

Study of the calibration method using the stars measured by the EUSO-TA telescope

**Z. Plebaniak,^{a,b,*} M. Przybylak,^c D. Barghini,^{a,b} M. Bertaina,^{a,b} F. Bisconti,^{a,b}
M. Casolino,^{d,e,f} D. Gardiol,^{b,g} R. Lipiec,^h L. W. Piotrowski,ⁱ K. Shinozaki^c and
J. Szabelski^c on behalf of the JEM-EUSO Collaboration**
(a complete list of authors can be found at the end of the proceedings)

^a*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Torino, Italy*

^b*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare - Sezione di Torino, Italy*

^c*National Centre for Nuclear Research, Łódź, Poland*

^d*RIKEN, Wako, Japan*

^e*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare - Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata, Italy*

^f*Università di Roma Tor Vergata - Dipartimento di Fisica, Roma, Italy*

^g*Osservatorio Astrofisico di Torino, Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica, Italy*

^h*Łódź University of Technology, Łódź, Poland*

ⁱ*Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Poland*

E-mail: zp@zpk.u.lodz.pl, mp@zpk.u.lodz.pl

EUSO-TA is a ground-based experiment, placed at Black Rock Mesa of the Telescope Array site as a part of the JEM-EUSO (Joint Experiment Missions for the Extreme Universe Space Observatory) program. The UV fluorescence imaging telescope with a field of view of about $10.6^\circ \times 10.6^\circ$ consisting of 2304 pixels (36 Multi-Anode Photomultipliers, 64 pixels each) works with 2.5-microsecond time resolution. An experimental setup with two Fresnel lenses allows for measurements of Ultra High Energy Cosmic Rays in parallel with the TA experiment as well as the other sources like flashes of lightning, artificial signals from UV calibration lasers, meteors and stars. Stars increase counts on pixels while crossing the field of view as the point-like sources. In this work, we discuss the method for calibration of EUSO fluorescence detectors based on signals from stars registered by the EUSO-TA experiment during several campaigns. As the star position is known, the analysis of signals gives an opportunity to determine the pointing accuracy of the detector. This can be applied to space-borne or balloon-borne EUSO missions. We describe in details the method of the analysis which provides information about detector parameters like the shape of the point spread function and is the way to perform absolute calibration of EUSO cameras.

*37th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC 2021)
July 12th – 23rd, 2021
Online – Berlin, Germany*

*Presenter

1. Introduction

EUSO-TA which is a part of the JEM-EUSO (Joint Experiment Missions - Extreme Universe Space Observatory) scientific program is a ground-based experiment located in Black Rock Mesa, the Telescope Array [1] (TA) experiment site. Experiment is designed to observe an Ultra High Energy Cosmic Rays (UHECR) simultaneously with TA. The detector is based on one Photo Detection Module (PDM) - the main unit of all EUSO experiments. PDM consists of an array of 3x3 Elementary Cells (ECs), each EC consists of 2x2 Hamamatsu R11265-M64 Multi-Anode Photomultipliers (MAPMT). The total number of pixels on focal surface is 2304. Each EC has separate High Voltage Power Supply system [2]. Readout system is based on SPACIROC-1 ASIC [3]. Applied optical system consist of two PMMA Fresnel lenses [4] with effective area $\sim 0.92 \text{ m}^2$ allows for observations of the night sky in wide, 10.6° field of view.




Figure 1: An example of visualization of the EUSO-TA ray-tracing simulation performed in the OffLine framework (top) [5] and PSF spot diagrams for incident angles between 0° and 8° (bottom) [4]

Since installation in 2013 several measurement sessions with TA have been conducted. During measurements artificial and non artificial sources of UV signal including UHECR, meteors, stars and calibration lasers have been observed. More detailed description of these observations and of the detector configuration can be found in [6]. Analysis of the taken data and its comparison with TA gives us great possibility to test and improve EUSO technology - what is one of the main objectives of the EUSO-TA experiment.

Absolute calibration of EUSO detectors is an important step necessary to understand registered signal. EUSO-TA fluorescence detector is sensitive to the UV light from stars. Photometry of stars is a standard method commonly used for calibration of optical telescopes. In this work we present

procedure and results of absolute calibration of EUSO-TA detector based on observed UV signals from stars.

