

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Synergistic effect of erythromycin on polymorphonuclear cell antibacterial activity against erythromycin-resistant phenotypes of *Streptococcus pyogenes*.[*A.M.Cuffini is the corresponding author]

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/75673> since 2020-10-13T11:50:14Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2010.06.041

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is an author version of the contribution published on:

Questa è la versione dell'autore dell'opera:

[Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2010 Oct;36(4):319-23. doi:

10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2010.06.041]

The definitive version is available at:

La versione definitiva è disponibile alla URL:

[<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0924857910002992>]

**Synergistic effect of erythromycin on polymorphonuclear cell
antibacterial activity against erythromycin-resistant phenotypes of
Streptococcus pyogenes [☆]**

Giuliana Banche ^a, Vivian Tullio ^a, Valeria Allizond ^a, Narcisa Mandras ^a, Janira
Roana ^a, Daniela Scalas ^a, Fadwa El Fassi ^a, Sergio D'Antico ^b, Anna Maria Cuffini
^{a,*}, Nicola Carlone ^a

^a *Department of Public Health and Microbiology, University of Turin, Via Santena 9,
10126 Turin, Italy*

^b *Blood Center, A.O.U. San Giovanni Battista, Corso Bramante 88, 10126, Turin, Italy*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 7 May 2010

Accepted 18 June 2010

Keywords:

Streptococcus pyogenes

Erythromycin

Polymorphonuclear cells

Phagocytosis

Phagocytic killing

* Corresponding author. Present address: Department of Public Health and Microbiology, Microbiology Section, University of Turin, Via Santena 9, 10126 Turin, Italy. Tel.: +39 011 670 5638; fax: +39 011 236 5638.

E-mail address: annamaria.cuffini@unito.it (A.M. Cuffini).

☆ This study was presented at the European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ECCMID), 10. 13 April 2010, Vienna, Austria.

ABSTRACT

To evaluate the synergistic activity of erythromycin and human polymorphonuclear cells (PMNs) on the binomial erythromycin-resistant (ERY^R) *Streptococcus pyogenes*/host, the phagocytic and bactericidal activities of PMNs against ERY^R streptococcal strains (cMLS_B, M, and iMLS_B A, B and C phenotypes) were assessed in the presence of the macrolide. The results showed that when erythromycin, PMNs and streptococci [both erythromycin-sensitive (ERY^S) and ERY^R] were simultaneously present in the culture medium, PMN phagocytic activity was similar to that of drug-free controls. In contrast, the results emphasised a significant high increase in intracellular killing by PMNs in the presence of erythromycin not only for ERY^S streptococci but also for ERY^R *S. pyogenes* with high (cMLS_B, iMLS_B A and iMLS_B B phenotypes) and moderate (M and iMLS_B C phenotypes) erythromycin resistance compared with controls without drug. From literature data it emerged that, even if intracellularly concentrated, erythromycin is relatively inactive because of its instability. The results indicate that the enhanced intra-PMN streptococcal killing detected is mainly attributable to PMN bactericidal systems that synergise with intracellular erythromycin in eradicating ERY^R *S. pyogenes* strains (both with high and moderate resistance). These data confirm that the antibiotic resistance detected in vitro does not always imply a failure of antimicrobial treatment.

1. Introduction

Streptococcus pyogenes, a common human pathogen that can cause a wide variety of infections, is globally susceptible to β -lactams. Macrolides represent a good alternative in the treatment of *S. pyogenes* infections in patients who are allergic to penicillin or its derivatives [1,2]. Unfortunately, macrolide-resistant *S. pyogenes* have been isolated in many countries, including Europe and Asia [3, 6]; in Italy the rate of erythromycin resistance in group A streptococci (GAS), which notably increased in the 1990s, is now 25% [7,8]. Two principal mechanisms are responsible for acquired resistance to macrolide. lincosamide. streptogramin B (MLS_B) antibiotics for GAS, namely target site modification and active efflux. Target site modification is due to a methylase, which can be constitutive (cMLS_B phenotype) or inducible (iMLS_B phenotype), that prevents the antibiotic binding to its ribosomal target. Active efflux (M phenotype) is related to a membrane protein responsible for efflux-mediated resistance [9,10].

