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Abstract.
The intensification of chemical processes, which means improving their efficiency and cutting down energy consumption, requires more automation and non-conventional energy sources, as well as new, efficient and scalable protocols which need to be implemented in continuous-flow reactors. We showed that flowing systems are advantageous when using conductive heating or non-conventional energy sources such as microwave (MW) and ultrasound (US) irradiation. We developed several hybrid flow-reactors suitable for organic reactions with the aim of optimizing heat and mass transfer and achieving process intensification. Here we present a series of applications of a simple flow-reactor that uses an HPLC pump, a column heater and suitable columns filled with a supported catalyst. Using the same column packed with a commercially available derivatized acidic silica we efficiently performed two reaction types: the nucleophilic opening of epoxides with a series of alcohols and the Friedländer quinoline synthesis. In both cases we obtained pure products in excellent yield in a few minutes. The set of experiments on the epoxide opening could be repeated on a hybrid-flow reactor under sequential MW/US irradiation, though at lower temperature. A suitable combination of 1D and 2D NMR experiments (i.e. $^1$H-$^{13}$C HMQC, $^1$H-$^1$H COSY and $^1$H-$^1$H NOESY) was applied to unambiguously assign the stereochemistry of hydroxyethers from epoxide cleavage.

1. Introduction
Flow chemistry is the latest evolutionary step in synthetic chemistry [1]. In recent years, chemistry in flowing systems has become more prominent as a method of carrying out chemical transformations. These systems range in scale from the analytical-scale (microchemistry) up to
kilogram-scale synthesis [2]. Its advantages may be summed up as readily increased control of conditions often leading to greater reproducibility and scalability, enhanced safety and lower investment, although its acceptance as a viable synthesis technique has been limited by its drawbacks, such as liquid handling, and diffusion of the reaction within the reactor [3]. Thanks to the huge contact surface, flow reactors can optimize mass and heat transfer with state of the art mixing and favourable superheating. They often provide more selective processes and the reaction conditions can be varied independently during the experiment facilitating reaction optimisation. The same results can be achieved by combining US and MW irradiation sequentially in loop-reactors enabling a continuous flow [4, 5]. Owing to the low penetration depth of US and MW (a few centimetres in both cases) and the high energy consumption, continuous stirred tank reactors (cascade system) are not suitable for combined US/MW irradiation. We therefore envisaged the design of flow reactors for sequential MW/US irradiation. In the prototype shown in figure 1, all the main operative parameters can be strictly and easily controlled. A peristaltic pump circulates the reacting mixture through two separate reaction cells and two thermocouples (inserted at the inlet and outlet of the MW oven) monitor the temperature. There is another pump by which MW-transparent fluids such as silicone oil or fluorinated liquids (Galden®) which are refrigerated by a chiller, are circulated, in the opposite direction to the reaction flow, through the outer compartment of the coaxial tubing. The number of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) coils inside the oven can be modulated according to the desired volume of the reacting mixture [6].

![Image of flow reactor](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Figure 1.** Commercially available system for sequential US/MW irradiation (NTS - Vicenza).

In this type of reactor we successfully performed several kinds of reactions, in particular Pd-catalyzed C-C couplings, including Suzuki homo- and cross-couplings [6], Sonogashira and Heck reactions [7], in addition to Ullmann, Barbier and Reformatzky reactions. The sonochemical
activation of the metallic catalysts and the accelerating effect of MW heating, led to excellent results in terms of yield and reaction time in all cases [8]. In previous papers we described other reactors developed in our laboratories and mainly used in advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) showing a synergistic effect of the combined US/MW irradiation in the degradation of phenol [9]. Combined US/MW flow-reactors allow outstanding mixing with a turbulent flow (higher Reynolds number) and a higher exchange area between the phases. We also developed a larger semi-continuous US/MW flow-reactor, a 5-litre prototype that included two sonotrodes working at different frequencies (20 and 300 kHz) and a MW oven [10]. A centrifugal pump circulates the reacting mixture through the reaction compartments, the flow to the US and MW units is regulated by a valve before it is returned to the tank (loop system). Three thermocouples monitor temperatures at the outlet from the MW oven, at the outlet from the US cell and inside the tank [11]. We explored several methods for the intensification of synthetic processes experimenting with other types of flow reactors to exploit solid-supported reagents and catalysts as well as suitable in-line scavengers. This approach is very advantageous as the reaction products alone will remain in solution, thus enabling the reaction to be continually monitored. Compared to standard batch techniques, flow reactors even in absence of US or MW irradiation stand out for their greater efficiency and flexibility, as they fit each synthetic process by optimizing temperature, pressure, residence time and can work in a loop when necessary. The use of supported catalysts or reagents entrapped in the column offers the possibility of recycling the immobilized materials without resorting to any filtration/purification. Avoiding stirring or shaking greatly reduces the mechanical degradation of the catalyst so that it retains its activity for a longer time. As shown by Ley and co-workers [12], the ability to couple the rapid and controlled heating profiles provided by MW irradiation with the fast optimisation and processing capacities of flow chemistry can noticeably favour process intensification in organic synthesis [13].

