

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

High precision Monte Carlo simulations of interfaces in the three-dimensional Ising model: A comparison with the Nambu-Goto effective string model

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/3976 since 2023-06-07T19:07:07Z

Publisher:

Società italiana di fisica

Published version:

DOI:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/03/084

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Published by Institute of Physics Publishing for SISSA

Received: January 27, 2006 Accepted: March 14, 2006 PUBLISHED: March 28, 2006

High precision Monte Carlo simulations of interfaces in the three-dimensional Ising model: a comparison with the Nambu-Goto effective string model

Michele Caselle

Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica dell'Università di Torino and I.N.F.N. Via Pietro Giuria 1, I-10125 Torino, Italy E-mail: caselle@to.infn.it

Martin Hasenbusch

Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Pisa and I.N.F.N. Largo Bruno Pontecorvo 3, I-56127 Pisa, Italy E-mail: Martin.Hasenbusch@df.unipi.it

Marco Panero

School of Theoretical Physics, Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies 10 Burlington Road, Dublin 4, Ireland E-mail: panero@stp.dias.ie

Abstract: Motivated by the recent progress in the effective string description of the interquark potential in lattice gauge theory, we study interfaces with periodic boundary conditions in the three-dimensional Ising model. Our Monte Carlo results for the associated free energy are compared with the next-to-leading order (NLO) approximation of the Nambu-Goto string model. We find clear evidence for the validity of the effective string model at the level of the NLO truncation.

KEYWORDS: [Confinement, Lattice Gauge Field Theories](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch).

Contents

1. Introduction

Recently, the effective string description of the interquark potential in lattice gauge theories (LGT) has attracted a renewed interest $[1-18]$ $[1-18]$: the increased computational power and improved algorithm efficiency $[19-21]$ $[19-21]$ $[19-21]$ have allowed to perform stringent numerical tests of the model predictions, while a better understanding of the theoretical aspects was achieved $[22-26]$ $[22-26]$ $[22-26]$. One of the plenary talks at the XXIII International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory held in Trinity College, Dublin, in July 2005 was devoted to the topic [[27](#page-15-0)].

Many of the mentioned studies are focused on the behaviour of the two-point Polyakov loop correlation function: the numerical results for the free energy associated with a pair of static external sources in a pure gauge theory were compared with predictions from effective string models, as a function of the interquark distance r and of the inverse temperature L .

One of the simplest effective string theories is based on the action originally formulated by Nambu and Goto [[28, 29\]](#page-15-0): it is a purely bosonic model, which, despite the difficulties related to anomaly and non-renormalisability, has a straightforward geometric interpretation, and for which the leading order (LO) and next-to-leading order (NLO) terms in an expansion around the classical, long-string configuration agree with the effective model proposed by Polchinski and Strominger [\[25](#page-15-0)], as it was pointed out in [[23](#page-14-0), [11](#page-14-0)]. Furthermore, the Nambu-Goto action also appears (together with other terms) in the derivation of an effective description for QCD [\[30](#page-15-0), [31\]](#page-15-0).

In this paper, as a further step in this direction, we compare the Nambu-Goto model with a set of high precision results on the interface free energy of the Ising spin model in three dimensions with periodic boundary conditions in the directions parallel to the interface. As it will be discussed in detail in section 2, an interface can be forced into the Ising spin system by introducing a seam of antiferromagnetic bonds through a whole cross-section of the system. Via duality, Wilson loops and Polyakov loop correlators in the Z ² gauge theory are related with interfaces in the Ising spin model: Wilson loops are mapped into interfaces with fixed boundary conditions in both directions, while Polyakov loop correlators are mapped into interfaces with periodic boundary conditions in one direction and fixed boundary conditions in the other direction. Hence, the present study is complementary to our previous work on the Polyakov-loop correlator; the periodic boundary conditions in both directions allow us to disentangle the pure string contributions from other effects, possibly (directly or indirectly) induced by fixed boundaries.

Besides these reasons of interest, which are primarily motivated by the study of confinement in lattice gauge theories, fluid interfaces are also very interesting because they have several experimental realizations, ranging from binary mixtures to amphiphilic membranes (for a review see for instance [[32](#page-15-0), [33](#page-15-0)]). Moreover the Nambu-Goto model (which is based on the assumption that the action of a given string configuration is proportional to the area of the surface spanned by the string during its time-like evolution) is closely related [\[33\]](#page-15-0) to the so-called capillary wave model [\[34](#page-15-0)], which was proposed several decades ago (actually before the Nambu-Goto action), as a tool to describe interfaces in three-dimensional statistical physics systems.

Finally, it is interesting to remark that interfaces in spin models are also naturally connected to maximal 't Hooft loops in lattice gauge theory — see, for instance, [[35](#page-15-0), [36\]](#page-15-0).

The problem of the interface with periodic boundary conditions has been studied in a number of articles, particularly in the early Nineties of last century $-$ see [\[37](#page-15-0), [38](#page-15-0)] and references therein. The level of precision in these studies favoured the NLO prediction of the Nambu-Goto model against the Gaussian approximation, however it did not allow for a precise quantitative check of the NLO prediction itself.

The increase in computer power and a slightly smaller correlation length compared with [\[37](#page-15-0), [38](#page-15-0)] allow us a significantly better statistical control of the next to leading order corrections considered here. In particular, our present statistics is about 1000 times larger than that of [[37\]](#page-15-0).