However, this alarming in vitro erythromycin resistance does not always correlate with poor clinical efficacy in vivo as standard susceptibility testing methods do not take into account several host defence mechanisms that play a key role during infection in preventing the triggering and spread of a bacterial infection process [11. 13]. In fact, the ability of professional phagocytes such as polymorphonuclear cells (PMNs) to ingest and kill microorganisms is central to innate immunity and host defence [14. 16]. Thus, the current trend in therapy requires the use of antibiotics that combine a high level of antibacterial activity and optimal pharmacodynamic and

pharmacokinetic properties with the capacity to act in concert with the immune system in a way that potentiates the host's defence mechanisms. The literature reports much evidence regarding the impact of erythromycin on the primary functions of phagocytes, namely human PMNs and macrophages [17. 20]. Since antibiotics that can interact positively with host defences might significantly contribute to improving the outcome of bacterial infection, this study focused on the potential synergy between human PMNs and erythromycin for antimicrobial activity, especially against erythromycin-resistant (ERY^R) *S. pyogenes*, in order to underline the differences in bacterial susceptibility to PMNs related to different antibiotic resistance phenotypes, e.g. cMLS_B, M, and iMLS_B subtypes A, B and C. The excellent penetration of erythromycin via an active (energy-requiring) process and its highly effective concentration within PMNs displaying a stimulating effect on phagocytic activities is already well known and largely documented in the literature [17. 22]. However, even if highly concentrated intracellularly, relative inactivity of erythromycin in intracellular killing has been detected compared with that of other macrolides owing to its instability at low intracellular pH values.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Bacteria

Erythromycin-sensitive (ERY^S) and ERY^R clinical isolates of *S. pyogenes* were cultured on Columbia agar supplemented with 5% sheep blood (Biolife Italiana Srl, Milan, Italy). Young colonies (18. 24 h) were picked up to ca. 3. 4 McFarland standard and were inoculated into cryovials containing both cryopreservative fluid

and porous beads to allow bacteria to adhere (Microbank; bioMérieux, Rome, Italy). Following inoculation, cryovials were kept at . 80 °C for extended storage [23,24].

2.2. Antimicrobial activity of erythromycin against Streptococcus pyogenes

Solutions of erythromycin (Sigma, St Louis, MO) were freshly prepared for each experiment and were shown to be free from endotoxin in a standard *Limulus* amoebocyte lysate assay (BioWhittaker, Walkersville, MD). Determination of the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of erythromycin was carried by the microdilution broth method according to the latest Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines [25]. Interpretation of the results was basically as outlined in the abovementioned CLSI guidelines [25].

2.3. Resistance phenotypes

ERY^R phenotypes were determined by triple-disk diffusion testing [26] on Mueller. Hinton agar supplemented with 5% sheep blood (Oxoid Ltd., Cambridge, UK). Commercial disks (Oxoid Ltd.) of erythromycin (15 µg), clindamycin (2 µg) and josamycin (30 µg) were used. The erythromycin disk was placed at the centre of the plate, with the clindamycin disk on the right and the josamycin disk on the left. A disk of penicillin G (10 units) (Oxoid Ltd.) was added on the bottom of the plate to confirm susceptibility of the GAS isolated strains. The antibiotic disks were placed 15. 20 mm apart. After 18 h of incubation at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere, absence of a significant zone of inhibition around the three disks was taken to indicate constitutive resistance (cMLS_B phenotype). The presence of a zone of inhibition around the

clindamycin and josamycin disks and growth around the erythromycin disk were taken to indicate the M phenotype. A blunting zone of inhibition of clindamycin and josamycin proximal to the erythromycin disk was taken to indicate inducible resistance (iMLS_B phenotype). The iMLS_B A strain was characterised by absence of any zone of inhibition around both erythromycin and josamycin disks; the iMLS_B B strain was characterised by blunting of the josamycin zone of inhibition proximal to the erythromycin disk and by no zone of inhibition around the erythromycin disk; and the iMLS_B C strain was characterised by blunting of the josamycin zone of inhibition proximal to the erythromycin disk with a restricted zone of inhibition around the erythromycin disk [27].