In the search for new simple and scalable synthetic processes we experimented several reactions in a stop-flow reactor, by adapting an HPLC pump and exhausted semi-preparative HPLC columns to our purposes (Figure 2). We refilled such exhausted columns with suitable inert material mixed to a given supported catalysts. After conditioning the system by thermostatting the column and regulating the solvent flow, the solution containing the reagent and reactant was injected into the system by a Rheodyne® injection valve. This very simple flow reactor can easily be set up in any chemical laboratory without any additional cost by adapting parts of common analytical equipment, though some limitations have to be taken into account due to the solvent pump compatibility. Here we present two efficient synthetic applications of this simple tool, comparing results with those obtained under sequential US/MW irradiation.
2. Results and Discussion

In a recent paper we disclosed an efficient, catalyst-free synthetic procedure for a regioselective opening of epoxides by some $N$-nucleophiles in water. This result was achieved under simultaneous ultrasound/microwave irradiation that led to a highly accelerated cleavage with high regioselectivity [14]. In the continuous search for extremely efficient synthetic procedures and techniques, we conducted a similar nucleophilic cleavage of epoxides with a series of alcohols (primary and secondary). For this application we moved to flow chemistry using empty semipreparative HPLC columns refilled with a commercially available acidic silica bearing sulphonic acid groups [15]. A continuous-flow apparatus for the hydrolytic kinetic resolution of terminal epoxides over silica-bound Co(Salen)-complex catalyst was previously described by Annis and Jacobsen [16]. Various recyclable solid catalysts, including, amberlyst resin [17], sulfonic acid-functionalized SBA-15 [18] and polymer [16] have been investigated in the literature. In our setup the acidic silica was finely mixed with inert fuller's earth (1:4 w/w) then the column was carefully packed. The solution of epoxide and alcohol was injected and fluxed with cyclohexane (Scheme 1A). The column was thermostatted at 75°C and the flow rate was 4 mL min$^{-1}$. In all cases the reaction was extremely fast affording clean hydroxyethers in a high yield (Table 1). We experimented the same reaction in a loop reactor under sequential MW/US irradiation at 45°C. US enabled efficient heterogeneous catalysis by fine dispersion of the acidic silica, however besides a fast complete conversion, partial degradation or side reactions were observed.
As is known the opening of styrene oxide (Entries 1-3) is not catalyst-dependent as it takes place in the alpha position [14]. Excellent reaction rates were observed with cyclohexene oxide (Entries 4, 5) and with 1,2-epoxyoctane (Entries 6a), though the latter gave the regioisomer 6b as a minor product. Under these conditions, (+)-(1R,2S,4R)-cis-limonene 1,2-epoxide, a tri-substituted oxyrane, shows regioselective cleavage on the less hindered epoxides carbon. This means that the catalytic effect of 3-propylsulfonic acid-functionalized silica on the epoxide opening does not act as a Brönsted acid but exploits different kinds of interactions. In fact, the same experiment carried out in exactly the same conditions but using bare silica instead of acid-functionalized silica gave very poor yields. The three different limonene 1,2-epoxide opening products (entries 7-9) [19-21], which exclusively gave the trans-diaxial hydroxyether [22], were subjected to a series of NMR studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Epoxide</th>
<th>Alcohol</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Column convers./isolated yield [%]</th>
<th>US/MW convers./isolated yield [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>styrene epoxide</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>92/84</td>
<td>100/68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>styrene epoxide</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>88/82</td>
<td>99/64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>styrene epoxide</td>
<td>iPrOH</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>86/81</td>
<td>98/68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>cyclohexene oxide</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>100/100</td>
<td>100/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>cyclohexene oxide</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>100/100</td>
<td>100/83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6(a)</td>
<td>1,2-epoxyoctane</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>98/93</td>
<td>100/71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>limonene oxide</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>84/79</td>
<td>98/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>limonene oxide</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>99/97</td>
<td>100/68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>limonene oxide</td>
<td>iPrOH</td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>98/94</td>
<td>100/63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On the basis of a suitable combination of 1D and 2D NMR experiments (i.e. $^1$H-$^{13}$C HMQC, $^1$H-$^{13}$C HMBC, $^1$H-$^1$H COSY and $^1$H-$^1$H NOESY) we were able to determine the (1S,2S,4R) stereochemistry of 8, by analogy of 7 and 9 (Figure 2).