The structure of this paper is the following: in section 2 we briefly describe the introduction of an interface in the 3D Ising model, and the associated free energy; next, in section [3](#page-5-0), we describe the numerical algorithm used in this work. Section [4](#page-6-0) offers a review of known theoretical and numerical results, while our new results are presented in section [5.](#page-8-0) Finally, we summarise our conclusions in section [6.](#page-12-0)

2. The 3D Ising model and the interface free energy

The confined phase of the \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge model in 3D is mapped by duality into the low temperature phase of the Ising spin model, where the global symmetry is spontaneously broken and a non-vanishing magnetisation exists. According to the duality transformation, the observables of the gauge theory can be represented introducing an antiferromagnetic coupling for suitable sets of bonds in the spin model: these bonds pierce a surface having the original source lines as its boundary. This procedure can be naturally extended by introducing a seam of antiferromagnetic bonds throughout a whole time-slice on the lattice. Effectively, this amounts to imposing antiperiodic boundary conditions along the "timelike" direction, and induces an interface separating a domain of positive from a domain of negative magnetisation.¹

These interfaces are the main subject of our analysis; to define the notations, we consider a periodic, cubic lattice with sizes $L_0 \times L_1 \times L_2$. Let (x_0, x_1, x_2) denote the coordinates of the lattice sites, with $x_{\mu} = 0, \ldots, L_{\mu}$ for $\mu = 0, 1, 2$. The action is given by:

$$
S = -\beta \sum_{x} \sum_{\mu} J_{x,\mu} s_x s_{x+\hat{\mu}} \tag{2.1}
$$

Let us focus on two possible choices for the $J_{x,\mu}$ variables:

- setting $J_{x,\mu} = 1$ for all x, μ , we obtain a system with periodic boundary conditions in all directions; the corresponding partition function is denoted by Z_{p} ;
- setting $J_{x,\mu} = -1$ for $x = (L_0, x_1, x_2)$ and $\mu = 0$, and $J_{x,\mu} = +1$ for all the remaining links we obtain a system with antiperiodic boundary conditions in the x_0 -direction and periodic boundary conditions in the remaining directions; the corresponding partition function is denoted by $Z_{\rm a}$.

The latter choice induces an interface in the system, whose free energy² is given — in firstapproximation — by the difference between the free energy of the system with anti-periodic and periodic boundary conditions: $F_s^{(0)} = -\ln \frac{Z_a}{Z_p}$. This quantity has a characteristic L_0 -dependence, due the fact that the interface induced by the anti-periodic boundary conditions enjoys full translational invariance in the x_0 -direction. This entropy effect can be easily taken into account defining:

$$
F_s^{(1)} = -\ln \frac{Z_a}{Z_p} + \ln L_0.
$$
\n(2.2)

However, for large values of L_0 , an arbitrary odd (even) number of interfaces can appear in the system with antiperiodic (periodic) boundary conditions; assuming that the interfaces do not interact, 3 the reduced interface free energy can be defined as [\[40](#page-15-0)]:

$$
F_s^{(2)} = \ln L_0 - \ln \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 + Z_a/Z_p}{1 - Z_a/Z_p} \right) ,\qquad (2.3)
$$

which is used in the following.

Finally, we would like to remark that the ratio of partition functions Z_a/Z_p is directly related with the tunneling correlation length in a system with cylindrical geometry; for a detailed discussion see section 4.2 of [[37](#page-15-0)].

¹An alternative way to generate an interface in the Ising spin system would require to fix all the spin variables on the two opposite time-slices at the boundaries to the values +1 and −1, respectively; however such Dirichlet boundary conditions would lead to rather large finite-size effects. Further methods to determine the interface free energy are discussed in the literature. For a collection of articles on this subject see e.g. [[39\]](#page-15-0).

²For convenience, we use the so-called "reduced free energy", which is dimensionless.

³This assumption is reasonable for a low density of interfaces.

3. The simulation algorithm

There are different methods available to compute the ratio of partition functions $Z_{\rm a}/Z_{\rm p}$ by Monte Carlo simulations.

- Integration of the energy difference $E_a E_p$ over β_s , starting from a value of β_s in the high temperature phase of the Ising spin model, where the interface tension vanishes. (See e.g. [\[38\]](#page-15-0) and references therein.)
- Snake-algorithm [[21, 20](#page-14-0)]: A sequence of systems that interpolate between the periodic and anti-periodic case is defined, introducing the defects one-at-a-time; the $Z_{\rm a}/Z_{\rm p}$ ratio can be factored as:

$$
\frac{Z_{\rm a}}{Z_{\rm p}} = \frac{Z_{L_1 \times L_2}}{Z_{L_1 \times L_2 - 1}} \cdot \frac{Z_{L_1 \times L_2 - 1}}{Z_{L_1 \times L_2 - 2}} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{Z_1}{Z_0} \,,\tag{3.1}
$$

where Z_k is the partition function associated with the system where k defects have been introduced (so that $Z_{L_1 \times L_2} = Z_{\rm a}$, while $Z_0 = Z_{\rm p}$). The free energy differences between Z_k and Z_{k+1} can be easily computed, as the two systems only differ by the value of $J_{x,\mu}$ on a single bond: since in general there is a sufficiently large overlap between configurations contributing to Z_k and Z_{k+1} , importance sampling with respect to the denominators on the right-hand side of eq. (3.1) is possible. However, for any $0 < k < L_1 \times L_2$ the translational invariance in the x_0 -direction is broken. However, for k sufficiently close to $L_1 \times L_2$, the entropy gain of "depinning" becomes comparable with the energy cost and the interface starts to move along the lattice in the x_0 -direction. This causes severe autocorrelation problems in a numerical simulation of these systems.

These two choices are quite similar in spirit: the free energy difference is evaluated from the sum of many small contributions that can be easily computed. Both methods allow to investigate large interfaces, and the computational effort required for a given precision grows only with a power of the lattice size. However, the obvious practical difficulty with both methods is that a large number of individual simulations have to be run.