To induce erythromycin resistance in iMLS_B *S. pyogenes* strains, bacteria were incubated in Todd. Hewitt broth (BD Becton Dickinson Italia S.p.A., Milan, Italy) containing an inducing subinhibitory concentration (0.25× MIC) of drug for 3.5 h at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere [28].

2.4. Polymorphonuclear cells

Peripheral venous blood was pooled from healthy donors negative for the presence of microbial and viral diseases (A.O.U. San Giovanni Battista, Turin, Italy). Blood was collected into sterile evacuated blood-collecting tubes containing lithium heparin (150 USPU/10 mL blood) and was settled at room temperature by gravity for 30 min in 2.5% dextran (500 000 molecular weight; Pharmacia S.p.A., Milan, Italy) in normal saline (1:1 ratio). The leukocyte-rich plasma supernatant was carefully layered on

Ficoll-Paque (Pharmacia S.p.A.) and was centrifuged twice at 1200 rpm for 15 min. To obtain pure PMNs, residual erythrocytes were lysed by hypotonic shock for 30 s in sterile distilled water and then centrifuged further [29-31]. After counting in a Bürker cell counting chamber (Bürker, Marienfield, Germany), the density of PMNs was adjusted to 10^6 cells/mL in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) supplied with 0.1% glucose and 0.1% human albumin (Sigma). PMNs were placed in sterile plastic-capped tubes treated with RPMI 1640 (Gibco Laboratories, Grand Island, NY) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) (Gibco Laboratories) and were incubated at 37 °C in a shaking water-bath before addition of streptococci [10^7 colony-forming units (CFU)/mL]. Viability assayed by trypan blue exclusion before and after each experiment was >95%. The time between collection of blood and the beginning of the experiments did not exceed 3 h. The interval between PMN harvest and the start of experiments was <30 min [29-31].

2.5. Radioactive labelling protocol

A total of 200 µL of the frozen culture was placed in fresh Todd. Hewitt broth containing 150 µCi of ^3H -uracil (specific activity 1.27 TBq/mmol) (NEN Products, Milan, Italy) at 37 °C. Radiolabelled streptococci were centrifuged twice at 1200 rpm for 10 min with Todd. Hewitt broth and were re-suspended in fresh medium and adjusted to yield 10^7 CFU/mL as confirmed by colony counts in triplicate [29-31].

2.6. Phagocytosis assay

In all experiments, the bacterium:PMN ratio was 10:1. Aliquots of 1 mL of *S. pyogenes* in RPMI 1640 with 10% FCS were added to PMNs in sterile plastic tubes (10^6 cells) and the tubes were then incubated at 37 °C in a shaking water-bath. After incubation for a period of 30, 60 or 90 min, the tubes were centrifuged at 1200 rpm for 5 min. The pellet suspended in PBS was centrifuged at 1200 rpm for 5 min to remove free bacteria. Cells were then suspended in 1 mL of sterile distilled water for 5 min and 100 μ L samples of this suspension were placed in scintillation fluid (Atomlight; NEN Products) and counted by liquid scintillation spectrophotometry. Radioactivity was expressed as the counts/min (cpm) per sample. The percentage of phagocytosis at a given sampling time was calculated as follows: phagocytosis (%) = (cpm in PMN pellet/cpm in total bacterial pellet) \times 100 [29-31].

2.7. Measurement of antimicrobial activity of polymorphonuclear cells

In all the experiments, the bacterium:PMN ratio was 10:1. Aliquots of 1 mL of *S. pyogenes* (10^7 CFU) and PMNs in sterile plastic tubes (10^6 cells) were incubated in RPMI 1640 at 37 °C in a shaking water-bath for 30 min to allow phagocytosis to proceed. The PMN/bacterium mixtures were centrifuged at 1200 rpm for 5 min and washed with phosphate saline to remove free extracellular bacteria. An aliquot of PMNs containing bacteria was taken, lysed by adding sterile water and then a viable count of intracellular GAS was performed (time zero). PMNs were then incubated further and at intervals (time x) viable counts of the surviving intracellular bacteria were measured in the same way. PMN killing values were expressed as the survival

index (SI), which was calculated by adding the number of surviving microorganisms at time zero to the number of survivors at time x and dividing by the number of survivors at time zero [29-31].

