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Abstract

Lawsonite eclogites represent fossil records of processes occurring during subduction of cold and fast-subducting slabs, and provide the opportunity to investigate the thermal and metamorphic evolution of palaeosubduction zones. Occurrences of lawsonite eclogites are rare because lawsonite is often replaced during exhumation. We report here, for the first time, the occurrence of a lawsonite eclogite from the Monviso metaophiolite (Western Alps), representing the product of the Alpine metamorphism of a FeTi-oxide gabbro. The prograde metamorphic evolution of this lawsonite eclogite has been investigated using the petrologic approach of pseudosections. The reconstruction of its prograde $P–T$ evolution allowed us to monitor changes in chemical and physical properties (mineral assemblages and compositions, density, $H_2O$ content, oxygen fugacity) during subduction.

The pseudosection modelling suggests peak metamorphic conditions of $T \geq 550^\circ C$, $P = 25-26$ kbar, and a decrease in the thermal gradient during subduction from about $9^\circ C/km$ to less than $7^\circ C/km$, that may be interpreted as related to an increase in the subduction rate. During its prograde evolution, at a depth of about 65-70 km, the eclogite-facies metagabbro experienced a significant dehydration passing from the $\text{Grt}_1+\text{Omp}_1+\text{Lws}+\text{Chl}+\text{Qtz}+\text{Rt}$ assemblage (stage I) to the $\text{Grt}_2+\text{Omp}_2+\text{Tlc}+\text{Qtz}+\text{Rt}$ assemblage (stage II). The breakdown of lawsonite and chlorite caused the release of up to 3 wt% of $H_2O$ and also of oxygen, as modelled by the redox-equilibrium $\text{Lws}+\text{Qtz}+\text{Chl}+\text{Omp}_1 = \text{Grt}+\text{Omp}_2+H_2O+O_2$. This redox-equilibrium represents the boundary between an earlier, more-oxidized assemblage (stage I), stable at lower $T$, and a later, more-reduced assemblage (stage II), stable at higher $T$. These results have possible implications for the understanding of the complex interactions between crust and mantle in the subduction zones, especially in clarifying the processes locally involved in the oxidation of the mantle wedge overlying the subducting slab.
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INTRODUCTION
Detailed petrological studies of prograde metamorphism in metaophiolites can provide important insights into the evolution of palaeo-subduction zones, in terms of: (i) their thermal structures and evolution, and (ii) devolatilization reactions and metamorphic transformations occurring in the subducting slabs.

Several studies of heat flux and seismic activity carried out on present-day subduction zones (e.g. Royden & Husson, 2006; Schellart et al., 2007) have shown that, in general, fast-subducting slabs are characterized by low thermal gradients, whereas slow-subducting slabs have higher thermal gradients (e.g. Peacock & Wang, 1999). Changes in the thermal structure of a subduction zone may result from changes in its subduction rate, in turn related to the complex interplay between density and geometry of the slab, and to the viscosity structure of the surrounding mantle (e.g. Royden & Husson, 2006). Variations in the subduction rates are widely reported from currently active subduction zones and may be also inferred for palaeo-subduction environments on the basis of a careful reconstruction of the $P$–$T$–(t) evolution of metaophiolites (e.g. Groppo et al., 2009a).

Devolatilization reactions, fluid-rock interactions and metamorphic transformations occurring in subducting slabs play a key role in revealing the complex physical-chemical transformations of crust and mantle at convergent margins. Fluids released from the variably hydrated subducting slab are, in fact, considered as the primary source of volatiles for arc magmatism and fluid-induced seismicity (e.g. Hawkesworth et al., 1993; Parkinson & Arculus, 1999; Clift et al., 2001; Kerrick & Connolly, 2001b; Peslier et al., 2002; Forneris & Holloway, 2003; Hacker et al., 2003; Spandler et al., 2004). The dehydration history of the mafic portion of the subducting slab has been widely explored by high-pressure experiments since the last decades (e.g. Pawley & Holloway, 1993; Peacock, 1993; Yaxley & Green, 1994; Poli & Schmidt, 1995; Liu et al., 1996; Schmidt & Poli, 1998; Molina & Poli, 2000; Forneris & Holloway, 2003; Dasgupta et al., 2004; Dasgupta & Hirschmann, 2006; Poli et al., 2009). Theoretical modelling of stable mineral assemblages at given $P$–$T$ conditions has alternatively been used (e.g. Kerrick & Connolly, 1998, 2001a, b; Hacker et al., 2003; Rüpke et al., 2004). All these studies show that dehydration of the mafic portion of the subducting slab mainly occurs through continuous reactions that involve the breakdown of hydrated minerals at different depths. Although a significant fluid loss characterizes the shallowest portion of the subduction zone, variable amounts of $H_2O$ are still retained in hydrous assemblages at higher depths (e.g. Poli & Schmidt, 1995; Kerrick & Connolly, 2001b; Hacker et al., 2003; Poli et al., 2009). Lawsonite is among the most important $H_2O$-reservoirs, being able to accommodate up to 11.5 wt% $H_2O$ in its structure. Although lawsonite eclogites are predicted to be common at depths of 45-300 km in cold subduction zones (e.g. Poli & Schmidt, 1995; Kerrick & Connolly, 2001b; Hacker et al., 2003), lawsonite seldom survives exhumation (e.g. Tsujimori et al., 2006a; Ghent et al., 2009) because its preservation requires that exhumation be accompanied by substantial cooling (e.g. Clarke et al., 2006; Davis & Whitney, 2006, 2008). As a consequence, only about ten lawsonite-eclogite localities are currently known in Phanerozoic orogenic belts (see Tsujimori et al., 2006b, for a review).

In the Western Alps, the Monviso metamorphic ophiolite represents one of the best preserved relics of the oceanic lithosphere that formed during the opening of Mesozoic Western Alpine Tethys and underwent eclogite-facies metamorphism during the Alpine subduction. In spite of a number of papers devoted to the study of the magmatic protoliths and to the investigation of peak metamorphic conditions reached during Alpine subduction (Lombardo et al., 1978; Philippot,
1988; Blake et al., 1995; Messiga et al., 1999; Schwartz et al., 2000; Castelli et al., 2002; Castelli & Lombardo, 2007), very few data are available so far on the prograde evolution of the Monviso metaophiolites.

In this paper, we have investigated the prograde metamorphic evolution of a very well preserved eclogitized FeTi-oxide gabbro from the Monviso metaophiolite, using the petrologic approach of isochemical $P–T$ phase diagrams (or $P–T$ pseudosections). The first peculiarity of this eclogite is the occurrence of lawsonite preserved in the prograde cores of both garnet and omphacite. These findings, reported here for the first time for the whole Monviso metaophiolite, represent an important contribution to the understanding of subduction and exhumation processes of metaophiolites from the Western Alps. The second peculiarity of the studied sample is its Fe-rich bulk composition, that allowed the development of Fe-rich garnet and omphacite, both characterized by a strong prograde chemical zonation, with iron in both the oxidation states. The petrological modelling of the studied sample, in the MnNCFMASHTO model system, allowed us:

(i) to reconstruct the prograde evolution of the Basal Serpentinite Unit from the Monviso metaophiolite, not yet investigated so far. The obtained $P–T$ trajectory, which lies inside the lawsonite eclogite-facies field, gives information about the thermal structure of the palaeosubduction zone, characterized by a decrease in the thermal gradient from 9 to 6-7°C/km.

(ii) to monitor changes in chemical and physical properties (mineral assemblages, density, H$_2$O content) during subduction. We have investigated the main devolatilization reactions responsible for the dehydration of the metagabbro, and the consequences of dehydration process on the mineral assemblages and zoning.

(iii) to obtain information about the redox reactions occurring in the metagabbro during subduction, with possible implication for the understanding of the complex interaction between crust and mantle in the subduction zones.

**GEOLOGICAL SETTING**

The Monviso metamorphic ophiolite is a composite body, structurally sandwiched between the underlying Dora-Maira Massif and the other, dominantly metasedimentary, units of the ocean-derived Piedmont Zone (Fig. 1a). It is one of the best preserved relics of the oceanic crust in the Western Alps that formed between ca. 170 and ca. 150 Ma (Rubatto & Hermann, 2003; Lombardo et al., 2002) during opening of the Mesozoic Western Alpine Tethys and that underwent eclogite-facies metamorphism during the Eocenic Alpine subduction (45 ± 1 Ma – Rubatto & Hermann, 2003). It consists of two different types of units: (i) magma-rich units, in which a relatively thick basaltic layer caps gabbros and serpentinitized peridotites (Vallanta, Forciolline, Viso Mozzo and Lago Superiore Units); (ii) magma-poor units in which serpentinitized peridotites are directly covered by a thin sedimentary sequence (Passo Gallarino and Basal Serpentinite Units) (Fig. 1b, c) (Lombardo et al., 1978; Lagabrielle & Lemoine, 1997; Castelli & Lombardo, 2007, with refs.).