In the present work, we have measured the ratio of the partition functions $Z_{\rm a}/Z_{\rm p}$ directly, using a variant of the boundary flip algorithm [\[40\]](#page-15-0). As in [[41](#page-15-0)], we did not actually change the boundary conditions during the simulation: rather, we counted the configurations with periodic boundary conditions that would allow for this flip. This method is efficient as long as Z_a/Z_p is not too small. Since $Z_a/Z_p \simeq \exp(-\sigma L_1 L_2)$, $\sigma L_1 L_2 \lesssim 10$ is a rather strict upper bound on the interface size that can be reached with this method, since the signal to noise ratio decays exponentially with the interface area.⁴ However, these lattice sizes are sufficient for our purpose as we shall see in the following.

For the update of the configuration, we have used the standard single cluster algorithm [\[42\]](#page-15-0). For most of our simulations we have used the G05CAF random number generator of the NAG library, which is a linear congruential generator characterised by $a = 13^{13}$.

⁴Due to our enormous statistics we could obtain a meaningful result for interface areas with σL_1L_2 slightly larger than 10.

 $c = 0$ and modulus $m = 2^{59}$. As a check of the reliability of the random number generator, we have repeated a few of the runs for $\beta_{\rm s} = 0.236025$ with the RANLUX generator discussed in [\[43\]](#page-15-0), and the results are consistent with those obtained before with the G05CAF generator. Since there is no hint of a problem with the G05CAF, and the RANLUX generator is more time-consuming, we continued with the G05CAF generator.

4. Summary of results given in literature

In the following subsections we review the theoretical expectations and the numerical results which are available in the literature.

4.1 Theoretical expectations

A possible description for the dynamics of the interface in the continuum is provided by the Nambu-Goto model [\[28](#page-15-0), [29](#page-15-0)]: it is based on the hypothesis that the action associated with a given interface configuration is proportional to the area of the interface itself:

$$
S = \sigma \int d^2 \xi \sqrt{\det g_{\alpha\beta}} \,, \tag{4.1}
$$

where ξ are the surface coordinates, while $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is the metric induced by the embedding in the three-dimensional space. For sake of simplicity, it is assumed that the interface can be parametrised in terms a single-valued, real function describing the transverse displacement of the surface with respect to a reference plane. This model is essentially the same as the capillary wave model [[33](#page-15-0)], with the further assumption that σ does not depend on the direction of the normal to the infinitesimal surface element. Here we neglect the theoretical difficulties associated with the fact that the model is actually anomalous, and non-renormalisable; in the following of the discussion, the model will be regarded as an effective theory expected to describe the dynamics of the interface for sufficiently large values of $\sigma L_1 L_2$ (*i.e.* of the minimal interface area, in dimensionless units).

A perturbative expansion in powers of $(\sigma L_1 L_2)^{-1}$ yields the following result for the partition function associated with the interface:

$$
Z = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{u}} e^{-\sigma L_1 L_2} \left| \eta(iu) / \eta(i) \right|^{-2} \left[1 + \frac{f(u)}{\sigma L_1 L_2} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\sigma L_1 L_2)^2}\right) \right] , \qquad (4.2)
$$

This expression was obtained for the first time in [\[44\]](#page-15-0) with a zeta-function regularization and then re-obtained in [\[37](#page-15-0), [45\]](#page-15-0) with three other different types of regularization. In eq. (4.2) , λ is a parameter that can be predicted by an argument from perturbation theory of the ϕ^4 model in three dimensions (see below), $\tau = iu = iL_2/L_1$ is the modulus of the torus associated with the cross-section of the system, η is Dedekind's function:

$$
\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n) \quad , \qquad q \equiv \exp(2\pi i \tau) \quad , \tag{4.3}
$$

and

$$
f(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left[\frac{\pi}{6} u E_2(iu) \right]^2 - \frac{\pi}{6} u E_2(iu) + \frac{3}{4} \right\} , \qquad (4.4)
$$

\mathcal{O}_s	$\beta_{\rm g}$	N_t			m
0.276040 0.65608			$2 \quad 0.204864(9)$	0.644(1)	0.85701
0.236025 0.73107			$4 \mid 0.044023(3)$	1.456(3)	0.63407
0.226102	0.75180		$8 \mid 0.0105241(15)$	3.09(1)	0.45311

Table 1: Summary of numerical estimates for basic quantities at the values of β_s studied in this work. β_g is the coupling of the \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory that corresponds, via duality, to the β_s of the Ising spin model. N_t is the inverse of the finite temperature phase transition, σ is the interface tension, ξ the bulk correlation length and m the magnetisation.

where $E_2(\tau)$ is the first Eisenstein series:

$$
E_2(\tau) = 1 - 24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n \ q^n}{1 - q^n} \ , \qquad q \equiv \exp(2\pi i \tau) \ , \tag{4.5}
$$

In most of our simulations, we have chosen $u = L_2/L_1 = 1$; for this choice one gets: $f(1) = 1/4.$

In the following we shall be interested in the interface free energy, which, for square lattices of size $L_1 = L_2 \equiv L$ takes the form:

$$
F_s = \sigma L^2 - \ln \lambda - \frac{1}{4\sigma L^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\sigma L^2)^2}\right). \tag{4.6}
$$

This is the theoretical expectation which, in the following section, we shall compare with our numerical results for $F_s^{(2)}$ — see eq. [\(2.3](#page-4-0)).