2.8. Influence of erythromycin on phagocytosis and intracellular killing

The effects of erythromycin on either the phagocytosis of *S. pyogenes* strains or intracellular bacterial killing by PMNs were investigated by incubating the bacteria and the phagocytes (bacteria:PMN ratio 10:1) at 37°C in a shaking water bath for periods of 30, 60, or 90 min in the presence of the drug (MIC and 0.25× MIC) [29-31].

2.9. Statistical analysis

Each test was performed in triplicate and the results were compared with those obtained with the control systems and expressed as the mean and standard error of the mean for 10 separate experiments. Statistical evaluation of the differences between test and control results was performed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) by Tukey's test. A P -value <0.01 was considered significant.

3. Results

In all experiments, PMN viability remained unchanged throughout the experiments.

The MIC of erythromycin for ERY^S *S. pyogenes* was found to be 0.25 µg/mL. Direct exposure of PMNs and ERY^S bacteria to an inhibitory concentration of erythromycin did not improve the phagocytosis until 90 min of incubation (Table 1). Conversely, in

the same experimental conditions a marked increase in PMN intracellular killing was achieved with the addition of erythromycin ($P < 0.01$) (Table 2).

The erythromycin MICs of ERY^R *S. pyogenes* were 128 µg/mL for the cMLS_B phenotype, 16 µg/mL for the M phenotype, 128 µg/mL both for iMLS_B A and B phenotypes and 8 µg/mL for the iMLS_B C phenotype. Addition of erythromycin to human PMNs separately incubated with the different *S. pyogenes* resistant strains had no effect on the phagocytic capacity of PMNs throughout the observation period, as shown by phagocytosis percentages of ingested GAS comparable with that for controls without the drug (Table 1). In contrast, addition of the macrolide to PMNs after phagocytosis had occurred significantly enhanced phagocyte killing against ingested ERY^R streptococci resulting in an increased number of killed bacteria for all three incubation times compared with the antibiotic-free systems, in which PMNs were totally unable to kill ingested streptococci at 60 min and 90 min of incubation ($P < 0.01$) (Table 2).

Similar data were obtained by evaluating the effects of lower levels of erythromycin (0.25× MIC) on either PMN phagocytosis of radiolabelled streptococci (data not shown) or intracellular killing by incubating the bacteria and phagocytes for periods of 30, 60 or 90 min (Table 2).

4. Discussion

Streptococcus pyogenes is a bacterium that has evolved sophisticated mechanisms to disrupt many critical aspects of PMN function; GAS successfully evades PMN phagocytosis and killing to cause human infections including pharyngitis, impetigo, cellulitis and necrotizing fasciitis [32,33]. It is well known that the rate of phagocytosis and killing of GAS by PMNs is maximal during initial host cell/pathogen interaction (within 30 min) and fails to increase thereafter [24,34].

These findings are consistent with the ability of *S. pyogenes* to regulate actively the number of differentially expressed genes that modulate PMN functions [15,16,34-36]. Although *S. pyogenes* employs numerous mechanisms to block phagocytosis, the pathogen is only partially successful and is ingested by PMNs or in the presence of specific antibodies [33,34,36]. These data are consistent with the present results: in fact, in erythromycin-free systems, PMNs were able to engulf both ERY^S and ERY^R streptococci at values that remained constant during incubation and to kill them in only the first 30 min of observation (Tables 1 and 2).