Previous petrological works on the Monviso metaophiolite units were mainly dedicated to the estimate of peak $P–T$ conditions experienced during subduction. $P–T$ estimates were obtained for FeTi-oxide metagabbros, MgAl metagabbros, metabasalts and metaplagiogranites occurring at different structural positions, using different petrological approaches, i.e. conventional thermobarometry (Grt-Cpx and Grt-Phe thermometers, Jd-in-omphacite and Si-in-Phe barometers), $P–T$ grids and average $P–T$ methods of Thermocalc (Blake et al., 1995; Messiga et al., 1999; Schwartz et al., 2000; Castelli et al., 2002). Although all these studies concluded that peak
metamorphism occurred under very low thermal gradient (4-9°C/km), the estimated peak $P$–$T$ conditions are not constant among the different units and range from a maximum of $620 \pm 50^\circ C$, $24 \pm 1$ kbar (Messiga et al., 1999 – Lago Superiore Unit) to a minimum of $450 \pm 50^\circ C$, $12 \pm 3$ kbar (Schwartz et al., 2000 – Viso Mozzo and Passo Gallarino Units). Blake et al. (1995) and Schwartz et al. (2000) documented a common exhumation history under blueschist-facies conditions for all the Monviso units. On the contrary, the prograde metamorphic evolution of the Monviso metaophiolite was never investigated and no lawsonite relics have been reported so far in any of the Monviso Units.

In the magma-poor, Basal Serpentinite Unit (BSU) of Varaita Valley, a primary plagiogranite - FeTi-oxide gabbro association is exposed (Fig. 1c), which testifies of an evolved tholeiite magma within ultramafic oceanic crust at late fractional crystallization stages (Castelli et al., 2002; Castelli & Lombardo, 2007). Due to the Alpine tectono-metamorphic reworking, the plagiogranite was extensively recrystallized into fine-grained and massive, jadeite- ± quartz ± phengite ± garnet ± ferroglaucophane rocks, locally cut by albite-quartz-bearing leucocratic layers that were interpreted as late-magmatic dikes. The FeTi-oxide gabbro, from which the studied sample was collected, was converted into omphacite + garnet + rutile ± glaucophane eclogite that forms a discontinuous envelope around the metaplagiogranite body or occurs as decimetre-thick folded boudins within the same metaplagiogranite. Preliminary $P$–$T$ estimates (based on calculated phase compatibilities and phengite geobarometry) indicated $T > 575^\circ C$ and $P > 19.5$ kbar for the peak Alpine assemblage in the metaplagiogranite body, in agreement with garnet-clinopyroxene geothermometry from the associated FeTi-oxide metagabbro samples which yielded $T = 545 \pm 35^\circ C$ at $P = 20$ kbar (Castelli et al., 2002).

Mineral assemblages and bulk-rock compositions of the BSU metaplagiogranite - FeTi-oxide metagabbro association were given in Castelli & Lombardo (2007). The FeTi-oxide metagabbro samples (see inset in Fig. 1c for their location) are characterized by very similar microstructures (compare Fig. SM1, available as Supplementary Material), mineral assemblages and bulk-rock compositions (Castelli & Lombardo, 2007; see also Table 4). A detailed petrographic re-investigation of all the FeTi-oxide metagabbros (ten samples from the same area) allowed us to recognise, firstly in the Monviso metaophiolite, the occurrence of lawsonite relics in both garnet and omphacite cores of the OF2727 metagabbro sample.

**PETROGRAPHY AND MINERAL CHEMISTRY**

The FeTi-oxide metagabbro OF2727 is a fine-grained rock with an exceptionally preserved high-pressure assemblage. It consists of omphacite, garnet and rutile with minor blue amphibole and very minor lawsonite, talc and jadeite (Fig. 2: Figs. SM1 and SM2, available as Supplementary Material). Microstructural relics of the magmatic assemblage are represented by few millimetre-large clinopyroxene porphyroclasts, completely re-equilibrated as omphacite during the high-pressure metamorphic event, and by rutile aggregates grown at the expenses of interstitial magmatic ilmenite. Omphacite and rutile aggregates are slightly oriented to define a weak foliation. Representative analyses of garnet, clinopyroxene, amphibole, talc, lawsonite and chlorite are given in Tables 1-2; analytical methods are described in Appendix A. Mineral abbreviations are from Bucher & Frey (2002).

Both garnet and omphacite are strongly zoned (Figs. 2b-d, 3; Fig. SM3a-b available as Supplementary Material). Garnet occurs as small idiomorphs (up to 0.5 mm in diameter) with reddish core (Grt\textsubscript{i}) crowded of small inclusions of omphacite and minor lawsonite (Fig. 2e), glaucophane
and chlorite, and a pinkish rim (Grt 2) with minor, but larger, inclusions of omphacite and very minor talc. The textural zoning of garnet corresponds to a sharp chemical zoning. Mn shows a bell-shaped pattern typical of prograde zoning ($X_{\text{Mn}} = 0.24 \rightarrow 0.00$ from core to rim), balanced by an increase in both $X_{\text{Mg}}$ and $X_{\text{Fe}}$ ($X_{\text{Mg}} = 0.01 \rightarrow 0.12$, $X_{\text{Fe}} = 0.56 \rightarrow 0.82$ from core to rim, respectively). Fe$^{3+}$ shows the same bell-shaped trend as Mn, reaching the maximum values in the core ($Fe^{3+}/\Sigma Fe = 0.11$) and progressively decreasing toward the rim ($Fe^{3+}/\Sigma Fe = 0.00$). Ca zoning is more complex, slightly increasing from the inner core to the outer core and decreasing toward the rim ($X_{\text{Ca}} = 0.21 \rightarrow 0.27 \rightarrow 0.11$) (Fig. 3; Fig. SM4, available as Supplementary Material) [$X_{\text{Mn}} = \text{Mn}/(\text{Ca}+\text{Mg}+\text{Fe}^{2+}+\text{Mn})$; $X_{\text{Mg}} = \text{Mg}/(\text{Ca}+\text{Mg}+\text{Fe}^{2+}+\text{Mn})$; $X_{\text{Ca}} = \text{Ca}/(\text{Ca}+\text{Mg}+\text{Fe}^{2+}+\text{Mn})$; $X_{\text{Fe}} = \text{Fe}^{2+}/(\text{Ca}+\text{Mg}+\text{Fe}^{2+}+\text{Mn})$; $Fe^{3+}/\Sigma Fe = Fe^{3+}/(Fe^{3+}+Fe^{2+})$]. The transition from the reddish core (Grt 1) to the pinkish rim (Grt 2) is marked by an abrupt decrease in $X_{\text{Ca}}$ balanced by an increase in $X_{\text{Mg}}$. Locally, a slight increase in $X_{\text{Mn}}$ suggests that partial resorption occurred at garnet rim (Fig. 3).

Four Na-clinopyroxene types, occurring in different microstructural sites, have been recognized. Omp 1 consists of scanty inclusions in the inner garnet core, with $X_{\text{Aeg}} = 0.29–0.37$, $X_{\text{Jd}} = 0.22–0.26$ and $X_{\text{Mg}}(\text{Fe tot}) = 0.36–0.44$. A more jadeitic pyroxene ($X_{\text{Aeg}} = 0.09–0.24$; $X_{\text{Jd}} = 0.58–0.69$, in the following referred to as Jd ss) occurs in the same microstructural position as Omp 1; although Jd ss and Omp 1 rarely show equilibrium contacts (Fig. 2f), their occurrence in the inner garnet cores would suggest they were coexisting clinopyroxenes. Omp 2 occurs both in the deep green core of matrix nematoblasts (Fig. 2b) and as inclusions in garnet core (Grt 1) (Fig. 2e), whereas Omp 3 forms the light green rim of the matrix nematoblasts (Fig. 2b) and it is locally included in garnet rim (Grt 2) (Fig. 3). Omp 2 has higher $X_{\text{Aeg}}$ and lower $X_{\text{Jd}}$ and $X_{\text{Mg}}$ [$X_{\text{Aeg}} = 0.35–0.28$; $X_{\text{Jd}} = 0.25–0.35$; $X_{\text{Mg}}(\text{Fe tot}) = 0.40–0.50$; aegirine-augite according to the classification of Morimoto et al., 1988] than Omp 3 [$X_{\text{Aeg}} = 0.24–0.14$; $X_{\text{Jd}} = 0.35–0.45$; $X_{\text{Mg}}(\text{Fe tot}) = 0.52–0.66$] (Fig. 4 and Tables 1, 3) [$X_{\text{Aeg}} = Fe^{3+}/(Fe^{3+}+Al^{VI}+Fe^{2+}+Mg)$; $X_{\text{Jd}} = Al/(Fe^{3+}+Al^{VI}+Fe^{2+}+Mg)$; $X_{\text{Mg}}(\text{Fe tot}) = Mg/(Mg+Fe)$]. The large clinopyroxene porphyroclasts, interpreted as microstructural relics of the magmatic assemblage, are patchily zoned omphacites, compositionally similar to either Omp 2 or Omp 3.