The value of λ cannot be predicted by the effective interface model, however perturbation theory of the 3D ϕ^4 model [\[46](#page-15-0), [47,](#page-16-0) [38\]](#page-15-0) gives:

$$
\ln \lambda = \frac{1}{2} \ln \sigma - \ln 2 + \ln S , \qquad (4.7)
$$

with:

$$
S = \frac{4}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{u_r}{4\pi} \left(\frac{39}{32} - \frac{15}{16} \ln 3\right)}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(3/4)}{\Gamma(1/4)} \,. \tag{4.8}
$$

Using $u_r = 14.3(1)$ [\[48](#page-16-0)], one gets $G \equiv \ln 2 - \ln S \approx 0.29$.

4.2 Numerical results

In table 1 we have summarised numerical estimates for basic quantities at the values of $\beta_{\rm s}$ studied in the present work. The result for the critical finite temperature phase transition N_t is taken from table 4 of [[49](#page-16-0)]. The interface tension σ is taken from table 8 of [[9](#page-14-0)]. Note that in [[9](#page-14-0)] only the leading order quantum corrections were used to obtain these results. The system sizes were large enough to safely ignore NLO contributions. The result for the exponential correlation length ξ is taken from table 1 of [\[9\]](#page-14-0). These numbers were obtained interpolating the results of [[50](#page-16-0), [48](#page-16-0)] and from the analysis of the low temperature series [[51\]](#page-16-0). The magnetisation m has been computed from the interpolation formula eq. (10) of [\[52\]](#page-16-0).

L_0	L_1	$\scriptstyle{L_2}$	stat/100000	F_s
6	6	6	500	3.37985(29)
8	6	6	500	3.38689(26)
10	6	6	500	3.38989(22)
12	6	6	500	3.39067(20)
18	6	6	500	3.39079(17)
$\overline{7}$	7	$\overline{7}$	500	3.97243(37)
10	7	7	1000	3.99356(22)
14	7	$\overline{7}$	500	3.99783(26)
21	7	7	599	3.99802(19)
24	8	8	500	4.67900(28)
18	9	9	1000	5.44197(35)
27	9	9	1000	5.44170(28)
30	10	10	910	6.29015(44)
22	11	11	1000	7.22382(78)
33	11	11	1000	7.22219(64)
36	12	12	1000	8.2441(10)
26	13	13	1000	9.3487(21)
39	13	13	1000	9.3481(17)
42	14	14	1000	10.5403(30)

Table 2: Results for the interface free energy F_s as defined in eq. [\(2.3](#page-4-0)) at $\beta_s = 0.236025$. L_0 , L_1 and L_2 give the linear sizes of the lattice and stat is the number of measurements. For each measurement, 10 single cluster updates were performed.

5. New numerical results

In this section we present our new numerical results.

First we studied the finite L_0 effects in the interface free energy F_s as defined by eq. [\(2.3\)](#page-4-0). To this end, we run a series of simulations at $\beta_s = 0.236025$ with $L_1 = L_2$. Writing $Z_{\rm a}$ and $Z_{\rm p}$ in terms of eigenvalues of the transfer matrix (for discussion see e.g. section 4.2 of [\[37](#page-15-0)]), one sees that the leading corrections in L_0 to $F_s(L_1, L_2)$ vanish as $\exp(-L_0/\xi)$. Indeed, the results in table 2 show that the results for $F_s(L_1, L_2)$ quickly converge with increasing L_0 . For all values of $L_1 = L_2$ given in table 2, the choice $L_0 = 3L_1$ should guarantee that corrections due to the finiteness of L_0 are far smaller than the statistical errors of our numerical estimates. In the following, we shall use $L_0 = 3L_1$ also for other values of β_s . In table [3](#page-9-0) and in table [4](#page-9-0) we present our results for $\beta_s = 0.27604$ and $\beta_{\rm s} = 0.226102$, respectively.

5.1 Fitting the data

In figure [1](#page-10-0) we have plotted $F_s - \sigma L^2 + \ln(\sigma)/2$ as a function of the dimensionless quantity $\sqrt{\sigma}L$, where $L = L_1 = L_2$. The values for σ are taken from table [1.](#page-7-0) As $\beta_s \rightarrow \beta_c$, in the scaling limit, the curves for different values of β_{s} should fall on top of each other. While

L_0	L_1	L_{2}	F_{s}
12	4	4	4.29672(23)
15	5	5	6.18752(56)
18	6	6	8.4669(16)
21	7	7	11.1540(57)
24	8	8	14.239(25)

Table 3: Results for the interface free energy F_s as defined in eq. [\(2.3\)](#page-4-0) at $\beta_s = 0.27604$. For all the sets of parameters 10 ⁸ measurements were performed; for each measurement 5 single cluster updates were performed.

JHEP03(2006)084 JHEP03(2006)084

Table 4: Results for the interface free energy F_s as defined in eq. [\(2.3\)](#page-4-0) at $\beta_s = 0.226102$. For each measurement 20 single cluster updates performed. In total, the simulations whose results are summarised in this table took about 2 years of CPU-time on a single PC with an Athlon XP 2000+ CPU.

the curve for $\beta_s = 0.27604$ is clearly different from the other two, those for $\beta_s = 0.236025$ and 0 .226102 are close to each other — their difference being approximately constant. We have checked that these observations still hold when varying σ within the quoted errors. One should note that the LO effective string prediction corresponds to $F_s - \sigma L^2 + \ln(\sigma)/2$ being constant.

Figure 1: $F_s - \sigma L^2 + 0.5 \ln \sigma$ as function of $\sqrt{\sigma}L$ for $\beta_s = 0.226102, 0.236025$ and 0.27604. In all cases $L_1 = L_2 = L$ and $L_0 = 3L$. The values for σ are taken from table [1](#page-7-0). We have checked that the plot does not change significantly, when σ is varied within the quoted errorbars. The dotted lines should only guide the eye.