2. The EUSO-TA detector

The EUSO-TA fluorescence detector is located in front of TA-FD station at Black Rock Mesa site (1400m a.s.l.). Elevation of the optical axis can be set manually in the range between 0 and 30 degrees with respect to the horizon. Focal surface containing the matrix of 48x48 pixels consists of the 64-channel MAPMTs with $\sim 75\%$ collection efficiency and quantum efficiency with maximum reaching 35% at ~ 350 nm. Single pixels are squares with a size of 2.88 mm corresponding to $0.2^\circ \times 0.2^\circ$ field of view. The nominal wavelength range for UHECR observations is 290 - 400 nm however signals from stars can be observed up to 600 nm due to transmittance of applied BG3 filter. The photon collection efficiency of the EUSO-TA taking into account the surface reflection, PMMA absorption and other loss factors indicates wavelength-dependence with average value $\sim 40\%$ for 0° of the incident angle [4]. Measured PSF for 0° gives around 80% of signal from point-like source in 3x3 pixels. This value is decreasing with the distance from optical axis.




Figure 2: Known parameters of EUSO-TA detector. Blue, red and green lines represents wavelength-dependent parameters of EUSO-TA detector while violet line is related to total apparatus effect including PMT collection efficiency and ASIC inefficiency due to pileup depends on light intensity.

SPACIROC1 front-end ASIC allows for work in single photon counting mode with 30ns of double pulse resolution. Counts are sampled in $2.5 \mu\text{s}$ gate time units (GTUs) with $0.2 \mu\text{s}$ dead time.

Relation between registered PE_R and generated PE_G number of photo-electrons can be ex-

pressed in the following way [7]:

$$PE_R = PE_G \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{PE_G \cdot \tau}{T_{acq}}\right) \quad (1)$$

where:

τ - double pulse resolution

T_{acq} - acquisition time of single frame (GTU - dead time)

EUSO-TA detector can work with external trigger or can be self-triggered with 1Hz trigger rate. While receiving trigger, 128 GTU frames (one packet) is saved, i.e. 64 frames before trigger, and 64 after. An average trigger rate for work with TA is around 2Hz so stars are in almost the same position on focal surface between neighbouring packets.

3. Atmospheric attenuation and predicted star signal

To perform absolute calibration we need to know the parameters of the signal reaching EUSO detector. In case of star, intensity of the signal depends on its spectrum and path in the atmosphere due to the Rayleigh and Mie scattering as well as the ozone absorption. For calculations we used the Pickles stellar spectral flux library [8]. Spectra in the database are related to specific spectral types of stars therefore must be re-normalized for each case during analysis. Atmospheric transmittance has been calculated using libRadTran package [9] applying atmospheric parameters corresponding to the desert conditions where EUSO-TA detector is placed. An accurate representation of atmospheric conditions during measurements is difficult task due to variability of aerosols. For calculations we assumed average values of visibility.




Figure 3: Comparison of photons spectrum for HIP102589 star with related Planck distribution at the top of the atmosphere (left) and atmospheric transmittance for observations at 10° and 20° of elevation, calculated with libRadTran.

Expected signal N_{exp} for fixed observation angle can be simply described by following formula:

$$N_{exp} = C_{abs} \int_0^{\infty} T_{atm}(\lambda) \Phi_{top}(\lambda) P_{det}(\lambda) d\lambda \quad (2)$$

where:

$T_{atm}(\lambda)$ - atmospheric transmittance

$\Phi_{top}(\lambda)$ - star light flux at the top of the atmosphere

$P_{det}(\lambda)$ - known detector parameters

C_{abs} - wavelength independent absolute calibration constant

4. The data analysis

Data analysis has been performed using dedicated GUI browser prepared in ROOT framework [10]. The main panel is presented in Fig. 4. We work on counts summarized over the packets. Because of different response of individual pixels in the first step we use the equalization algorithm [11]. This allows to fit the tracks of stars with $M_B < 5.5^m$. Pixels excluded by the algorithm due to malfunctioning or nonlinear response are marked as a black squares. To determine stars in field of view we use the HIPPARCOS catalogue [12]. Positions of few stars are displayed in canvas (1). Then, the light-curve representing the counts in entire PMT (2) is analyzed to find the range for fitting the track (3). In the next step we analyze the lighcurve for 3x3 pixels area around the pixel which star is going through (4). In this way we can determine background and signal region and extract the information about number of counts and its discrepancy. All the process is manual and user have to take the decision if accuracy of the signal is good enough to classify it as an useful result or not. This allow e.g. to exclude signals registered together with planes or lightnings in field of view.