The results of this study showed that addition of erythromycin resulted in PMN phagocytosis which remained at levels similar that of drug-free controls, underlying that the macrolide did not adversely affect PMN functionality (Table 1). In contrast, the results emphasised a significant high increase of intracellular killing by PMNs in the presence of erythromycin for all *S. pyogenes* strains during the observation period ($P < 0.01$) (Table 2). The most interesting data pertain to the ability of phagocytes to kill the intracellular ERY^R streptococci independently from their

different levels of resistance to MLS_B antibiotics. Since erythromycin even highly concentrated within phagocytes [19,20] has a lower antimicrobial activity compared with that of other antibiotics owing to its intracellular instability in the acid medium [21,22], these current results suggest that the enhanced intra-PMN streptococcal killing detected is mainly attributable to PMN bactericidal systems that tightly cooperate with intracellular erythromycin in eradicating the ERY^R streptococci. In fact, PMNs were able to synergise with erythromycin for the intracellular bacterial killing of highly resistant streptococci of phenotypes cMLS_B, iMLS_B A and iMLS_B B. During the entire time of exposure, the internalised constitutive and inducible resistant streptococci were more susceptible to the microbicidal intracellular mechanisms of human PMNs compared with drug-free controls, where the phagocytes were unable to counteract bacterial growth at 60 min and 90 min of incubation ($P < 0.01$) (Table 2). In the presence of erythromycin, also M phenotype and iMLS_B C phenotype streptococci, characterised by a moderate resistance level, were killed at a rate higher than that observed for highly resistant phenotypes, highlighting a pattern quite similar to that of the ERY^S strain (Table 2).

Interestingly, a similar picture was detected even at lower levels of erythromycin (0.25× MIC) where the synergism between PMNs and erythromycin resulted in SI values that overlapped with those observed in presence of an erythromycin level equal to the MIC (Table 2), without affecting phagocytosis (data not shown). The hypothesis of a tight bactericidal co-operation between PMNs and erythromycin is corroborated from our previous studies [21,22], where it emerged that pre-exposure of human phagocytes to erythromycin before phagocytosis had no effect on

subsequent intracellular bacterial killing. Once highly accumulated within acid lysosomes, erythromycin, being a weak base, showed a reduced limited antibacterial activity.

In conclusion, according to the recent literature trend, the current methods of predicting whether or not an antibiotic will be effective against a bacterial pathogen in vivo and determining the optimal usage of an antimicrobial are still crude. Until we take account of inherent host defences and how antibiotics react with pathogens at the site of infection, the way we choose an antimicrobial agent over another will continue to be flawed. Our results, along with those from clinical trial studies [13], provide further evidence of the clinical success of erythromycin and indirectly confirm that the antibiotic resistance detected in vitro does not always imply in vivo treatment failure inasmuch as favourable pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters and the complex interactions among antibiotic-resistant pathogens, administered drug and host defences play a key role as predictors of clinical outcome.

Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted to the staff of the Blood Center (A.O.U. San Giovanni Battista, Turin, Italy) for their technical assistance.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from Italian MUR (2005, 2007) and the Regione Piemonte Ricerca Sanitaria Finalizzata (2008 bis).

Competing interests

None declared.

Ethical approval

Not required.

References

- [1] Bae SY, Kim JS, Kwon JA, Yoon SY, Lim CS, Lee KN, et al. Phenotypes and genotypes of macrolide-resistant *Streptococcus pyogenes* isolated in Seoul, Korea. J Med Microbiol 2007;56:229. 35.
- [2] Gracia M, Díaz C, Coronel P, Gimeno M, García-Rodas R, Rodríguez-Cerrato V, et al. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Streptococcus pyogenes* in Central, Eastern, and Baltic European Countries, 2005 to 2006: the cefditoren surveillance program. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2009;64:52. 6.
- [3] Hart AM, Patti A, Noggle B, Haller-Stevenson E, Hines LB. Acute respiratory infections and antimicrobial resistance. Am J Nurs 2008;108:56. 65.
- [4] Malbruny B, Nagai K, Coquemont M, Bozdogan B, Andrasevic AT, Hupkova H, et al. Resistance to macrolides in clinical isolates of *Streptococcus pyogenes* due to ribosomal mutations. J Antimicrob Chemother 2002;49:935. 9.
- [5] Shibl AM. Patterns of macrolide resistance determinants among *S. pyogenes* and *S. pneumoniae* isolates in Saudi Arabia. J Int Med Res 2005;33:349. 55.
- [6] Varaldo PE, Montanari MP, Giovanetti E. Genetic elements responsible for erythromycin resistance in streptococci. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2009;53:343. 53.
- [7] Banche G, Roana J, Allizond V, Andreotti S, Malabaila A, Li Vigni N, et al. In vitro compared activity of telithromycin and azithromycin against northwest Italian isolates of *Streptococcus pyogenes* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* with different erythromycin susceptibility. Lett Appl Microbiol 2008;47:309. 14.