$\bm{\nu}_{\text{min}}$	c_0	Сo	$\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.}$
	1.1500(14)	$-0.430(5)$	0.81
	1.154(5)	$-0.45(3)$	0.92

Table 5: Results of fits with the ansatz in eq. (5.1) of the data at $\beta_s = 0.27604$. The interface tension has been fixed to $\sigma = 0.204864$.

Next we performed a more quantitative analysis of our data. Motivated by the theoretical prediction of eq. [\(4.2](#page-6-0)), we fitted our data with the ansatz:

$$
F_s = \sigma L^2 + c_0 + \frac{c_2}{\sigma L^2}
$$
\n(5.1)

for the interface free energy. Using the interface tension σ as parameter of the fit, we get results that are consistent with those in table [1](#page-7-0). However, the statistical error of our new results for σ is clearly larger than the error quoted in table [1.](#page-7-0) Also, since we are mainly interested in the value of c_2 , we have fixed σ to the values given in table [1](#page-7-0) in the following.

Our results for the remaining fit parameters c_0 and c_2 are shown in tables 5, [6](#page-11-0) and [7](#page-11-0) for $\beta_{\rm s} = 0.27604, 0.236025$ and 0.226102, respectively. In these fits, we have included data for all available lattice sizes $L_1 = L_2 = L \ge L_{\text{min}}$. Throughout, we have only included data obtained with $L_0 = 3L$.

To estimate the effect of the error in σ , we redid the fits for $\beta_{\rm s} = 0.236025$ with $\sigma = 0.04402$. This leads to slightly smaller values of c_2 , e.g. for $L_{\min} = 10$ we get $c_2 = -0.227(11)$. We also repeated the fit for $\beta_{\rm s} = 0.226102$ using $\sigma = 0.0105226$ as input for the interface tension. This leads to a slight decrease in c_2 ; for instance, for $L_{\min} = 22$ we get $c_2 = -0.232(15)$ instead of $c_2 = -0.227(15)$ for $\sigma = 0.0105241$; the error on the value of σ that is used as input in the fits only plays a minor role.

$\frac{1}{2}$	c_0	c ₂	$\rm \Delta^{2}/d.o.f.$
	1.9320(5)	$-0.1972(13)$	4.22
8	1.9348(8)	$-0.208(3)$	2.37
9	1.9383(13)	$-0.223(5)$	0.86
10	1.9387(22)	$-0.225(11)$	1.00
11	1.944(4)	$-0.258(22)$	0.65
12	1.935(7)	$-0.195(48)$	0.27

Table 6: Results of fits with the ansatz in eq. ([5.1\)](#page-10-0) of the data at $\beta_s = 0.236025$. The interface tension has been fixed to $\sigma = 0.044023$.

$L_{\rm min}$	c_0	c_2	$/$ d.o.f.
16	2.65513(51)	$-0.1855(16)$	7.62
17	2.65853(65)	$-0.1994(24)$	2.49
18	2.66067(85)	$-0.2090(34)$	1.33
19	2.6627(11)	$-0.2187(49)$	0.73
20	2.6636(15)	$-0.2240(72)$	0.70
21	2.6656(20)	$-0.235(10)$	0.52
22	2.6642(27)	$-0.227(15)$	0.50

Table 7: Results of fits with the ansatz in eq. ([5.1\)](#page-10-0) of the data at $\beta_s = 0.226102$. The interface tension has been fixed to $\sigma = 0.0105241$.

The result $c_2 \approx -0.45$ at $\beta_s = 0.27604$ is clearly inconsistent with the prediction $c_2 = -0.25$. However, we should note that $\xi < 1$ and we should expect huge scaling corrections.

The fit results for $\beta_s = 0.236025$ and $\beta_s = 0.226102$ have similar features. In both cases, the value of c_2 increases as L_{min} is increased. Also the $\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.}$ decreases as L_{min} is increased. For $\beta_s = 0.236025$, $\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} \approx 1$ is reached at $L_{\text{min}} = 9$, where $c_2 = -0.223(5)$. For the slightly larger $L_{\text{min}} = 11$ we get: $c_2 = -0.258(22)$, which is fully consistent with the theoretical prediction.

For $\beta_s = 0.226102$, $\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} \approx 1$ is reached at $L_{\text{min}} = 19$, where $c_2 = -0.2187(49)$. For $L_{\text{min}} = 21$ we get: $c_2 = -0.235(10)$, which is consistent with the theoretical prediction within two units of the standard deviation.

Next we fitted our data for $\beta_{\rm s} = 0.226102$ with the ansatz:

$$
F_s = \sigma L^2 + c_0 + \frac{c_2}{\sigma L^2} + \frac{c_4}{(\sigma L^2)^2}
$$
\n(5.2)

to check possible effects of higher order corrections on the numerical results for c_0 and c ². The results are displayed in table [8.](#page-12-0) Again, we have checked that the error of the input value for σ is not relevant. Now the numerical results for c_2 are smaller than the theoretical prediction $c_2 = -0.25$. Adding higher order corrections to the fit does not allow for a more accurate numerical determination of c ². However these fits clearly show that the small deviation of c_2 obtained from the fit to eq. (5.1) can be explained by higher order corrections that are omitted in the ansatz.