Figure 4: The main panel of ROOT-based software dedicated for analysis of signals from stars in EUSO-TA data.

4.1 Results

Following the procedure described above we analyzed signals from about 100 stars in the data taken by EUSO-TA in the years 2015 and 2016. Some stars had to be removed from analysis because of their variability or being in the binary systems. At the end, we get the list of several stars which can be treated as a point-like calibration sources for EUSO detectors. Taking into account all of them, we determined the calibration constant in Eq. 2 as $C_{abs} = 0.86$. Table 1 contains the comparison of calculated expected and measured signal for several stars with different spectral types. In the Fig. 5 we present an example of the comparison of predicted and measured number of photo-electrons for three stars in wide range of elevation angle. An agreement at various angles confirms that atmospheric extinction model has been used correctly. Assuming that obtained calibration constant should be taken into account as a detector parameter, we calculated the total detector efficiency for registration of point-like signal in 3x3 pixels area as 3.48, 5.81 and 5.60% for 300, 365 and 400 nm respectively.

Table 1: Results comparison for several measured stars with various spectral types.

HIP	M_B	Spectral Type	Angle	Measured N_{ph}/GTU	Expected N_{ph}/GTU
102488	2.44	K0III	12.31	5.93 ± 0.99	6.06
102589	4.54	B5V	14.73	2.96 ± 0.85	3.21
100453	2.23	F8I	14.55	11.40 ± 1.58	11.89
50801	3.05	M0III	23.00	2.91 ± 0.57	3.13
93194	3.25	B9III	11.71	8.20 ± 1.40	7.97
81833	3.50	G7III	15.37	2.82 ± 0.46	2.52
93903	5.25	B6IV	15.93	1.57 ± 0.37	1.67
109410	4.29	F5III	13.51	2.26 ± 0.63	2.42
76041	4.98	A2V	14.79	2.15 ± 0.70	1.82
4436	3.87	A6V	16.33	5.02 ± 0.84	4.86

5. Conclusions

Based on EUSO-TA data we have developed the procedure dedicated for data analysis of point-like sources, resulting absolute calibration of EUSO detector. In the paper results of analysis of signals generated by stars have been presented. For this case, we applied the model of atmospheric transmission to calculate expected signal and determine calibration constant of EUSO-TA detector. The same procedure can be used for calibration of others EUSO detectors during on-ground tests as well as during measurements from stratospheric balloons or in space. Scanning of the focal surface by signals induced by stars gives also an opportunity to monitor the conditions of individual pixels and optical system during observations of ultra high energy cosmic rays.




Figure 5: Comparison of measured and expected signals for three selected stars obtained in wide range of elevations angles. All lines representing expected signal have been calculated using the same absolute calibration constant C_{abs}

Acknowledgments: This work was partially supported by Basic Science Interdisciplinary Research Projects of RIKEN and JSPS KAKENHI Grant (JP17H02905, JP16H02426 and JP16H16737), by the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation, by the Italian Space Agency through the ASI INFN agreement n. 2017-8-H.0 and contracts n. 2016-1-U.0 and n. 2021-8-HH.0, by ASI INAF agreement n.2017-14-H.O, by NASA award 11-APRA-0058 in the USA, by the Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt, by the French space agency CNES, the Helmholtz Alliance for Astroparticle Physics funded by the Initiative and Networking Fund of the Helmholtz Association (Germany), by Slovak Academy of Sciences MVTs JEMEUSO as well as VEGA grant agency project 2/0132/17, by National Science Centre in Poland grant no 2017/27/B/ST9/02162, by Mexican funding agencies PAPIIT-UNAM, CONACyT and the Mexican Space Agency (AEM). Russian team is supported by ROSCOSMOS, "KLYPVE" is included into the Long-term program of Experiments on board the Russian Segment of the ISS. Sweden is funded by the Olle Engkvist Byggnästare Foundation.