Blue amphibole (glaucophane according to the Na-amphibole classification of Leake et al., 1997) is a minor phase occurring in two different microstructures: (i) rare prograde inclusions (Gln 1) occurring in the inner core of garnet, with $X_{\text{Mg}}(\text{Fe tot}) = 0.52–0.58$, and (ii) a retrograde glaucophane occurring as small idiomorphs (Gln 2) statically overgrowing the weakly oriented omphacite and rutile of the matrix (Fig. 2a) and showing the same composition of Gln 1.

Lawsonite is rare and mainly preserved as small inclusions in both garnet (Grt 1) and omphacite (Omp 2) cores (Figs. 2d-e). Larger lawsonite inclusions in garnet are generally replaced by fine-grained aggregates of epidote ($Zo=70-87$) and paragonite (Figs. 2e-g-h and 3). Lawsonite has not been observed in the matrix, either as preserved crystals or as its pseudomorphic replacements. Scanty talc ($X_{\text{Fe}} = 0.15–0.19$) is included both in the garnet rim (Grt 2) and in the omphacite porphyroclasts. Very rare chlorite flakes have been observed in the inner garnet core (Grt 1) (Fig. 3) and interpreted as preserved relics of a prograde assemblage. Finally, rare quartz has been observed as inclusions in both omphacite and garnet (Fig. 2b).

In spite of the relatively simple paragenesis, garnet and omphacite zoning together with the distribution of inclusions in garnet allow to define a prograde metamorphic evolution, which is summarized in Table 3. Two different pyroxenes (Jd ss + Omp 1) were stable at relatively low-$T$, before and at the onset of garnet growth. Coexisting jadeitic pyroxene + omphacite pairs in natural
assemblages are rare (e.g. Matsumoto & Hirajima, 2005; Tsujimori et al., 2005, 2006a, b; Miyazoe et al., 2009) and often due to the presence of a T-dependent miscibility gap approximately located at Jd$_{60}$–Jd$_{85}$, (e.g. Green et al., 2007). Compositions of Omp$_1$ (Jd$_{22}$–26) and Jd$_{ss}$ (Jd$_{58}$–69) in sample OF2727 lie outside this generally accepted miscibility gap. These compositions could be alternatively due to “mosaic equilibrium” phenomena (i.e. Jd-rich and Jd-poor clinopyroxenes grew in the former plagioclase- and pyroxene- microdomains, respectively) (e.g. Korzhinskii, 1959). Garnet core (Grt$_1$) then grew in equilibrium with a single omphacitic clinopyroxene (Omp$_2$), lawsonite and rutile, whereas the growth of garnet rim (Grt$_2$) coincides with: (i) a change in the omphacite composition (Omp$_3$), (ii) the disappearance of lawsonite and (iii) the development of talc. Na-amphibole (Gln$_2$) is a later phase, statically overgrowing the prograde- and peak-parageneses.

PSEUDOSECTION MODELLING

Pseudosection modelling is currently recognized as one of the most powerful method to gain thermobarometric information on rocks, because it provides a framework to interpret both textural information and mineral compositions in terms of P–T evolution (e.g. Powell & Holland, 2008). The mafic system has been widely investigated in the last decades (e.g. Clarke et al., 1997, 2006; Will et al., 1998; Carson et al., 1999; Štípská & Powell, 2005; Davis & Whitney, 2006, 2008; Groppo et al., 2007, 2009a) but until few years ago modelling of Fe$^{3+}$-bearing systems was hampered by the lack of solid-solution models for Fe$^{3+}$-bearing mineral end-members (Will et al., 1998; Wei et al., 2003). Following the pioneering work of Warren & Waters (2006) on Fe$^{3+}$-bearing eclogites and blueschists from Oman, and thanks to recent improvements in the solution models of omphacite (Green et al., 2007) and amphibole (Diener et al. 2007), oxidized systems begin to be successfully investigated (e.g. Groppo et al., 2009b; Wei et al., 2009). We have therefore used this approach and details on the pseudosection calculations are given in Appendix B.

Bulk-rock composition

Bulk-rock composition of sample OF2727 has been estimated by two different methods: (i) average of 15 SEM-EDS analyses of 4.70 × 3.20 mm selected areas within a polished thin section, and (ii) combining mineral modes and compositions (see Appendix A, Table SM1 and Fig. SM2 for details). These compositions have been compared with the ICP-MS analysis of the same sample (Castelli & Lombardo 2007, their Table 2). Bulk compositions obtained with methods (i) and (ii) are very similar and both slightly differ from the ICP-MS analysis for their lower CaO and Al$_2$O$_3$ contents (Table 4, Fig. SM4 available as Supplemetnray Material). These little differences may account for the presence of scanty epidote-bearing domains in the hand specimen crushed for ICP-MS analysis, in analogy with those observed in other samples collected from the same area. We have therefore used the bulk composition after method (i) because of its statistical significance and the better microstructural control. Bulk compositions of other FeTi-oxide metagabbros from the Western Alps (Monviso metaophiolites: Castelli & Lombardo, 2007; Lanzo and Rocciavrè massifs: Pognante et al., 1982, Pognante & Toscani, 1985; Aosta Valley: Bocchio et al., 2000) are reported for a comparison in Table 4 and Fig. SM4.

Fractionation effects on the bulk-rock compositions

The occurrence of strongly zoned garnet porphyroblasts likely caused chemical fractionation of the bulk-rock composition, due to the preferential sequestration of some elements in the garnet cores. In
this case a single pseudosection, calculated on the base of the bulk-rock composition, is inadequate to model the entire evolution of the rock: modelling separate pseudosections using the effective composition representative of each equilibration volume will result in a much more accurate reconstruction of the $P$–$T$ path. Several methods have been proposed to calculate the effective bulk composition modified because of elemental partitioning related to garnet growth (Stüwe, 1997; Marmo et al., 2002; Evans, 2004; Zuluaga et al., 2005; Gaidies et al., 2006; Groppo & Rolfo, 2008; Groppo et al., 2009a). For the studied sample, bulk compositions effectively reacting during each stages of garnet growth have been calculated following the method described by Evans (2004) and Gaidies et al. (2006). This method applies a Rayleigh fractionation model based on measured Mn content of garnet and requires that a strong correlation between the concentration of Mn vs. Fe, Mg and Ca in garnet exists. In order to model the bulk-composition fractionation as a continuous process, several growth increments should be considered for garnet (in other words, the more the growth increments used, the more detailed will be the modelling of fractionation effects). For this reason, garnet zoning has been divided in eight growth shells, on the basis of the Mn content and the core vs. rim size (Fig. SM5a, available as Supplementary Material). Garnets with the highest and similar MnO contents have been selected as representative of garnet crystals sectioned through the actual core. Garnet core has been divided in five shells (Grt$_{1a}$→e), while garnet rim has been divided in three shells (Grt$_{2a}$→c). The studied sample shows significant fractionations effects (Fig. SM5, available as Supplementary Material) and eight different bulk compositions have been then considered (Table 4), representative of equilibrium compositions during the growth of Grt$_{1a}$→Grt$_{2c}$.

Although omphacite is also zoned, bulk-composition fractionation due to its growth has not been considered, mainly because the compositional differences between Omp$_{2}$ and Omp$_{3}$ are much less pronounced with respect to those between Grt$_{1}$ and Grt$_{2}$.

**Estimation of Fe$_2$O$_3$ in the modelled bulk compositions**

The bulk Fe$_2$O$_3$/(FeO+Fe$_2$O$_3$) ratio [in the following referred to as X(Fe$_2$O$_3$) = Fe$_2$O$_3$/(FeO+Fe$_2$O$_3$) mol%] appears to change during the rock metamorphic evolution as testified by the different Fe$^{3+}$ content in the omphacite and garnet cores (Omp$_{2}$, Grt$_{1}$) and rims (Omp$_{3}$, Grt$_{2}$), respectively. Omphacite and garnet zoning suggests that the X(Fe$_2$O$_3$) decreased during the rock evolution; moreover, the abrupt transition from Grt$_{1}$ to Grt$_{2}$ and from Omp$_{2}$ (included in Grt$_{1}$) to Omp$_{3}$ (included in Grt$_{2}$) suggests that the decrease in X(Fe$_2$O$_3$) occurred rapidly and discontinuously and coincides with the transition from garnet core to garnet rim (i.e. stages I→II of Table 3). As a consequence, the X(Fe$_2$O$_3$) ratio in the bulk-rock composition cannot be directly measured from the sample, because X(Fe$_2$O$_3$) values obtained by conventional bulk-methods (e.g. wet chemical determination) or by combining mineral modes and compositions are not representative of equilibrium composition at each modelled stage of the metagabbro evolution.