$L_{\rm min}$	c ₀	c ₂	c_4	χ^2 /d.o.f.
14	2.6629(10)	$-0.244(6)$	0.103(9)	4.48
15	2.6696(14)	$-0.293(9)$	0.187(15)	1.03
16	2.6731(20)	$-0.320(15)$	0.240(26)	0.55
17	2.6713(27)	$-0.305(22)$	0.207(42)	0.52
18	2.6716(37)	$-0.308(32)$	0.214(70)	0.57

Table 8: Fit results for the ansatz in eq. ([5.2\)](#page-11-0) of the data for F_s at $\beta_s = 0.226102$. The interface tension has been fixed to $\sigma = 0.0105241$.

L_0	L_1	L_2	$\text{stat}/100000$	F_s	$F_s - LO$	NLO
36	10	12	1000	7.1670(6)	$-0.0489(6)$	-0.0487
45	10	15	693	8.4449(12)	$-0.0471(12)$	-0.0440
54	10	18	1000	9.6976(17)	$-0.0493(17)$	-0.0439
60	10	20	1029	10.5235(25)	$-0.0518(25)$	-0.0454
66	10	22	999	11.3466(36)	$-0.0521(36)$	-0.0478

Table 9: Results for the interface free energy F_s as defined in eq. [\(2.3\)](#page-4-0) at $\beta_s = 0.236025$. Results for $L_1 \neq L_2$. We also give $F_s - \text{LO}$, where we have used $\sigma = 0.044023$ and $c_1 = 1.944$ as input.

Finally, let us briefly discuss the results for c_0 . The results are quite stable for different values of L_{min} . Also, fits to eq. ([5.1\)](#page-10-0) and eq. ([5.2\)](#page-11-0) give consistent results. As a final result, we quote $c_0 = 1.154(5)$, 1.944(5) and 2.665(5) for $\beta_s = 0.27604$, 0.236025 and 0.226102, respectively. Correspondingly, one gets: $c_0 + \frac{1}{2} \ln \sigma = 0.361(5)$, $0.382(5)$ and $0.388(5)$, which is somewhat larger than the theoretical prediction $G \approx 0.29$ from [\[46, 38\]](#page-15-0).

5.2 Results for $L_1 \neq L_2$

For $\beta_s = 0.236025$ we have also performed simulations for lattices with non-square crosssection $(L_1 \neq L_2)$: the results of these simulations are given in table 9. In order to compare with the theoretical prediction for the NLO contribution to F_s , we have subtracted the classical and the leading order contribution from F_s . To this end, we have used $\sigma =$ 0.044023 from table [1](#page-7-0) and $c_0 = 1.944$ from the fits summarised in table [6.](#page-11-0) For comparison, in the last column of table 9 we give the theoretical prediction for the NLO contribution see eq. ([4.2](#page-6-0)). The absolute value of the numerical results is found to be about 10% larger than the theoretical prediction for the NLO contribution. This can be interpreted as an effect due to higher order corrections. It is interesting to observe that such higher order terms become more and more important as the ratio L_2/L_1 increases: this is an effect we already observed in our previous analysis of the Polyakov loop correlators [\[1\]](#page-13-0).

6. Conclusions

In this work, we studied interfaces in the 3D Ising model with periodic boundary conditions. We compared our numerical results for the interface free energy with predictions derived from the Nambu-Goto effective string model, which is essentially equivalent to the capillary

wave model. Compared with previous work on the problem [\[37](#page-15-0)], the statistical errors are reduced by a factor of about 30, which allows for a quantitative check of the next-toleading order (i.e. beyond the free string approximation) prediction. For the two coupling values closest to the phase transition, we found for a linear extension $\sqrt{\sigma}L \gtrapprox 2$ of the interface a good agreement with the next-to-leading order prediction of the Nambu-Goto model. Expressed in terms of the inverse deconfinent temperature N_t this corresponds to $L \gtrapprox 2.5N_t$. In the case of the Polyakov loop correlation function we found in [1] a similar behaviour along the compactified direction of the Polyakov loop correlator. On the contrary, along the direction with Dirichlet boundary conditions clear deviations from the Nambu-Goto string prediction were observed for distances of the order of $2.5N_t$. In fact, we actually found that the Nambu-Goto string fits the numerical data for the interquark potential at low temperatures less well than its free string approximation. Even if the presence of a boundary term in the effective string action is ruled out (at least in three dimensions) by string duality arguments $[24]^{5}$ $[24]^{5}$, the above comparison between the present results and our previous analysis on the Polyakov loop correlators clearly shows that some kind of boundary correction is present in the latter case.

By virtue of the absence of boundary effects, we think that the interface free energy discussed in this paper is the perfect setting to study limits and merits of effective string models and also, if possible, to improve these effective descriptions. In this respect it would be very interesting to further analyze the deviations from the Nambu-Goto predictions which we observe in the range $\sqrt{\sigma}L < 2$. Understanding the origin of these deviations remains one of the most intriguing challenges towards a consistent and satisfactory effective string description of the confining potential in lattice gauge theories.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the European Commission TMR programme HPRN-CT-2002-00325 (EUCLID). M.P. acknowledges support received from Enterprise Ireland under the Basic Research Programme. The authors would like to thank M. Billó, D. Ebert, L. Ferro and F. Maresca for useful discussions.

References

- [1] M. Caselle, M. Hasenbusch and M. Panero, On the effective string spectrum of the tridimensional $Z(2)$ gauge model, JHEP 01 [\(2006\) 076](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=01%282006%29076) [[hep-lat/0510107](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0510107)].
- [2] N.D. Hari Dass and P. Majumdar, *High accuracy simulations of* $D = 4$ SU(3) *QCD-string*, PoS LAT2005 (2005) 312 [[hep-lat/0511055](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0511055)].
- [3] M. Billó, M. Caselle, M. Hasenbusch and M. Panero, QCD string from D0 branes, PoS LAT2005 (2005) 309 [[hep-lat/0511008](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0511008)].