References

- [1] Y. Tameda. Telescope array experiment. *Nuclear Physics B - Proceedings Supplements*, 196:74 – 79, 2009. Proceedings of the XV International Symposium on Very High Energy Cosmic Ray Interactions (ISVHECRI 2008).
- [2] Zbigniew Plebaniak, Jacek Karczmarczyk, Włodzimierz Marszał, Jacek Szabelski, Philippe Gorodetzky, Pierre Prat, and Guillaume Prévôt. HVPS system for * – EUSO detectors. *PoS, ICRC2017:378*, 2018.
- [3] Salleh Ahmad, Pierre Barrillon, Sylvie Blin-Bondil, Sylvie Dagoret-Campagne, Christophe Dela Taille, Frederic Dulucq, Gisele Martin-Chassard, Yoshiya Kawasaki, Hiroko Miyamoto, Hirokazu Ikeda, Tatsunari Iguchi, and Fumiyoshi Kajino. Spaciroc: A front-end readout asic for jem-euso cosmic ray observatory. *Physics Procedia*, 37:1600–1607, 2012. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Technology and Instrumentation in Particle Physics (TIPP 2011).
- [4] Yoshiyuki Takizawa, Alessandro Zuccaro Marchi, and Toshikazu Ebisuzaki. The TA-EUSO and EUSO-Balloon Optics Designs. In *33rd International Cosmic Ray Conference*, 2013.
- [5] Thomas C. Paul, M. E. Bertaina, F. Bisconti, J. Eser, G. Figueiredo, M. Fouka, F. Guarino, L. Del Peral, and Z. Sahnoun. New simulation and reconstruction software for the EUSO pathfinders, with example applications. *PoS, ICRC2017:464*, 2018.
- [6] G. Abdellaoui et al. EUSO-TA – First results from a ground-based EUSO telescope. *Astropart. Phys.*, 102:98–111, 2018.
- [7] G. Abdellaoui et al. Ultra-violet imaging of the night-time earth by EUSO-Balloon towards space-based ultra-high energy cosmic ray observations. *Astropart. Phys.*, 111:54–71, 2019.
- [8] A. J. Pickles. A Stellar Spectral Flux Library: 1150-25000 angstrom. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.*, 110:863–878, 1998.
- [9] C. Emde, R. Buras-Schnell, A. Kylling, B. Mayer, J. Gasteiger, U. Hamann, J. Kylling, B. Richter, C. Pause, T. Dowling, and L. Bugliaro. The libradtran software package for radiative transfer calculations (version 2.0.1). *Geoscientific Model Development*, 9(5):1647–1672, 2016.
- [10] R. Brun and F. Rademakers. ROOT: An object oriented data analysis framework. *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A*, 389:81–86, 1997.
- [11] Zbigniew Plebaniak, J. Szabelski, M. Przybylak, L. W Piotrowski, A. Djakonow, and K. Krlik. Calibration of the EUSO-TA detector with stars. *PoS, ICRC2019:393*, 2020.
- [12] M. A. C. Perryman et al. The Hipparcos catalogue. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 323:L49–L52, 1997.