The X(Fe$_2$O$_3$) during stages I and II was therefore estimated using a “best-fit” criterion between modelled isopleths and measured compositions of garnet and omphacite. More in detail, several $P$–$T$ pseudosections have been calculated using different X(Fe$_2$O$_3$) values, ranging from X(Fe$_2$O$_3$) = 0.10 to X(Fe$_2$O$_3$) = 0.25 mol%. For each stage, the X(Fe$_2$O$_3$) ratio has been considered correct when the modelled compositional isopleths of both garnet and omphacite intersect each others, fitting the measured mineral compositions. Conversely, if the observed mineral assemblages and compositions are not matched by a field in the pseudosection, this indicates that the composition of the equilibration volume has been incorrectly assigned (Powell & Holland, 2008).
Fig. SM6a, b (available as Supplementary Material) show that for $X(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3) \leq 0.15$ and $X(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3) \geq 0.25$ mol%, Grt$_1$ and Omp$_2$ compositional isopleths do not show any intersection, whereas for $X(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3) = 0.20$ mol%, the modelled Grt$_1$ and Omp$_2$ compositional isopleths intersect themselves in the omphacite + chlorite + garnet + lawsonite + quartz + rutile field, which is consistent with the inferred prograde assemblage (cf. Table 3). Therefore, in order to model the stage I $P$–$T$ conditions, corresponding to the growth of garnet and omphacite cores (Grt$_1$ and Omp$_2$) a $X(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3) = 0.20$ mol% has been used. The stage II $P$–$T$ conditions, corresponding to the growth of garnet and omphacite rims (Grt$_2$ and Omp$_3$), has been modelled using a lower $X(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3) = 0.15$ mol%, for which the modelled Grt$_2$ and Omp$_3$ isopleths intersect themselves (Fig. SM6a).

For comparison, the $X(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)$ values measured on the whole sample using two different techniques – wet chemistry and mineral modes and compositions (see Appendix A for further details) – are reported in Table 4. The wet chemistry method (data from Castelli & Lombardo, 2007) gives a $X(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)$ slightly higher (0.25 mol%) than those estimated using the "best-fit" criterion (0.20 and 0.15 mol% for stages I and II, respectively), and this is consistent with the presence of scanty epidote-bearing domains in the sample crushed for wet chemistry analysis, as previously suggested. Similar $X(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)$ values, in the range of 0.12–0.26 mol% are also reported for other Fe-Ti oxide metagabbros from the Monviso metaophiolites (Castelli & Lombardo, 2007 and Table 4) and from the Aosta Valley in the Western Alps (Bocchio et al., 2000). Conversely, the $X(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)$ value measured by combining mineral modes and compositions (0.17 mol%, Table 4) is intermediate between those estimated for stage I and stage II, thus confirming the reliability of the two values here adopted by using the "best-fit" criterion.

RESULTS

The prograde $P$–$T$ path

Eight different pseudosections (1a-1e and 2a-2c for stages I and II, respectively) have been modelled for the studied sample, based on different bulk compositions representing equilibrium compositions during the growth of Grt$_{1a}\rightarrow$Grt$_{2c}$ (cf. Table 4) and, as explained above, at $X(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3) = 0.20$ and $X(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3) = 0.15$, respectively. Modelled compositional isopleths of garnet ($X_{\text{Ca}}$ and $X_{\text{Mg}}$) and omphacite ($X_{\text{Aeg}}$) have been used to constrain $P$–$T$ conditions of garnet growth, from stage I (Grt$_{1a-e}$ and Omp$_2$) to stage II (Grt$_{2a-c}$ and Omp$_3$). Results are given in Figs. 5, SM7a-d (available as Supplementary Material) and summarized in Fig. 6. The estimated modal amounts of each phases and the H$_2$O wt% are also reported in Table 5. Garnet compositions provide good constraints on temperatures, whereas pressures are better constrained for stage I (Grt$_{1a-e}$) with respect to stage II (Grt$_{2a-c}$) (Fig. 5, 6 and Table 5), for which $X_{\text{Ca}}$ and $X_{\text{Mg}}$ isopleths of garnet cut at smaller angles.

Pseudosection modelling shows that the studied eclogite well preserves the metamorphic assemblages that developed along a segment of its subduction path from about 420°C, 16 kbar to 550°C, 25–26 kbar (Fig. 6). The modelled peak temperature must be considered as a minimum, because garnet is partially resorbed at its rim (Fig. 3) and the highest $X_{\text{Mg}}$ values may have been possibly resetted during (the albeit scanty) retrogression. As a consequence of this $P$–$T$ trajectory, the eclogite-facies metagabbro passed from the hydrated Grt+Omp+Lws+Chl+Qtz+Rt assemblage (stage I) to the nearly anhydrous Grt+Omp+Tlc+Qtz+Rt assemblage (stage II). More in detail, the transition from stage I to stage II (i.e. from Grt$_{1e}$ to Grt$_{2a}$) corresponds to an abrupt decrease of the modelled water content in the system (H$_2$O = 3.5→1.7 wt%, see Table 5 and Fig. 7a), marked by
the complete destabilization of lawsonite and chlorite that also accounts for an abrupt increase in density (Table 5, Fig. 7b).

Our pseudosections in the given $P$–$T$ range do not model two microstructural observations: (i) the coexistence of Omp$_1$ and Jd$_{ss}$ in the inner garnet core (Grt$_{1a-b}$), interpreted as earlier than garnet growth, and (ii) the stability of Gln$_1$ in the same metamorphic stage. However, additional $P$–$T$ pseudosection modelling at lower pressure and temperature shows that two clinopyroxenes and glaucophane are stable at $P < 14$ kbar and $T < 300$°C, suggesting that Omp$_1$, Jd$_{ss}$ and Gln$_1$ are relics of a prograde assemblage that developed during an earlier stage of subduction.

The studied sample provides little information on its retrograde evolution. Glaucophane in the matrix (Gln$_2$), which has been interpreted as an early retrograde phase on the basis of microstructural evidence, is predicted to be stable at $T$ slightly higher than the modelled peak conditions (Am in Fig. 5c) and the Gln-in curve has a positive slope. Considering that the modelled peak temperature must be considered as a minimum, the growth of glaucophane appears to be compatible with the very early stages of retrogression at $P < 23$ kbar and $T < 560$°C. Finally, most of the coarse-grained lawsonite included in garnet has been replaced by epidote + paragonite aggregates (Fig. 2e-g-h). The presence of paragonite in the breakdown assemblage of lawsonite suggests that the reaction is not isochemical. Lü et al. (2009) suggested that the required Na could derive from the jadeite component of the frequent omphacite inclusions in garnet, according to the reaction: Lws + Jd = Czo + Pg + Qtz + H$_2$O. There is no evidence of such reaction in the OF2727 sample, however it is worth noting that epidote + paragonite aggregates replaced the coarser-grained lawsonite inclusions, which were most likely polymineralic, whereas the finer-grained monomineralic inclusions are still preserved.

**The dehydration reactions**

The modelled H$_2$O amounts at each stage are summarized in Table 5 and Fig. 7a. These data show that the dehydration of the system mainly occurred at the transition between stage I and stage II (Fig. 7a), i.e. at the highest $T$-side of the quini-variant Lws+Qtz+Chl+Omp+Grt+Rt field (Fig. 5b, composition 1e), where the H$_2$O content decreases from about 3.5 wt% to less than 1.7 wt% (Table 5 and Fig. 7a). The devolatilization reactions responsible for this significant dehydration have been calculated for composition 1e in the simplified system NCFMASTHO at 460<$T<$500°C, 20<$P<$22 kbar (i.e. the $P$–$T$ conditions estimated for the growth of Grt$_{1a}$). More details on the calculations of dehydration and redox reactions are given in Appendix C.

Due to the approximation by discrete steps of the continuous compositional variation of the phases involved (garnet, omphacite and chlorite), the dehydration reactions appear as pseudo-univariant equilibrium curves (Connolly & Kerrick, 1987). Two types of pseudo-univariant equilibria occur in the Lws+Qtz+Chl+Omp+Grt+Rt field (Fig. 8):

(A) Lws + Qtz + Omp$_1$ + Chl = Omp$_2$ + Grt + H$_2$O;

(B) Lws + Qtz + Chl$_1$ + Omp$_1$ = Chl$_2$ + Omp$_2$ + Grt + H$_2$O.