- [8] Montagnani F, Stolzuoli L, Croci L, Rizzuti C, Arena F, Zanchi A, et al. Erythromycin resistance in *Streptococcus pyogenes* and macrolide consumption in a central Italian region. *Infection* 2009;37:353. 7.
- [9] Descheemaeker P, Chapelle S, Lammens C, Hauchecorne M, Wijdooghe M, Vandamme P, et al. Macrolide resistance and erythromycin resistance determinants among Belgian *Streptococcus pyogenes* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* isolates. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2000;45:167. 73.
- [10] Leclercq R. Mechanisms of resistance to macrolides and lincosamides: nature of the resistance elements and their clinical implications. *Clin Infect Dis* 2002;34:482. 92.
- [11] Amsden GW. Pneumococcal macrolide resistance- myth or reality? *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1999;44:1. 6.
- [12] Cuffini AM, Tullio V, Mandras N, Roana J, Scalas D, Banche G, et al. Clarithromycin mediated the expression of polymorphonuclear granulocyte response against *Streptococcus pneumoniae* strains with different patterns of susceptibility and resistance to penicillin and clarithromycin. *Int J Tissue React* 2002;24:37. 44.
- [13] Rondini G, Cocuzza CE, Cianflone M, Lanzafame A, Santini L, Mattina R. Bacteriological and clinical efficacy of various antibiotics used in the treatment of streptococcal pharyngitis in Italy. An epidemiological study. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2001;18:9. 17.
- [14] Cuffini AM, Tullio V, Mandras N, Roana J, Banche G, Carlone NA. The leading role of antimicrobial agents in modulating the binomial host. microorganism. *Curr Med Chem Anti Infect Agents* 2004;3:1. 13.

- [15] Kobayashi SD, Voyich JM, DeLeo FR. Regulation of the neutrophil-mediated inflammatory response to infection. *Microbes Infect* 2003;5:1337. 44.
- [16] Voyich JM, Sturdevant DE, Braughton KR, Kobayashi SD, Lei B, Virtaneva K, et al. Genome-wide protective response used by group A *Streptococcus* to evade destruction by human polymorphonuclear leukocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003;100:1996. 2001.
- [17] Labro MT. Interference of antibacterial agents with phagocyte functions: immunomodulation or immunofairy tales? *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2000;13:615. 50.
- [18] Martin JR, Johnson P, Miller MF. Uptake, accumulation, and egress of erythromycin by tissue culture cells of human origin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1985;27:314. 9.
- [19] Miller MF, Martin JR, Johnson P, Ulrich JT, Rdzok EJ, Billing P. Erythromycin uptake and accumulation by human polymorphonuclear leukocytes and efficacy of erythromycin in killing ingested *Legionella pneumophila*. *J Infect Dis* 1984;149:714. 8.
- [20] Prokesch RC, Hand WL. Antibiotic entry into human polymorphonuclear leukocytes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1982;21:373. 80.
- [21] Cuffini AM, Carlone NA, Tullio V, Borsotto M. Comparative effects of roxithromycin and erythromycin on cellular immune functions in vitro. 3. Killing of intracellular *Staphylococcus aureus* by human macrophages. *Microbios* 1989;58:27. 33.
- [22] Cuffini AM, Tullio V, Cimino F, Carlone NA. Comparative effects of roxithromycin and erythromycin on cellular immune functions in vitro. 1. Uptake of 3H-macrolides by human macrophages. *Microbios* 1989;57:167. 78.