Full Authors list: The JEM-EUSO Collaboration

G. Abdellaoui^{ah}, S. Abef^q, J.H. Adams Jr.^{pd}, D. Allard^{cb}, G. Alonso^{md}, L. Anchordoqui^{pe}, A. Anzalone^{eh,ed}, E. Arnone^{ek,el}, K. Asano^{fe}, R. Attallah^{ac}, H. Attoui^{aa}, M. Ave Pernas^{mc}, M. Bagheri^{ph}, J. Baláz^{la}, M. Bakiri^{aa}, D. Barghini^{el,ek}, S. Bartocci^{ei,ej}, M. Battisti^{ek,el}, J. Bayer^{dd}, B. Beldjilali^{ah}, T. Belenguer^{mb}, N. Belkhalifa^{aa}, R. Bellotti^{ea,eb}, A.A. Belov^{kb}, K. Benmessai^{aa}, M. Bertaina^{ek,el}, P.F. Bertone^{pf}, P.L. Biermann^{db}, F. Bisconti^{el,ek}, C. Blaksley^{ft}, N. Blanc^{oa}, S. Blin-Bondil^{ca,cb}, P. Bobik^{la}, M. Bogomilov^{ba}, K. Bolmgren^{na}, E. Bozzo^{ob}, S. Briz^{pb}, A. Bruno^{eh,ed}, K.S. Caballero^{hd}, F. Cafagna^{ea}, G. Cambi^{ei,ej}, D. Campana^{ef}, J-N. Capdevielle^{cb}, F. Capel^{de}, A. Caramete^{ja}, L. Caramete^{ja}, P. Carlson^{na}, R. Caruso^{ec,ed}, M. Casolino^{ft,ei}, C. Cassardo^{ek,el}, A. Castellina^{ek,em}, O. Catalano^{eh,ed}, A. Cellino^{ek,em}, K. Černý^{bb}, M. Chikawa^{fc}, G. Chiritoi^{ja}, M.J. Christl^{pf}, R. Colalillo^{ef,eg}, L. Conti^{en,ei}, G. Cotto^{ek,el}, H.J. Crawford^{pa}, R. Cremonini^{el}, A. Creusot^{cb}, A. de Castro González^{pb}, C. de la Taille^{ca}, L. del Peral^{mc}, A. Diaz Damian^{cc}, R. Diesing^{pb}, P. Dinaucourt^{ca}, A. Djakonow^{ia}, T. Djemil^{ac}, A. Ebersoldt^{db}, T. Ebisuzaki^{ft}, J. Eser^{pb}, F. Fenu^{ek,el}, S. Fernández-González^{ma}, S. Ferrarese^{ek,el}, G. Filippatos^{pc}, W.I. Finch^{pc}, C. Fornaro^{en,ei}, M. Fouka^{ab}, A. Franceschi^{ee}, S. Franchini^{md}, C. Fuglesang^{na}, T. Fujii^{fg}, M. Fukushima^{fe}, P. Galeotti^{ek,el}, E. García-Ortega^{ma}, D. Gardiol^{ek,em}, G.K. Garipov^{kb}, E. Gascón^{ma}, E. Gazda^{ph}, J. Genci^{lb}, A. Golzio^{ek,el}, C. González Alvarado^{mb}, P. Gorodetzky^{ft}, A. Green^{pc}, F. Guarino^{ef,eg}, C. Guépin^{pl}, A. Guzmán^{dd}, Y. Hachisu^{ft}, A. Haungs^{db}, J. Hernández Carretero^{mc}, L. Hulett^{pc}, D. Ikeda^{fe}, N. Inoue^{fn}, S. Inoue^{ft}, F. Isgrò^{ef,eg}, Y. Itow^{fk}, T. Jammer^{dc}, S. Jeong^{gb}, E. Joven^{me}, E.G. Judd^{pa}, J. Jochum^{dc}, F. Kajino^{ff}, T. Kajino^{fi}, S. Kalli^{af}, I. Kaneko^{ft}, Y. Karadzhov^{ba}, M. Kasztelan^{ia}, K. Katahira^{ft}, K. Kawai^{ft}, Y. Kawasaki^{ft}, A. Kedadra^{aa}, H. Khales^{aa}, B.A. Khrenov^{kb}, Jeong-Sook Kim^{ga}, Soon-Wook Kim^{ga}, M. Kleifges^{db}, P.A. Klimov^{kb}, D. Kolev^{ba}, I. Kreykenbohm^{da}, J.F. Krizmanic^{pf,pk}, K. Królik^{ia}, V. Kungel^{pc}, Y. Kurihara^{fs}, A. Kusenko^{fr,pe}, E. Kuznetsov^{pd}, H. Lahmar^{aa}, F. Lakhdari^{ag}, J. Licandro^{me}, L. López Campano^{ma}, F. López Martínez^{pb}, S. Mackovjak^{la}, M. Mahdi^{aa}, D. Mandát^{bc}, M. Manfrin^{ek,el}, L. Marcelli^{ei}, J.L. Marcos^{ma}, W. Marszał^{ia}, Y. Martín^{me}, O. Martínez^{hc}, K. Mase^{fa}, R. Matev^{ba}, J.N. Matthews^{pg}, N. Mebarki^{ad}, G. Medina-Tanco^{ha}, A. Menshikov^{db}, A. Merino^{ma}, M. Mese^{ef,eg}, J. Meseguer^{md}, S.S. Meyer^{pb}, J. Mimouni^{ad}, H. Miyamoto^{ek,el}, Y. Mizumoto^{fi}, A. Monaco^{ea,eb}, J.A. Morales de los Ríos^{mc}, M. Mastafa^{pd}, S. Nagataki^{ft}, S. Naitamor^{ab}, T. Napolitano^{ee}, J. M. Nachtman^{pi}, A. Neronov^{ob,cb}, K. Nomoto^{fr}, T. Nonaka^{fe}, T. Ogawa^{ft}, S. Ogio^{fl}, H. Ohmori^{ft}, A.V. Olinto^{pb}, Y. Onel^{pi}, G. Osteria^{ef}, A.N. Otte^{ph}, A. Pagliaro^{eh,ed}, W. Painter^{db}, M.I. Panasyuk^{kb}, B. Panico^{ef}, E. Parizot^{cb}, I.H. Park^{gb}, B. Pastircak^{la}, T. Paul^{pe}, M. Pech^{bb}, I. Pérez-Grande^{md}, F. Perfetto^{ef}, T. Peter^{oc}, P. Picozza^{ei,ej,ft}, S. Pindado^{md}, L.W. Piotrowski^{ib}, S. Piraino^{dd}, Z. Plebaniak^{ek,el,ia}, A. Pollini^{oa}, E.M. Popescu^{ja}, R. Prevete^{ef,eg}, G. Prévôt^{cb}, H. Prieto^{mc}, M. Przybylak^{ia}, G. Puehlhofer^{dd}, M. Putis^{la}, P. Reardon^{pd}, M.H. Reno^{pi}, M. Reyes^{me}, M. Ricci^{ee}, M.D. Rodríguez Frías^{mc}, O.F. Romero Matamala^{ph}, F. Ronga^{ee}, M.D. Sabau^{mb}, G. Saccá^{ec,ed}, G. Sáez Cano^{mc}, H. Sagawa^{fe}, Z. Sahnoune^{ab}, A. Saito^{fg}, N. Sakaki^{ft}, H. Salazar^{hc}, J.C. Sanchez Balanzar^{ha}, J.L. Sánchez^{ma}, A. Santangelo^{dd}, A. Sanz-Andrés^{md}, M. Sanz Palomino^{mb}, O.A. Saprykin^{kc}, F. Sarazin^{pc}, M. Sato^{fo}, A. Scagliola^{ea,eb}, T. Schanz^{dd}, H. Schieler^{db}, P. Schovánek^{bc}, V. Scotti^{ef,eg}, M. Serra^{me}, S.A. Sharakin^{kb}, H.M. Shimizu^{fj}, K. Shinozaki^{ia}, J.F. Soriano^{pe}, A. Sotgiu^{ei,ej}, I. Stan^{ja}, I. Strharský^{la}, N. Sugiyama^{fj}, D. Supanitsky^{ha}, M. Suzuki^{fm}, J. Szabelski^{ia}, N. Tajima^{ft}, T. Tajima^{ft}, Y. Takahashi^{fo}, M. Takeda^{fe}, Y. Takizawa^{ft}, M.C. Talai^{ac}, Y. Tameda^{fp}, C. Tenzer^{dd}, S.B. Thomas^{pg}, O. Tibolla^{he}, L.G. Tkachev^{ka}, T. Tomida^{fh}, N. Tone^{ft}, S. Toscano^{ob}, M. Traïche^{aa}, Y. Tsunesada^{fl}, K. Tsuno^{ft}, S. Turriziani^{ft}, Y. Uchihori^{fb}, O. Vaduvescu^{me}, J.F. Valdés-Galicia^{ha}, P. Vallania^{ek,em}, L. Valore^{ef,eg}, G. Vankova-