Type (A) equilibria are responsible for the breakdown of both lawsonite and chlorite, whereas type (B) equilibria involve the breakdown of lawsonite and a chemical re-equilibration of both omphacite and chlorite. In both equilibria types garnet only occurs as a product, and quartz (present in very low amount) is completely consumed. The H$_2$O content of the system rapidly decreases from > 3.5 wt% to < 1.4 wt% (Fig. 8). For both equilibria types (A) and (B), the breakdown of lawsonite and chlorite is associated to the growth of garnet and to a significant chemical re-equilibration of omphacite. Compositions of both reactant and product phases vary across the
Lws+Qtz+Chl+Omp+Grt+Rt field: at increasing temperature, Chl_2 is Mg-richer than Chl_1 and, in agreement with the measured compositions, Omp_2 is enriched in the diopсидic component and depleted in the aegirine component with respect to Omp_1, whereas garnet is progressively enriched in the pyrope component (Fig. 8). Intersections between type (A) and (B) pseudo-univariant curves are pseudo-invariant points that mark a change in composition of chlorite and omphacite along type (A) and (B) equilibria, respectively.

**Redox reactions during subduction**

In order to evaluate the redox reactions occurring during the dehydration of the system, an isobaric $T$-$\log f(O_2)$ projection has been calculated at $P = 21$ kbar (i.e. at pressure averaging the growth of Grt_1) in the simplified system NCFMASTHO (Fig. 9) (see Appendix C for further details).

Because $P$ has been fixed, the pseudo-univariant dehydration equilibria (A1-A9, B1-B4) of Fig. 8 correspond to pseudo-invariant points in Fig. 9, and they univocally define the $\log f(O_2)$ of the assemblage at given $P$ and $T$. Changing pressure has a very little influence on the position of pseudo-invariant points [±0.1 log($f(O_2)$) units for $\Delta P = ±1$ kbar]. Fig. 9 shows that the $\log f(O_2)$ of the system progressively increases [log($f(O_2)$)=-22.8→-20.9] with increasing temperatures from stage I to stage II (i.e. the observed Grt_1→2 and Omp_2→3 transition).

The pseudo-invariant points (A1-A9, B1-B4) in the $T$-$\log f(O_2)$ projection of Fig. 9 are connected by pseudo-univariant equilibria (r1-r12) that define the more general equilibrium: Lws+Qtz+Chlss+Omp1ss= Grtss+Omp2ss+H_2O+O_2. The broken shape of this equilibrium is due to the approximation of the continuous variation in the solid-solution compositions by discrete steps (pseudocompounds). Equilibria r1-r12 correspond to devolatilization reactions that produce H_2O and O_2 up-temperatures, and divide the $T$-$\log f(O_2)$ space in two fields: on the more oxidized side of the diagram the Lws+Qtz+Omp1+Chl_1 assemblage is stable, whereas on the more reduced side is stable the Grt+Omp_2+H_2O+O_2 assemblage. Moving along this equilibrium from 460°C to 500°C, chlorite, omphacite and garnet progressively change their compositions. Crossing the Lws+Qtz+Chlss+Omp1ss= Grtss+Omp2ss+H_2O+O_2 equilibrium towards higher-$T$, H_2O and O_2 are released. Particularly: (i) for one mole of lawsonite + chlorite consumed up-$T$, about 2.0-2.7 moles of H_2O are released on average, and (ii) for one mole of omphacite (Omp_2ss) produced up-$T$, about one mole of oxygen is released on average (Fig. 9). As a consequence, the resulting assemblage (Grtss+Omp2ss) at $T = 500$°C is less hydrated and less oxidized than the initial one (Lws+Qtz+Chlss+Omp1ss) at $T = 460$°C, accounting for the sharp compositional variation in both omphacite and garnet from stage I to stage II. This means that the Lws+Qtz+Chlss+Omp1ss= Grtss+Omp2ss+H_2O+O_2 equilibrium represents the boundary between a more oxidized assemblage (at lower $T$) and a more reduced assemblage (at higher $T$).

**Reliability of the results**

The approach used to infer the $P$–$T$–$f(O_2)$ conditions attained during the subduction of the Monviso FeTi-oxide metagabbro deserves some words of caution about the following points:

(i) Although we have demonstrated that a small amount of ferric iron occurs in garnet, the “well-constrained” Fe$^{3+}$-free solution model of Holland & Powell (1998) has been preferred for pseudosection calculations with respect to the Fe$^{3+}$-bearing model of White *et al.* (2007). This latter model, in fact, has been developed in the pelitic NCKFMASHTO system and the authors themselves warn that the introduction of the end-member thermodynamics and $a$–$x$ relationships for
spessartine will likely give unreliable or erroneous results if used in conjunction with their model. As garnet cores in the studied sample are considerably richer in Mn than in Fe$^{3+}$, we have preferred to neglect the influence of Fe$^{3+}$ for the stabilization of garnet. This choice mainly affects the $P$–$T$ conditions estimated for the Grt$_1$ growing stages, which contain the maximum Fe$^{3+}$ contents (especially Grt$_{1a-c}$, for which Fe$^{3+}/Σ$Fe>0.05), and probably results in some underestimation of the $P$–$T$ conditions (about 1 kbar and 10°C, respectively) inferred for the growth of garnet core.

(ii) The Fe$^{3+}/Σ$Fe ratio in omphacite has not been directly measured but only calculated by stoichiometry from EMP analyses. Previous studies (e.g. Sobolev et al., 1999; Schmid et al., 2003; Proyer et al., 2004) have demonstrated that in many cases there is no direct correlation between EMP-calculated values and values measured using other techniques such as Mössbauer or micro-XANES spectroscopies. This could obviously affect the validity of our results, which are also based on the best fit between modelled and calculated omphacite compositions. In omphacite, the precision in determination of SiO$_2$ and Na$_2$O largely controls the Fe$^{3+}/Σ$Fe values based on stoichiometry (e.g. Sobolev et al., 1999). Omphacite crystals in the studied sample have been analysed using both WDS and EDS techniques, with different analytical conditions and calibration standards: the $X_{Aeg}$ and $X_{Jd}$ ratios calculated by stoichiometry from the two set of analyses are comparable (WDS - Omp$_2$: $X_{Jd}$ = 0.24–0.34, $X_{Aeg}$ = 0.30–0.35; Omp$_3$: $X_{Jd}$ = 0.36–0.38, $X_{Aeg}$ = 0.17–0.18; EDS - Omp$_2$: $X_{Jd}$ = 0.28–0.32, $X_{Aeg}$ = 0.31–0.33; Omp$_3$: $X_{Jd}$ = 0.38–0.39, $X_{Aeg}$ = 0.18–0.19). In addition, special care has been used in determining the Na content using the WDS technique, by decreasing the acquisition time to avoid Na loss. We are therefore confident that the calculated Fe$^{3+}/Σ$Fe ratios are reliable approximation of the actual redox state of omphacite.

(iii) Pseudosection modelling suggests that chlorite was stable during the prograde evolution. As is the case for other phyllosilicates, the substitution of Al$^{3+}$ for Fe$^{3+}$ may also occur in chlorite and the presence of Fe$^{3+}$ will affect the activity of chlorite end-members. However, it has been neglected in the modelling due to the lack of thermodynamic data for the Fe$^{3+}$-chlorite end-member. Vidal et al. (2006) demonstrated that there is a strong correlation between the Fe$^{3+}$ content of chlorite and temperature, and that only at T<250°C the Fe$^{3+}/Σ$Fe ratio of chlorite is higher than 0.10. We are therefore confident that, at the temperatures considered in the modelling (T>400°C), the influence of Fe$^{3+}$ in chlorite may be neglected.

**DISCUSSION**

**The $P$–$T$ evolution of the Basal Serpentinite Unit**

The exceptionally well preserved prograde assemblage of the studied FeTi-oxide eclogite-facies metagabbro allowed us to reconstruct in detail a segment of the Basal Serpentinite Unit prograde evolution, from about 420°C, 16 kbar to peak conditions of ≥550°C, 25-26 kbar (Fig. 6). The first stages of evolution (i.e. growth of Grt$_{1a-c}$) should be considered with care, because a number of problems could induce uncertainties on the reliability of the constrained $P$–$T$ conditions (see the above discussion). The estimated peak conditions are very similar to that obtained by Messiga et al. (1999) for a chloritoid + talc-bearing metagabbro from the Lago Superiore Unit, structurally upward in the Monviso metaophiolites, whereas $P$ estimates are considerably higher than those proposed by Blake et al. (1995) for the same Basal Serpentinite Unit. However, pressures obtained by Blake et al. (1995) were only minimum pressures, because they were based on the Jd-in-omphacite barometer.
Previous authors did not report $P-T$ constraints for the prograde stages of metamorphism in the Monviso metaophiolites: thus, in this paper we propose the first reconstruction of the prograde evolution for a Monviso unit. Our data show that subduction occurred along very low thermal gradients, typical of cold subduction zones and compatible with the lawsonite formation (e.g. Liou et al., 2004). More in detail, it is evident that the thermal gradient changed through time during subduction, decreasing from about 9°C/km to less than 7°C/km (assuming an average density of 3.0 g/cm$^3$) (Fig. 6). A similar decrease of the thermal gradient in the oceanic Piedmonte Zone subducting slab has been described in the Zermatt-Saas Zone of Western Alps for the ultra-high pressure Lago di Cignana Unit and its adjoining units (Groppo et al., 2009a), and interpreted as the evidence of a progressive increase in the subduction rate. This interpretation is consistent with observations and models that require a significant length of slab to be present at mantle depth to act as an engine for subduction (Royden & Husson, 2006).