![Figure 3. NOE correlation for 8.](image)

The same column was also used for the Friedländer synthesis of a quinoline derivative with o-aminobenzophenone and an enolizable ketone (Scheme 1B). It is worth mentioning that the column was refilled only once close to the end of the investigation when the repetition of Friedländer annulations afforded progressively a lower conversion and yield. Table 2 reports reagents, products and yields, as well as the bibliographic references of analytical data.

![Scheme 1. Two different reactions (A and B) performed in the flow-reactor.](image)
In line with the guidelines of green chemistry and the growing demand for process intensification, we were able to achieve an extremely fast and efficient procedure for selective epoxide opening and for the synthesis of quinolines using a simple flow reactor and a column filled with acidic silica. By getting rid of the reaction work-up, we could obtain the desired product in gram scale merely by repeating the process and simply evaporating the solvent. We showed that flow chemistry can easily be approached by adapting an HPLC system. Our studies once more confirmed that high-throughput applications definitively require flow systems that generally entail much lower investment costs.

### 3. Experimental Section

Reactions were monitored by TLC on Merck 60 F254 (0.25 mm) plates, which were visualized by UV inspection and/or by heating after being sprayed with 5% H2SO4 in ethanol. IR spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu FT-IR 8001 spectrophotometer. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 600 Avance (at 25°C; chemical shifts are calibrated to the residual proton and carbon resonances of the solvent: CDCl3 (δH= 7.26, δC = 77.0). Chemical shifts (δ) are given in ppm, coupling
constants (J) in Hz. Commercially available reagents and solvents were used without further purification unless otherwise noted. The HPLC pump Jasco PV-1580 and the Rheodyne® injection valve with a 1 mL loop were connected to a semipreparative HPLC (0.8 x 30 cm) column packed with a mixture of 3-propylsulfonic acid-functionalized silica gel (Sigma-Aldrich) (1g, ~0.74 mmol/g loading) and a suitable sorbent with excellent filtration properties (Pure-Flo® Supreme Pro-Active, Oil Dri - Illinois USA). The column was placed inside a Column Heater TC931 Jasco.

**General procedures**

**Opening of epoxides**

(A) Column + conventional heating.

After setting the column thermostat at 75°C, under flow conditions (cycloexane, 3 mL/min), a mixture of the epoxide (0.6 mmol) and an excess of alcohol (900 μL), without any additional solvent (overall volume = 1 mL) was injected by the 1 mL loop and fluxed with cyclohexane. The pure hydroxyether was collected in some test tubes, dried under vacuum and analyzed by TLC (hexane/EtOAc mixtures) and GC-MS.

(B) Sequential US/MW irradiation.

500 mg of 3-propylsulfonic acid-functionalized silica gel, cycloexane (50 mL), the epoxide (0.6 mmol) and an excess of alcohol (1 mL) were placed in the US cell, and circulated through the MW compartment (18 mL loop) for 15 min. The temperature was kept constant at 45-47°C by means of the cooling system. The mixture was collected, filtered on a paper disc (Hirsh funnel), dried under vacuum and analyzed by TLC (hexane/EtOAc mixtures) and GC-MS.