Kirilova^{ba}, T. M. Venters^{pj}, C. Vigorito^{ek,el}, L. Villaseñor^{hb}, B. Vlcek^{mc}, P. von Ballmoos^{cc}, M. Vrabel^{lb}, S. Wada^{ft}, J. Watanabe^{fi}, J. Watts Jr.^{pd}, R. Weigand Muñoz^{ma}, A. Weindl^{db}, L. Wiencke^{pc}, M. Wille^{da}, J. Wilms^{da}, D. Winn^{pm}, T. Yamamoto^{ff}, J. Yang^{gb}, H. Yano^{fm}, I.V. Yashin^{kb}, D. Yonetoku^{fd}, S. Yoshida^{fa}, R. Young^{pf}, I.S Zgura^{ja}, M.Yu. Zotov^{kb}, A. Zuccaro Marchi^{ft}

^{aa} Centre for Development of Advanced Technologies (CDTA), Algiers, Algeria

^{ab} Dep. Astronomy, Centre Res. Astronomy, Astrophysics and Geophysics (CRAAG), Algiers, Algeria

^{ac} LPR at Dept. of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, University Badji Mokhtar, Annaba, Algeria

^{ad} Lab. of Math. and Sub-Atomic Phys. (LPMP), Univ. Constantine I, Constantine, Algeria

^{af} Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, University of M'sila, M'sila, Algeria