The lawsonite breakdown during subduction and the devolatilization reactions
The data presented in this paper show that eclogitization of the studied metagabbro was accompanied by a significant prograde loss of fluids, mainly related to the breakdown of lawsonite and chlorite. This conclusion is in line with the results of Philippot & Selverstone (1991) and Nadeau et al. (1993) on similar rocks from the same area (Lago Superiore Unit, directly overlying the Basal Serpentinite Unit). However, the new $P-T$ constraints provided by the pseudosection modelling allow us to conclude that most of these fluids were released at a depth of about 65-70 km, a considerably higher depth than that estimated by these Authors (ca. 40 km). Our results are also in line with those of Lü et al. (2009) which recognized two critical dehydration processes (related to the breakdown of chlorite and glaucophane + lawsonite, respectively) during subduction of UHP coesite-bearing eclogites from Tianshan.

Reactions responsible for the lawsonite breakdown have been experimentally investigated for both basaltic and andesitic compositions (Poli & Schmidt, 1995; Okamoto & Maruyama, 1999). Experimental results suggest that, at pressures higher than the zoisite/clinozoisite stability field, the destabilization of lawsonite results in assemblages composed of anhydrous minerals, i.e. in garnet + clinopyroxene + quartz/coesite ± kyanite (Poli & Schmidt, 1995; Okamoto & Maruyama, 1999). Lawsonite disappearance in the basaltic system at H$_2$O saturated conditions is ascribed to a continuous reaction of the type: Lws + Cpx + Grt$_1$ = Grt$_2$ + Qtz/Coe + H$_2$O (reaction 2 of Poli & Schmidt, 1995), where garnet progressively shifts toward grossular-rich compositions along a prograde $P$-$T$ path (Okamoto & Maruyama, 1999; Poli et al., 2009).

The studied FeTi-oxide metagabbro OF2727 has a bulk composition that differs from a common basaltic composition, being significantly enriched in both Fe and Ti (FeO$_{tot}$≈21 wt%, TiO$_2$≈5.5 wt%). Therefore, different reactions controlling the lawsonite breakdown are expected. Microstructural and mineral chemical observations suggest that both garnet and omphacite compositions significantly changed during lawsonite breakdown (i.e. Grt$_{1→2}$ and Omp$_{2→3}$ transition coincides with the disappearance of lawsonite, see Table 3). However, contrary to the experimental data of Poli & Schmidt (1995), Okamoto & Maruyama (1999) and Poli et al. (2009), the grossular content of garnet sharply decreases simultaneously with the lawsonite disappearance. The dehydration reactions modelled for the metagabbro composition (i.e. equilibria A1-A9 and B1-B4 of Fig. 8) suggest that: (i) quartz is always a reactant (whereas it is a product in the reactions proposed by Poli & Schmidt, 1995 and Okamoto & Maruyama, 1999), and (ii) chemical re-
equilibration of omphacite (and not of garnet) is involved in the dehydration process. In particular, omphacite becomes enriched in the diopsidic component (Fig. 8) up-temperatures, thus suggesting that Ca released from lawsonite is exchanged with clinopyroxene instead of garnet. Therefore, comparing our results with experimental data, we suggest that the bulk composition of the system could have a strong influence on the dehydration reactions occurring during subduction.

**Changing redox state of FeTi-oxide metagabbro during subduction**

Our modelling also suggests that dehydration equilibria involved in the lawsonite and chlorite breakdown also release O₂, thus controlling the redox state of the system during subduction (Fig. 9). This result has interesting implications for the understanding of the complex interactions between crust and mantle in the subduction zones. The slab-derived fluid phases, in fact, have been suggested as the agents for the oxidized nature of the mantle wedge compared to the oceanic mantle, as evidenced by: (i) strongly-oxidized xenoliths coming from subduction-related areas (Wood *et al.*, 1990; Carmichael, 1991; Ballhaus, 1993; Parkinson & Arculus, 1999; Peslier *et al.*, 2002) and (ii) oxygen fugacity data from orogenic peridotites (Malaspina *et al.*, 2009). Although it is accepted that the mantle wedge above subduction zones is oxidized, the exact processes of relative oxidation are still controversial (e.g. Parkinson & Arculus, 1999; Malaspina *et al.*, 2009; Rowe *et al.*, 2009). However, it is widely recognized that oxidized components are transferred to the overlying mantle wedge by fluids and/or melts coming from the subducting slab (e.g. Parkinson & Arculus, 1999; Peslier *et al.*, 2002; Malaspina *et al.*, 2009; Rowe *et al.*, 2009). H₂O-rich fluids have been alternatively interpreted as very effective oxidizing agents (Brandon & Draper, 1996, 1998) or as extremely poor oxidizing agents (Ballhaus, 1993; Frost & Ballhaus, 1998). According to the first hypothesis (Brandon & Draper, 1996), water may be an oxidizing agent because, after dissociation, oxygen forms ferric iron and hydrogen escapes the system. Conversely, Frost & Ballhaus (1998) argued that the very low H₂O dissociation constant hampers its oxidizing capability. According to our data, the oxidation of the mantle wedge may be triggered by dehydration–redox reactions that occur, at least locally, in the subducting slab. This hypothesis must be considered as preliminary, since FeTi-oxide metagabbros are minor components of the subducting slab. However, our results represent a contribution to clarify if and how the metamorphic transformations occurring in the subducting slab play a role in the oxidation of the overlying mantle wedge.

Published Fe³⁺ data on omphacite in other Fe-Ti oxide metagabbros from the Western Alps (Pognante, 1985; Pognante & Kienast, 1987) also show prograde omphacite enriched in Fe³⁺ with respect to peak omphacite, thus suggesting, at least for this kind of protolith, the existence of a correlation between decreasing Fe³⁺ in omphacite and increasing metamorphic grade. Further and complementary work on other representative lithologies (metabasalts and MgAl-metagabbros) from similar palaeosubduction zones is needed to generalize this result to the whole subducting slab.
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Appendix A. Analytical methods

Mineral chemistry

The rock-forming minerals were analysed with both Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)-Energy-Dispersive Spectrometer (EDS) and Electron-MicroProbe Analyser (EMPA) – Wavelength-Dispersion Spectrometer (WDS). A Cambridge Stereoscan 360 SEM equipped with an EDS Energy 200 and a Pentafet detector (Oxford Instruments) was used at the Department of Mineralogical and Petrological Sciences, University of Torino (Italy) with the following operating conditions: 50 seconds counting time and 15 kV accelerating voltage. SEM-EDS quantitative data (spot size = 2 μm) were acquired and processed using the Microanalysis Suite Issue 12, INCA Suite version 4.01; the raw data were calibrated on natural mineral standards and the ΦρZ correction (Pouchou & Pichoir, 1988) was applied. Qualitative EDS elemental maps of Fig. 3 were acquired using 15 kV accelerating potential, a very short dwell time of 120 ms (corresponding to an acquisition time of ca. 12 hours, including a detector dead time ca. 30%) and at a resolution of 512 × 512 pixels. A JEOL 8200 Superprobe (WDS) was used at the Department of Earth Sciences, University of Milano (Italy). Acceleration voltage was set to 15 kV, beam current was 15 nA and natural minerals were used as standards. A ΦρZ routine was used for matrix correction.

