the untreated control, at the end of the trial.

Table 4.

Effect of the K-phospite, dosage, type of application, number of treatments and their interaction on the disease severity average (DS) for trails under low (trials 5-7), average (trials 8-10) and high (trials 11-13) disease pressure according to the analysis of variance.

Considered factors and their interaction	at P < 0.05			
Trials 5-7	0.456			
Dosage (1.25 and 2.5 g/l)	< 0.0001			
Type of application (pot or NS)	0.353			
Number of treatment (1, 3 and 6)	0.002			
$Dosage \times Number \times type of application$	< 0.0001			
Trials 8-10	0.338			
K-Phosphite dosage (1.25 and 2.5 g/l)	< 0.0001			
Type of application (pot or NS)	0.227			
Number of treatment (1, 3 and 6)	< 0.0001			
$Dosage \times Number \times type of application$	< 0.0001			
Trials 11-13	0.574			
K-Phosphite dosage (1,25 and 2,5 g/l)	< 0.0001			
Type of application (pot or NS)	0.181			
Number of treatment (1, 3 and 6)	< 0.0001			
$Dosage \times Number \times type of application$	< 0.0001			

Table 5.

Effect of the dosage (1.125 and 2.5 g/l), type (pot and nutrient solution NS) and numbers of applications (1, 3 and 6) of K-phosphite against *Phytophthora capsici* on zucchini (cv. Genovese). Data shown are mean of three trials each carried out under different disease pressure.

Treatments and dosage (g/l)	Type of	Number of	DS 0-100											
	Application	applications and intervals	Trials 5-7			Trials 8-10				Trials 11-13				
Untreated	_	between (days)	20.3	±2.4	b ^a	<i>E%</i> *	40.1	±3.6	с	<i>E%</i>			<i>E%</i>	
	-	-		±2 .4	U				C		59.1	±5.3 b	L /0	
K phosphite, 1.125	Pot	1	12.9	±1.6	ab	37	32.2	±3.7	bc	20	33.9	±5.2 ab	43	
K phosphite, 1.125	Pot	6× 5 d.	8.1	± 1.9	а	60	20.9	± 3.2	ab	4 8	29.5	±4.7 a	50	
K phosphite, 2.5	Nutrient solution	1	13.3	± 2.9	ab	35	22.9	± 5.0	ab	<i>43</i>	32.1	±5.3 ab	46	
K phosphite, 2.5	Pot	1	12.2	± 2.4	ab	40	20.3	±0.5	ab	<i>49</i>	35.8	±5.3 ab	39	
K phosphite, 2.5	Nutrient solution	3 ×5 d.	10.0	± 2.0	a	51	10.4	±3.1	a	74	24.0	±5.1 a	59	
K phosphite, 2.5	Pot	3 ×5 d.	5.8	± 1.5	а	72	8.2	± 2.0	а	80	28.0	±6.1 a	53	
K phosphite, 2.5	Nutrient solution	6 ×5 d.	6.0	±2.5	a	71	22.7	±4.7	ab	<i>43</i>	21.0	±6.3 a	64	
K phosphite, 2.5	Pot	6 ×5 d.	5.0	±1.4	a	75	8.5	±1.6	a	79	28.1	±6.5 a	53	

^a Means in the same column, followed by the same letter, do not differ according to Tukey's Test (P <0.05)

^bE%: percentage of disease reduction, compared to the untreated control, at the end of the trial.

Fig 1.

Effect of the numbers of applications (1, 3 or 6) of K-phosphite against *Phytophthora capsici* on zucchini (cv. Genovese) under different disease severity pressures in the three set of trials. The data are expressed as the mean values of disease severity in trials 5-7, 8-10 and 11-13.