- [23] Banche G, Allizond V, Giacchino F, Mandras N, Roana J, Bonello F, et al. Effect of dialysis membrane biocompatibility on polymorphonuclear granulocyte activity in dialysis patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2006;21:3532. 8.
- [24] Cuffini AM, Tullio V, Banche G, Allizond V, Mandras N, Roana J, et al. The erythromycin-resistance in *S. pyogenes* does not limit the human polymorphonuclear cell antimicrobial activity. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol* 2009;22:239. 42.
- [25] Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. *Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing*. Eighteenth informational supplement. Document M100-S18. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2008.
- [26] Giovanetti E, Montanari MP, Mingoia M, Varaldo PE. Phenotypes and genotypes of erythromycin-resistant *Streptococcus pyogenes* strains in Italy and heterogeneity of inducibly resistant strains. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999;43:1935. 40.
- [27] Giovanetti E, Brenciani A, Burioni R, Varaldo PE. A novel efflux system in inducibly erythromycin-resistant strains of *Streptococcus pyogenes*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2002;46:3750. 5.
- [28] Hyder SL, Streitfeld MM. Inducible and constitutive resistance to macrolide antibiotics and lincomycin in clinically isolated strains of *Streptococcus pyogenes*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1973;4:327. 31.
- [29] Cuffini AM, Tullio V, Bonino A, Allocco A, Palarchio AI, Carlone NA. Entry of sanfetrinem into human polymorphonuclear granulocytes and its cell-associated activity against intracellular, penicillin-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1998;42:1745. 50.

- [30] Cuffini AM, Tullio V, Giacchino F, Mandras N, Scalas D, Belardi P, et al. Impact of co-amoxiclav on polymorphonuclear granulocytes from chronic hemodialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2001;37:1253. 9.
- [31] Tullio V, Cuffini AM, Banche G, Mandras N, Allizond V, Roana J, et al. Role of fosfomicin tromethamine in modulating non-specific defence mechanisms in chronic uremic patients towards ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli*. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol* 2008;21:153. 60.
- [32] Allen LA. Mechanisms of pathogenesis: evasion of killing by polymorphonuclear leukocytes. *Microbes Infect* 2003;5:1329. 35.
- [33] Voyich JM, Braughton KR, Sturdevant DE, Vuong C, Kobayashi SD, Porcella SF, et al. Engagement of the pathogen survival response used by group A *Streptococcus* to avert destruction by innate host defense. *J Immunol* 2004;173:1194. 201.
- [34] Voyich JM, Musser JM, DeLeo FR. *Streptococcus pyogenes* and human neutrophils: a paradigm for evasion of innate host defense by bacterial pathogens. *Microbes Infect* 2004;6:1117. 23.
- [35] Staali L, Bauer S, Mörgelin M, Björck L, Tapper H. *Streptococcus pyogenes* bacteria modulate membrane traffic in human neutrophils and selectively inhibit azurophilic granule fusion with phagosomes. *Cell Microbiol* 2006;8:690. 703.
- [36] Kobayashi SD, Braughton KR, Whitney AR, Voyich JM, Schwan TG, Musser JM, et al. Bacterial pathogens modulate an apoptosis differentiation program in human neutrophils. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003;100:10948. 53.

Table 1

Effect of erythromycin (ERY) at the MIC on human polymorphonuclear cell phagocytosis of erythromycin-sensitive (ERY^S) and erythromycin-resistant (ERY^R) *Streptococcus pyogenes* determined by phagocytosis assay