Fe³⁺/ΣFe estimate in garnet and omphacite

The Fe³⁺/ΣFe ratio of garnet was measured by electron microprobe with the “flank method” (Höfer et al., 1994; Höfer & Brey, 2007) calibrated on the JEOL 8200 Superprobe at the Department of Earth Sciences, University of Milano (Malaspina et al., 2009). Combined “flank method” and quantitative elemental analysis were performed on wavelength-dispersive spectra (WDS) at 15 kV and 60 nA. One spectrometer with a TAP crystal and 300 μm slit was used for the “flank method”, measuring the FeLβ and FeLα at counting time of 300 s (Fig. SM3c, available as Supplementary Material). With the remaining 4 spectrometers Si, Ti, Al, Cr, Fe, Mg, Mn and Ca were measured simultaneously. The quantitative Fe³⁺/ΣFe in garnets was determined by applying the correction for self-absorption (see Höfer & Brey, 2007 for details), using natural and synthetic garnet end-members with fixed Fe³⁺/ΣFe as standards (Malaspina et al. 2009). Mineral analyses were always performed using detailed back-scattered electron images to check the microtextural site. The accuracy of the “flank method” has been demonstrated in previous studies, where an error between ±0.02 and ±0.04 for Fe³⁺/ΣFe has been documented in samples with 8-11 wt% total Fe (Höfer & Brey, 2007).

The Fe³⁺/ΣFe ratios of pyroxenes were calculated assuming stoichiometry and 4 cations based on 6 oxygen atoms, and the classification of Morimoto et al., (1988) was used. The calculated Fe³⁺/ΣFe ratios do not change using the method of Matsumoto & Hirajima (2005), which considers Fe³⁺ = Na+⁶Al⁴⁺ [for Si>2.00, ⁶Al⁴⁺ = Al⁴⁺; for Si<2.00, ⁶Al⁴⁺ = Al⁴⁺−2⁴⁺Al and ⁴⁺Al = 2−Si].

Bulk-composition calculation by combining mineral modes and compositions

Qualitative major elements X-ray maps of a representative portion of the thin section (ca. 8 × 6 mm) have been acquired using a µ-XRF Eagle III-XPL spectrometer equipped with an EDS Si(Li) detector and with an Edax Vision32 microanalytical system, located at the Department of Mineralogical and Petrological Sciences, University of Torino. The operating conditions were: 400 ms counting time, 40 kV accelerating voltage and a probe current of 800 μA. A spatial resolution of about 30 μm in both x and y directions has been used; the spot size is ca. 30 μm. Quantitative modal
amounts of each mineral phase have been obtained by processing the µ-XRF maps with the software program Petromod (Cossio et al., 2000) (Fig. SM2, available as Supplementary Material). The bulk-rock composition of sample OF2727 has been then calculated by combining the mineral proportions obtained from the modal estimate of the micro-XRF maps with mineral chemistry acquired at SEM-EDS (Table SM1, available as Supplementary Material).

Appendix B. Details on the pseudosections calculation
The evolution of metagabbro OF2727 has been modelled in the system MnNCFMASTHO. Fluid phase, assumed to be pure H2O, was considered in excess because water saturation is essential to consider the lawsonite stability (Clarke et al., 2006). K2O was not considered in the calculation because of its very low content in the bulk composition. Pseudosections have been calculated following the approach of Connolly (1990, 2009) (Perple_X version 08) and using the internally consistent thermodynamic dataset and equation of state for H2O of Holland & Powell (1998, revised 2004). The minerals considered in the calculation were: garnet, omphacite, chlorite, amphibole, lawsonite, talc, epidote, paragonite, quartz, rutile, ilmenite, titanite and magnetite. The following solid-solution models were used: garnet and epidote (Holland & Powell, 1998), omphacite (Green et al., 2007), chlorite (Holland et al., 1998), amphibole (Diener et al., 2007), plagioclase (Newton et al., 1980) and talc (ideal model). Pumpellyite has not been considered in the calculation because its stability is limited to T < 350°C and P < 8 kbar (e.g. Rossetti & Ferrero, 2008), i.e. at P–T conditions not considered in the modelling.

Appendix C. Details on the calculations of dehydration and redox reactions
The dehydration equilibria occurring within the quini-variant field Lws+Qtz+Chl+Omp+Grt+Rt of pseudosection reported in Fig. 5b have been re-calculated (Fig. 8) for composition 1e in the system NCFMASTHO and using the same solution models of Fig. 5. In this case, however, spessartine and amesite end-members have not been included in the solution models, due to the very low content of these end-members in garnet and chlorite, respectively. This approximation is also required because the new pseudosection of Fig. 8 is the starting point for the modelling of the redox equilibria in the T-log(f(O2)) projection of Fig. 9 and an exact correspondence between the two grids is needed. Simplification of the solid-solutions in the modelling of redox equilibria reduces the number of pseudocompounds (and thus the number of the calculated equilibria) and avoids numerical overflow. As a consequence of this simplification, the garnet-in and lawsonite-out curves are shifted up-T and down-T of 20°C and 15°C, respectively, at constant P (compare Fig. 5b and Fig. 8).

Appendix D. Supplementary Material
Supplementary data include: (i) representative microstructure of the studied sample OF2727 compared with those of two other FeTi-oxide metagabbros from the same area (Fig. SM1); (ii) the processed µ-XRF map used for the modal estimate of the phase proportions (Fig. SM2); (iii) additional compositional profiles for garnet and information from the flank method used to estimate the Fe\(^{3+}/\Sigma\)Fe ratio (Fig. SM3); (iv) the comparison between the bulk composition of sample OF2727 and other metagabbros from the Western Alps (Fig. SM4); (v) some details on the method used to calculate the effects of chemical fractionation on the bulk composition due to the growth of zoned garnet (Fig. SM5); (vi) a set of P–T pseudosections for stages I and II (1a, 1e, 2a and 2c) with compositional isopleths of garnet and omphacite, calculated at different XFe2O3 values and used for the XFe2O3 estimate (Fig. SM6a, b); (vii) the complete set of P–T pseudosections for stages I and II
(1a-1e, 2a-2c), calculated at XFe$_2$O$_3$ = 0.20 and 0.15 respectively, with compositional isopleths of garnet and omphacite (Fig. SM7a-d); (viii) a table, showing the bulk composition of sample OF2727 estimated from mineral modes and compositions (Table SM1).
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Fig. 1: (a) Simplified tectonic sketch-map of the Western Alps showing the location of the studied area (white arrow) within the Monviso metaophiolite. SB: Grand St. Bernard Zone, MR: Monte Rosa, GP: Gran Paradiso, DM: Dora-Maira; DB: Dent Blanche nappe, ME: Monte Emilius nappe,
SZ: Sesia-Lanzo Zone; CL: Canavese line. The Piemonte Zone of Calcschists with metaophiolites is reported in medium and dark grey, respectively. 