(6a) 1-ethoxyoctan-2-ol: Colourless oil. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.75 (m, 1H); 3.54 (q, 2H, J = 5.4 Hz); 3.43 (m, 1H); 3.23 (t, 1H, J = 8.4); 2.30 (s, 1H); 1.41 (brm, 2H); 1.27 (br, 8H); 1.19 (t, 3H, J = 5.7); 0.86 (t, 3H, J = 6.9Hz); GC-MS: m/z calcd for C₁₀H₂₂O₂: 174.16; found: 174.12 [M⁺]. FT-IR: ν (cm⁻¹) = 3457, 2984, 2930, 2871, 1732, 1460, 1376, 1301, 1098.

(6b) 2-ethoxyoctan-1-ol: Colourless oil. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.63 (dd, 1H, J = 10.5, 1.8 Hz); 3.5 (q, 2 H, J = 9.3 Hz); 3.44 (dd, 1H, J = 10.5, 1.8 Hz); 3.34 (m, 1H); 2.13 (brs, 1H); 1.51-1.35 (brm, 2H); 1.26 (br, 8H); 1.19 (t, 3H, J = 9.3 Hz); 0.86 (t, 3H, J = 5.7Hz). GC-MS: m/z calcd for C₁₀H₂₂O₂: 174.16; found: 174.12 [M⁺]. FT-IR: ν (cm⁻¹) = 3421, 2997, 2924, 2875, 1724, 1458, 1376, 1100.
(7) 2-methoxy-1-methyl-4-(prop-1-en-2-yl)cyclohexanol: Colourless oil. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 4.72 (s, 2H); 3.69 (m, 1H); 3.19 (s, 3H); 2.24 (m, 1H); 1.91 (m, 2H); 1.70 (s, 3H); 1.65-1.39 (m, 4H); 1.18 (s, 3H). GC-MS: m/z calcd for C$_{11}$H$_{20}$O$_2$: 184.15; found: 184.10 [M$^+$]. FT-IR: $\nu$ (cm$^{-1}$) = 3448, 2928, 2901, 2875, 1723, 1442, 1177, 1024, 885.

(8) 2-ethoxy-1-methyl-4-(prop-1-en-2yl)cyclohexanol: Colourless oil. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 4.74 (d, 2H, J = 2.7); 3.65 (m, 1H); 3.40 (m, 1H); 3.20 (brs, 1H); 2.23 (m, 1H); 1.81 (m, 1H); 1.75 (brs, 4H); 1.73 (m, 1H); 1.53 (m, 3H); 1.27 (s, 3H); 1.20 (t, 3H, J = 6.6). GC-MS: m/z calcd for C$_{12}$H$_{22}$O$_2$: 198.16; found: 198.18. [M$^+$]. FT-IR: $\nu$ (cm$^{-1}$) = 3450, 2986, 2922, 2876, 1721, 1430, 1167, 1024, 872.

(9) 2-isoproxy-1-methyl-4-(prop-1-en-2-yl)cyclohexanol: Colourless oil. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 4.74 (brs, 2H); 3.65 (m, 1H); 3.20 (m, 1H); 2.27 (m, 1H); 2.01 (m, 2H); 1.74 (brs, 3H); 1.55 (m, 4H); 1.23 (d, 6H, J = 23); 1.16 (brs, 3H). GC-MS: m/z calcd for C$_{13}$H$_{24}$O$_2$: 212.18; found: 212.11 [M$^+$]. FT-IR: $\nu$ (cm$^{-1}$) = 3422, 2930, 2911, 2880, 1719, 1430, 1161, 1011, 880.

**Friedländer cyclization**

After setting the column thermostat at 95°C, under flow conditions (2 mL/min, cycloexane/dioxane 7:3), the o-aminobenzophenone (0.5 mmol) and the ketone (3 mmol) were dissolved in a 7:3 cyclohexane/dioxane mixture (400 μl) and injected by the 1 mL loop and fluxed with the same solvents (2 mL min$^{-1}$). The substituted quinoline was collected in some test tubes, dried under vacuum and analyzed by TLC (hexane-EtOAc 3:2) and GC-MS.

**4. Conclusions**

Both research laboratories and the industrial sector are involved in the search for greener synthetic procedures, renewable sources, and new technology that may lead to process intensification. Our experience in flow reactors with non-conventional energy sources such as US and MW as well as our investigations with simple flow systems with columns filled with supported catalysts, confirmed the advantages of flow chemistry.

**Acknowledgements**

Financial support from MIUR (PRIN 2008) and the Project NanoIGT (Regione Piemonte and Università di Torino) is gratefully acknowledged.
References