^{ag} Research Unit on Optics and Photonics, UROP-CDTA, Sétif, Algeria

^{ah} Telecom Lab., Faculty of Technology, University Abou Bekr Belkaid, Tlemcen, Algeria

^{ba} St. Kliment Ohridski University of Sofia, Bulgaria

^{bb} Joint Laboratory of Optics, Faculty of Science, Palacký University, Olomouc, Czech Republic

^{bc} Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic

^{ca} Omega, Ecole Polytechnique, CNRS/IN2P3, Palaiseau, France

^{cb} Université de Paris, CNRS, AstroParticule et Cosmologie, F-75013 Paris, France

^{cc} IRAP, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse, France

^{da} ECAP, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany

^{db} Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Germany

^{dc} Experimental Physics Institute, Kepler Center, University of Tübingen, Germany

^{dd} Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Kepler Center, University of Tübingen, Germany

^{de} Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany

^{ea} Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare - Sezione di Bari, Italy

^{eb} Università degli Studi di Bari Aldo Moro and INFN - Sezione di Bari, Italy

^{ec} Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia "Ettore Majorana", Università di Catania, Italy

^{ed} Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare - Sezione di Catania, Italy

^{ee} Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare - Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Italy

^{ef} Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare - Sezione di Napoli, Italy

^{eg} Università di Napoli Federico II - Dipartimento di Fisica "Ettore Pancini", Italy

^{eh} INAF - Istituto di Astrofisica Spaziale e Fisica Cosmica di Palermo, Italy

^{ei} Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare - Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata, Italy

^{ej} Università di Roma Tor Vergata - Dipartimento di Fisica, Roma, Italy

^{ek} Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare - Sezione di Torino, Italy

^{el} Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Torino, Italy

^{em} Osservatorio Astrofisico di Torino, Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica, Italy

^{en} Uninettuno University, Rome, Italy

^{fa} Chiba University, Chiba, Japan

^{fb} National Institutes for Quantum and Radiological Science and Technology (QST), Chiba, Japan

^{fc} Kindai University, Higashi-Osaka, Japan

^{fd} Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Japan

^{fe} Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Japan

^{ff} Konan University, Kobe, Japan

- fg* Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan
fh Shinshu University, Nagano, Japan
fi National Astronomical Observatory, Mitaka, Japan
fj Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan
fk Institute for Space-Earth Environmental Research, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan
fl Graduate School of Science, Osaka City University, Japan
fm Institute of Space and Astronautical Science/JAXA, Sagamihara, Japan
fn Saitama University, Saitama, Japan
fo Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan
fp Osaka Electro-Communication University, Neyagawa, Japan
fq Nihon University Chiyoda, Tokyo, Japan
fr University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
fs High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba, Japan
ft RIKEN, Wako, Japan
ga Korea Astronomy and Space Science Institute (KASI), Daejeon, Republic of Korea
gb Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul, Republic of Korea
ha Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Mexico
hb Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolas de Hidalgo (UMSNH), Morelia, Mexico
hc Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla (BUAP), Mexico
hd Universidad Autónoma de Chiapas (UNACH), Chiapas, Mexico
he Centro Mesoamericano de Física Teórica (MCTP), Mexico
ia National Centre for Nuclear Research, Lodz, Poland
ib Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Poland
ja Institute of Space Science ISS, Magurele, Romania
ka Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
kb Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Russia
kc Space Regatta Consortium, Korolev, Russia
la Institute of Experimental Physics, Kosice, Slovakia
lb Technical University Kosice (TUKE), Kosice, Slovakia
ma Universidad de León (ULE), León, Spain
mb Instituto Nacional de Técnica Aeroespacial (INTA), Madrid, Spain
mc Universidad de Alcalá (UAH), Madrid, Spain
md Universidad Politécnica de Madrid (UPM), Madrid, Spain
me Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias (IAC), Tenerife, Spain
na KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden
oa Swiss Center for Electronics and Microtechnology (CSEM), Neuchâtel, Switzerland
ob ISDC Data Centre for Astrophysics, Versoix, Switzerland
oc Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science, ETH Zürich, Switzerland
pa Space Science Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA
pb University of Chicago, IL, USA
pc Colorado School of Mines, Golden, CO, USA
pd University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, AL; USA
pe Lehman College, City University of New York (CUNY), NY, USA

pf NASA Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL, USA

pg University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

ph Georgia Institute of Technology, USA

pi University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA

pj NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA

pk Center for Space Science & Technology, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD, USA

pl Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

pm Fairfield University, Fairfield, CT, USA