<i>S. pyogenes</i> phenotype	Time (min)	Phagocytosis (mean ± S.E.M.) (%)		
		Drug-free control	1× MIC ERY	
ERY ^S (MIC = 0.25 µg/mL)	30	51.2 ± 3.7	45.9 ± 4.16	
	60	42.5 ± 1.64	46.6 ± 4.05	
	90	44.9 ± 1.39	45.3 ± 4.1	
ERY ^R a				
	cMLS _B (MIC = 128 µg/mL)	30	41.8 ± 3.8	40.0 ± 1.25
		60	46.8 ± 4.2	45.2 ± 4.2
90		47.4 ± 4.5	46.9 ± 4.3	
M (MIC = 16 µg/mL)	30	58.9 ± 1.9	55.1 ± 4.1	
	60	48.3 ± 4.4	44.6 ± 4.4	
	90	37.1 ± 3.7	35.1 ± 3.4	
iMLS _B A (MIC = 128 µg/mL)	30	31.8 ± 1.8	29.9 ± 2.7	
	60	33.2 ± 2.4	33.0 ± 2.0	
	90	33.9 ± 3.3	33.4 ± 2.8	
iMLS _B B (MIC = 128 µg/mL)	30	47.9 ± 0.6	41.1 ± 3.8	
	60	38.2 ± 1.4	39.0 ± 1.9	
	90	36.2 ± 3.6	37.7 ± 0.9	
iMLS _B C (MIC = 8 µg/mL)	30	76.0 ± 7.6	73.6 ± 5.6	
	60	72.3 ± 4.01	70.8 ± 6.11	
	90	69.9 ± 0.9	76.2 ± 3.7	

MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; S.E.M., standard error of the mean; MLS_B, macrolide. lincosamide. streptogramin B.

^a Erythromycin resistance phenotypes include: constitutive (cMLS_B) and inducible (iMLS_B) resistance due to a methylase target site modification; and resistance due to active efflux (M phenotype).

Table 2

Effect of erythromycin (ERY) at the MIC and 0.25× MIC on human polymorphonuclear cell intracellular killing of erythromycin-sensitive (ERY^S) and erythromycin-resistant (ERY^R) *Streptococcus pyogenes* determined by intracellular killing assay

<i>S. pyogenes</i> phenotype	Time (min)	Survival index (mean ± S.E.M.)		
		Drug-free control	1×MIC ERY	0.25× MIC ERY
ERY ^S (MIC = 0.25 µg/mL)	30	1.42 ± 0.09	1.18 ± 0.04 *	1.20 ± 0.02 *
	60	>2	1.29 ± 0.06 *	1.26 ± 0.05 *
	90	>2	1.30 ± 0.05 *	1.32 ± 0.04 *
ERY ^R ^a				
cMLS _B (MIC = 128 µg/mL)	30	1.76 ± 0.06	1.38 ± 0.11 *	1.37 ± 0.13 *
	60	>2	1.75 ± 0.14 *	1.79 ± 0.10 *
	90	>2	1.84 ± 0.17 *	1.81 ± 0.15 *
M (MIC = 16 µg/mL)	30	1.69 ± 0.04	1.29 ± 0.10 *	1.32 ± 0.09 *
	60	>2	1.32 ± 0.07 *	1.30 ± 0.05 *
	90	>2	1.43 ± 0.12 *	1.42 ± 0.13 *
iMLS _B A (MIC = 128 µg/mL)	30	1.55 ± 0.11	1.45 ± 0.07	1.44 ± 0.04
	60	>2	1.67 ± 0.06 *	1.62 ± 0.08 *
	90	>2	1.82 ± 0.04 *	1.79 ± 0.07 *
iMLS _B B (MIC = 128 µg/mL)	30	1.85 ± 0.15	1.40 ± 0.09 *	1.43 ± 0.10 *
	60	>2	1.71 ± 0.03 *	1.70 ± 0.05 *
	90	>2	1.72 ± 0.07 *	1.74 ± 0.04 *
iMLS _B C (MIC = 8 µg/mL)	30	1.75 ± 0.06	1.27 ± 0.04 *	1.29 ± 0.06 *
	60	>2	1.36 ± 0.07 *	1.38 ± 0.08 *
	90	>2	1.42 ± 0.01 *	1.42 ± 0.03 *

* Significantly different from the drug-free controls ($P < 0.01$).

^a Erythromycin resistance phenotypes include: constitutive (cMLS_B) and inducible (iMLS_B) resistance due to a methylase target site modification; and resistance due to active efflux (M phenotype).