(b) and (c) Schematic cross sections through the Monviso metaophiolite in the upper Valle Po (b) and along the northern side of middle Val Varaita (c). Keys to symbols are: 1a) carbonate micaschists; 1b) quartz-rich micaschists and metacherts; 2) serpentinites and antigorite schists; 3) isotropic and foliated metagabbros; 4) FeTi-oxide metagabbros and eclogites (including rodingitized varieties: r); 5) metaplagiogranites; 6) massive metabasalts; 7) pillow metabasalts; 8a) banded metabasites; 8b) metabasites with breccia texture. VU: Vallanta Unit; FU: Forciolline Unit; PGU: Passo Gallarino Unit; VMU: Viso Mozzo Unit; LSU: Lago Superiore Unit; BSU: Basal Serpentinite Unit. The inset refers to the BSU of Val Varaita and locates the studied FeTi-oxide metagabbro OF2727 and the other FeTi-oxide metagabbro samples from the same area (modified after Castelli & Lombardo, 2007).
Fig. 2 – Representative microstructures of the eclogite-facies FeTi-oxide metagabbro. (a) Large igneous clinopyroxene porphyroclast, completely re-equilibrated as omphacite, set in a matrix consisting of omphacite, garnet and rutile. Rare glaucophane crystals are scattered in the matrix. Plane Polarized Light (PPL). Mineral abbreviations according to Bucher & Frey (2002). (b) Detail of the strongly zoned matrix omphacite, showing a dark green core (Omp₂, contoured by dashed line) and a light green rim (Omp₃). PPL. (c) Back-Scattered Electron image (BSE) of the zoned omphacite crystals. The lighter core (Omp₂) is richer in the aegirine component compared to the
darker rim (Omp$_3$). (d) BSE image of relic lawsonite preserved as inclusion at core of matrix omphacite. (e) BSE image of a zoned garnet crystal with the core (Grt$_1$) crowded of inclusions. Note lawsonite inclusions (and the epidote + paragonite pseudomorphs after lawsonite) occurring only in Grt$_1$. (f) Detail of the fine-grained inclusions occurring in the inner core of garnet in Fig. 2e. Jd$_{ss}$ and Omp$_1$ are darker and lighter grey, respectively. BSE image. (g, h) BSE images of epidote + paragonite pseudomorphs after lawsonite, included in Grt$_1$. 
Fig. 3 – Compositional profile and Ca, Mg, Mn and Fe$_{tot}$ X-ray maps of a representative garnet crystal (see also Fig. SM3, available as Supplementary Material). In each map, lighter grey implies higher concentration, as shown by quantitative spot analyses. The dashed line in the X-ray maps locates the compositional profile.
Fig. 4 – Compositions of omphacites plotted in the Morimoto *et al.* (1988) diagram and in the $X_{\text{Aeg}}$ vs $X_{\text{Jd}}$ diagram. $X_{\text{Aeg}} = \frac{\text{Fe}^{+3}}{\text{Fe}^{+3} + \text{Al}^{VI} + \text{Fe}^{+2} + \text{Mg}}$; $X_{\text{Jd}} = \frac{\text{Al}}{\text{Fe}^{+3} + \text{Al}^{VI} + \text{Fe}^{+2} + \text{Mg}}$. 
Fig. 5: \( P-T \) pseudosections for sample OF2727 calculated in the MnNCFMASTHO system at \( a(H_2O)=1 \) and XFe\(_2\)O\(_3\)=0.20 (stage I) and XFe\(_2\)O\(_3\)=0.15 (stage II), using the effective bulk
compositions calculated for stages 1a (a), 1e (b) and 2c (c) (cf. Table 4). White, light-, medium-, dark- and very dark-grey fields are tri-, quadri-, quini-, esa- and epta-variant fields, respectively. Ellipses in the insets are derived from the intersection of compositional isopleths corresponding to the actual compositions of garnet and constrain the $P–T$ conditions of its growth at the different stages. Thick lines in Fig. 5b-c are the Qtz-Coe transition. The complete set of pseudosections for the stages 1a-1e and 2a-2c with all the compositional isopleths for garnet and omphacite is reported in Figs. SM7a-d, available as Supplementary Material.
Fig. 6 – Prograde $P$–$T$ path inferred for the studied FeTi-oxide metagabbro. The ellipses refer to $P$–$T$ conditions constrained by pseudosection analysis for stages I (1a-1e) to II (2a-2c) (see both text and Figs. 5, and SM7a-d of Supplementary Material, for a discussion) and document a portion of the prograde $P$–$T$ path during subduction. The dashed field marks the lawsonite breakdown as inferred from pseudosections calculated at $X(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)=0.20$ and 0.15, for stage I and II, respectively. The dotted curves are thermal gradients. The inset shows the $P$–$T$ conditions estimated by previous authors for peak (dashed fields, 1: Blake et al., 1995; 2: Schwartz et al., 2000; 3: Messiga et al., 1999) and retrogressive (dotted field) stages in different units of the Monviso metaophiolite (abbreviations for units as in Fig. 1); the prograde $P$–$T$ path inferred from this study is reported for comparison.
Fig. 7 – (a, b) Variations of chemical and physical properties of the FeTi-oxide metagabbro OF2727 during subduction. The dashed fields mark the lawsonite breakdown as inferred from Fig. 6. H2O content (a) and density (b) values calculated at different metamorphic stages (I: 1a-1e; II: 2a-2c) are reported as a function of P. (c) Sketch of the different metamorphic stages (I: 1a-1e and II: 2a-2c) of metagabbro OF2727 in the subduction context. The progressively darker grey colour of the subducting oceanic crust implies higher density balanced by lower H2O content. The dashed field along the subducting slab approximates the lawsonite and chlorite breakdown, corresponding to the depth at which the maximum H2O and O2 contents are released from the metagabbro into the mantle wedge.
Fig. 8 – P–T pseudosection calculated in the simplified system NCFMASTHO at a(H₂O)=1 and XFe₂O₃=0.20, using the effective bulk composition 1e (see Table 4), in the P–T range constrained for stage 1e (T=460–500°C, P=20–22 kbar). The grey field is the quini-variant Lws+Chl+Omp+Qtz+Rt field of Fig. 5b, with darker and lighter grey corresponding to higher and lower H₂O amounts, respectively. H₂O isomodes are reported as white dotted lines. Differences with respect to Fig. 5b are due to the simplified model system (see Appendix C for a discussion).

**TYPE (A) PSEUDOINVARIANT EQUILIBRIA**

(A1) Lws + Qtz + Omp, (Di20,Jd30,Aeg32) + Chl(Daph64) = Omp(Di21,Jd29,Aeg31) + Grt(Alm80,Prp4) + H₂O

(A2) Lws + Qtz + Omp, (Di22,Jd30,Aeg31) + Chl(Daph64) = Omp(Di23,Jd29,Aeg31) + Grt(Alm80,Prp4) + H₂O

(A3) Lws + Qtz + Omp, (Di22,Jd29,Aeg32) + Chl(Daph64) = Omp(Di24,Jd29,Aeg31) + Grt(Alm80,Prp4) + H₂O

(A4) Lws + Qtz + Omp, (Di22,Jd30,Aeg32) + Chl(Daph64) = Omp(Di24,Jd29,Aeg31) + Grt(Alm80,Prp4) + H₂O

(A5) Lws + Qtz + Omp, (Di24,Jd30,Aeg31) + Chl(Daph60) = Omp(Di25,Jd29,Aeg31) + Grt(Alm80,Prp4) + H₂O

(A6) Lws + Qtz + Omp, (Di25,Jd29,Aeg31) + Chl(Daph60) = Omp(Di25,Jd29,Aeg31) + Grt(Alm80,Prp4) + H₂O

(A7) Lws + Qtz + Omp, (Di25,Jd29,Aeg31) + Chl(Daph60) = Omp(Di25,Jd29,Aeg31) + Grt(Alm78,Prp6) + H₂O

(A8) Lws + Qtz + Omp, (Di25,Jd30,Aeg31) + Chl(Daph60) = Omp(Di27,Jd29,Aeg31) + Grt(Alm78,Prp6) + H₂O

(A9) Lws + Qtz + Omp, (Di26,Jd29,Aeg32) + Chl(Daph62) = Omp(Di26,Jd29,Aeg31) + Grt(Alm78,Prp6) + H₂O

**TYPE (B) PSEUDOINVARIANT EQUILIBRIA**

(B1) Lws + Qtz + Chl(Daph68) + Omp,(Di20,Jd30,Aeg32) = Chl(Daph64) + Omp(Di22,Jd29,Aeg31) + Grt(Alm80,Prp4) + H₂O

(B2) Lws + Qtz + Chl(Daph64) + Omp,(Di21,Jd30,Aeg32) = Chl(Daph60) + Omp(Di24,Jd29,Aeg31) + Grt(Alm80,Prp4) + H₂O

(B3) Lws + Qtz + Chl(Daph60) + Omp,(Di25,Jd29,Aeg31) = Chl(Daph66) + Omp(Di24,Jd30,Aeg32) + Grt(Alm78,Prp4) + H₂O

(B4) Lws + Qtz + Chl(Daph66) + Omp,(Di26,Jd30,Aeg32) = Chl(Daph62) + Omp(Di26,Jd29,Aeg31) + Grt(Alm78,Prp6) + H₂O
at 21 kbar marks the isobaric section reported in Fig. 9. Abbreviations for solid-solution compositions are: Di=diopside, Jd=jadeite, Aeg=aegirine (hedembergite as difference to 100) for omphacite; Daph=daphnite (clinochlore as difference to 100) for chlorite; Alm=almandine, Prp=pyrope (grossular as difference to 100) for garnet.
Fig. 9 – Isobaric $T$-$\log(f(O_2))$ section in the NCFMASHTO system at $P$–$T$ conditions constrained for stage 1e (i.e. average $P=21$ kbar and $460<T<500^\circ C$). Pseudo-invariant points A1–A9 and B1–B4 corresponds to the pseudo-univariant dehydration equilibria of Fig. 8 (see text for details), and are connected by the pseudo-univariant redox equilibria $r_1$–$r_{12}$. Equilibria $r_1$–$r_{12}$ define the general redox equilibrium $\text{Lws}+\text{Qtz}+\text{Chl}_{ss}+\text{Omp1}_{ss}=\text{Grt}_{ss}+\text{Omp2}_{ss}+\text{H}_2\text{O}+\text{O}_2$ (the broken shape is due to the pseudocompounds approximation), which divides the $T$-$\log(f(O_2))$ space in two fields (the more oxidized $\text{Lws}+\text{Qtz}+\text{Chl}_{ss}+\text{Omp1}_{ss}$ field, at lower $T$, and the more reduced $\text{Grt}_{ss}+\text{Omp2}_{ss}+\text{O}_2$ field, at higher $T$, respectively). The magnetite + quartz = fayalite and hematite = magnetite buffers are shown for comparison. The two insets schematically represent the metamorphic assemblages before (stage I) and after (stage II) the breakdown of lawsonite and chlorite. All the listed equilibria are written such as the higher $T$-assemblages are on the right. Due to the approximation of the continuous variation in the solid-solution compositions by discrete steps, the composition of phases in italics is the average of two or three pseudocompounds. Abbreviations for solid-solution compositions are the same as in Fig. 8.