 5 Notice however that the argument of $[24]$ $[24]$ only rules out the dominant boundary correction (the one which can be reinterpreted as a shift in the interquark distance [[9](#page-14-0)]) but it does not exclude possible higher order boundary corrections. Notice also that the absence of such a dominant boundary corrections is compatible with the numerical data (see again [\[9\]](#page-14-0)) on short distance Polyakov loop correlators.

- [4] M. Panero, A numerical study of confinement in compact QED, JHEP 05 [\(2005\) 066](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=05%282005%29066) [[hep-lat/0503024](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0503024)].
- [5] M. Caselle, M. Hasenbusch and M. Panero, Comparing the Nambu-Goto string with LGT results , JHEP 03 [\(2005\) 026](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=03%282005%29026) [[hep-lat/0501027](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0501027)].
- [6] H. Meyer and M. Teper, *Confinement and the effective string theory in* $SU(N \to \infty)$: a lattice study, JHEP 12 [\(2004\) 031](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=12%282004%29031) [[hep-lat/0411039](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0411039)].
- [7] P. Majumdar, Continuum limit of the spectrum of the hadronic string , [hep-lat/0406037](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0406037) .
- [8] M. Caselle, M. Pepe and A. Rago, *Static quark potential and effective string corrections in* the $(2+1)D SU(2)$ Yang-Mills theory, JHEP 10 [\(2004\) 005](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=10%282004%29005) [[hep-lat/0406008](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0406008)].
- [9] M. Caselle, M. Hasenbusch and M. Panero, Short distance behaviour of the effective string , JHEP 05 [\(2004\) 032](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=05%282004%29032) [[hep-lat/0403004](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0403004)].
- [10] K.J. Juge, J. Kuti and C. Morningstar, *QCD string formation and the Casimir energy*, [hep-lat/0401032](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0401032) .
- [11] F. Maresca, Ph.D. thesis, Trinity College, Dublin, 2004.
- [12] Y. Koma, M. Koma and P. Majumdar, Static potential, force and flux-tube profile in 4D compact $U(1)$ lattice gauge theory with the multi-level algorithm, [Nucl. Phys.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB692%2C209) **B** 692 (2004) [209](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB692%2C209) [[hep-lat/0311016](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0311016)].
- [13] K.J. Juge, J. Kuti, F. Maresca, C. Morningstar and M.J. Peardon, *Excitations of torelon*, Nucl. Phys. 129 [\(Proc. Suppl.\)](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHZ%2C129%2C703) (2004) 703 [[hep-lat/0309180](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0309180)].
- [14] P. Majumdar, *The string spectrum from large Wilson loops*, *[Nucl. Phys.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB664%2C213)* **B 664** (2003) 213 [[hep-lat/0211038](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0211038)].
- [15] M. Caselle, M. Hasenbusch and M. Panero, *String effects in the 3D gauge Ising model*, *[JHEP](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=01%282003%29057)* 01 [\(2003\) 057](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=01%282003%29057) [[hep-lat/0211012](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0211012)].
- [16] K.J. Juge, J. Kuti and C. Morningstar, Fine structure of the QCD string spectrum, [Phys.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PRLTA%2C90%2C161601) Rev. Lett. 90 [\(2003\) 161601](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PRLTA%2C90%2C161601) [[hep-lat/0207004](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0207004)].
- [17] M. Lüscher and P. Weisz, *Quark confinement and the bosonic string*, *JHEP* 07 [\(2002\) 049](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=07%282002%29049) [[hep-lat/0207003](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0207003)].
- [18] M. Caselle, M. Panero and P. Provero, *String effects in Polyakov loop correlators*, *[JHEP](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=06%282002%29061)* 06 [\(2002\) 061](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=06%282002%29061) [[hep-lat/0205008](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0205008)].
- [19] M. Lüscher and P. Weisz, *Locality and exponential error reduction in numerical lattice gauge* theory, JHEP 09 [\(2001\) 010](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=09%282001%29010) [[hep-lat/0108014](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0108014)].
- [20] M. Pepe and P. de Forcrand, Finite-size scaling of interface free energies in the 3D Ising model, Nucl. Phys. 106 [\(Proc. Suppl.\)](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHZ%2C106%2C914) (2002) 914 [[hep-lat/0110119](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0110119)].
- [21] P. de Forcrand, M. D'Elia and M. Pepe, A study of the 't Hooft loop in SU(2) Yang-Mills *theory, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PRLTA%2C86%2C1438)* 86 (2001) 1438 $[hep-lat/0007034]$ $[hep-lat/0007034]$ $[hep-lat/0007034]$.
- $[22]$ M. Billó and M. Caselle, *Polyakov loop correlators from D0-brane interactions in bosonic* string theory, JHEP 07 [\(2005\) 038](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=07%282005%29038) [[hep-th/0505201](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/0505201)].
- [23] J.M. Drummond, Universal subleading spectrum of effective string theory, [hep-th/0411017](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/0411017).
- [24] M. Lüscher and P. Weisz, *String excitation energies in* $SU(N)$ *gauge theories beyond the* free-string approximation, JHEP 07 [\(2004\) 014](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=07%282004%29014) [[hep-th/0406205](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/0406205)].
- [25] J. Polchinski and A. Strominger, *Effective string theory*, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PRLTA%2C67%2C1681)* **67** (1991) 1681.
- [26] M. Billó, M. Caselle and L. Ferro, The partition function of interfaces from the Nambu-Goto effective string theory, JHEP 02 [\(2006\) 070](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=02%282006%29070) [[hep-th/0601191](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/0601191)].
- [27] J. Kuti, Lattice QCD and string theory, PoS $LAT2005$ (2005) 001 [hep-1at/0511023].
- [28] T. Goto, Relativistic quantum mechanics of one-dimensional mechanical continuum and subsidiary condition of dual resonance model, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PTPKA%2C46%2C1560) 46 (1971) 1560.
- [29] Y. Nambu, *Strings, monopoles and gauge fields, Phys. Rev.* D 10 [\(1974\) 4262](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PHRVA%2CD10%2C4262).
- [30] Y. Koma, M. Koma, D. Ebert and H. Toki, *Effective string action for the* $U(1) \times U(1)$ dual Ginzburg-Landau theory beyond the London limit, [Nucl. Phys.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB648%2C189) **B 648** (2003) 189 [[hep-th/0206074](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/0206074)].
- [31] D. Antonov, Ph.D. thesis, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, 1999.
- [32] M. P. Gelfand and M. E. Fisher, *Finite-size effects in fluid interfaces*, *Physica* **A 166** (1990) 1.
- [33] V. Privman, Fluctuating interfaces, surface tension and capillary waves: an introduction, [Int.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=IMPAE%2CC3%2C857) [J. Mod. Phys.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=IMPAE%2CC3%2C857) C 3 (1992) 857 [[cond-mat/9207003](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/cond-mat/9207003)].
- [34] F.P. Buff, R.A. Lovett and F.H. Stillinger Jr., [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PRLTA%2C15%2C621) 15 (1965) 621.
- [35] P. de Forcrand and D. Noth, *Precision lattice calculation of* SU(2) 't Hooft loops, [Phys. Rev.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PHRVA%2CD72%2C114501) D 72 [\(2005\) 114501](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PHRVA%2CD72%2C114501) [[hep-lat/0506005](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0506005)].
- [36] F. Bursa and M. Teper, Casimir scaling of domain wall tensions in the deconfined phase of $D = 3+1$ SU(N) gauge theories, JHEP 08 [\(2005\) 060](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=08%282005%29060) [[hep-lat/0505025](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/0505025)].
- [37] M. Caselle et al., Rough interfaces beyond the gaussian approximation, [Nucl. Phys.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB432%2C590) \bf{B} 432 [\(1994\) 590](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB432%2C590) [[hep-lat/9407002](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/9407002)].
- [38] M. Hasenbusch and K. Pinn, *The interface tension of the 3-dimensional Ising model in the* scaling region, Physica A 245 (1997) 366, [[cond-mat/9704075](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/cond-mat/9704075)].
- [39] H.J. Herrmann, W. Janke and F. Karsch eds., Workshop on dynamics of first order phase transitions , Int. J. Mod. Phys. 3 5, 1-3 June 1992.
- [40] M. Hasenbusch, Direct Monte Carlo measurement of the surface tension in Ising models , [hep-lat/9209016](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/9209016) .
- [41] M. Hasenbusch, K. Pinn and S. Vinti, Critical exponents of the 3D Ising universality class from finite size scaling with standard and improved actions, [hep-lat/9806012](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/9806012).
- [42] U. Wolff, Collective Monte Carlo updating for spin systems, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PRLTA%2C62%2C361) **62** (1989) 361.
- [43] M. Lüscher, A portable high quality random number generator for lattice field theory simulations, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=CPHCB%2C79%2C100) 79 (1994) 100 [[hep-lat/9309020](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/9309020)].
- [44] K. Dietz and T. Filk, On the renormalization of string functionals, [Phys. Rev.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PHRVA%2CD27%2C2944) \mathbf{D} 27 (1983) [2944](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PHRVA%2CD27%2C2944).
- [45] M. Caselle and K. Pinn, On the universality of certain non-renormalizable contributions in two-dimensional quantum field theory, Phys. Rev. D 54 [\(1996\) 5179](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PHRVA%2CD54%2C5179) [[hep-lat/9602026](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/9602026)].
- [46] G. Münster, Interface tension in three-dimensional systems from field theory, [Nucl. Phys.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB340%2C559) **B** 340 [\(1990\) 559.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB340%2C559)
- [47] P. Provero and S. Vinti, The 2D effective field theory of interfaces derived from 3D field theory, [Nucl. Phys.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB441%2C562) **B 441** (1995) 562 [[hep-th/9501104](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9501104)].
- [48] M. Caselle and M. Hasenbusch, Universal amplitude ratios in the 3D Ising model, [J. Phys.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=JPAGB%2CA30%2C4963) A 30 [\(1997\) 4963](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=JPAGB%2CA30%2C4963) [[hep-lat/9701007](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/9701007)].
- [49] M. Caselle and M. Hasenbusch, Deconfinement transition and dimensional cross-over in the 3D gauge Ising model, [Nucl. Phys.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB470%2C435) B 470 (1996) 435 [[hep-lat/9511015](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/9511015)].
- [50] V. Agostini, G. Carlino, M. Caselle and M. Hasenbusch, The spectrum of the 2+1-dimensional gauge Ising model , [Nucl. Phys.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB484%2C331) B 484 (1997) 331 [[hep-lat/9607029](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-lat/9607029)].
- [51] H. Arisue and K. Tabata, Low temperature series for the correlation length in $D = 3$ Ising model, [Nucl. Phys.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB435%2C555) **B 435** (1995) 555 [hep-1at/9407023].
- [52] A.L. Talapov and H.W.J. Blöte, The magnetization of the 3D Ising model, [J. Phys.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=JPAGB%2CA29%2C5727) A 29 [\(1996\) 5727](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=JPAGB%2CA29%2C5727) [[cond-mat/9603013](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/cond-mat/9603013)].