



#### AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

#### Isolation of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus pseudintermedius from breeding dogs.

This is the author's manuscript	
Original Citation:	
Availability:	
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/85272	since 2015-09-16T11:39:29Z
Published version:	
DOI:10.1016/j.theriogenology.2010.07.016	
Terms of use:	
Open Access	
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as " under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the te of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or pu protection by the applicable law.	erms and conditions of said license. Use

(Article begins on next page)



# UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This Accepted Author Manuscript (AAM) is copyrighted and published by Elsevier. It is posted here by agreement between Elsevier and the University of Turin. Changes resulting from the publishing process - such as editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms - may not be reflected in this version of the text. The definitive version of the text was subsequently published in

12 13	Theriogenology, 75 (1), 2011 doi: 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2010.07.016. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0093691X1000409]
14 15	You may download, copy and otherwise use the AAM for non-commercial purposes provided that
16	your license is limited by the following restrictions:
17 18	(1) You may use this AAM for non-commercial purposes only under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND license.
19 20	(2) The integrity of the work and identification of the author, copyright owner, and publisher must be preserved in any copy.
21 22	(3) You must attribute this AAM in the following format: Creative Commons BY-NC-ND license
23	(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/deed.en),
24	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2013.11.030

- 27 Isolation of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus pseudintermedius from breeding
- 28 **dogs**
- 29

30	Ada Rota <sup>a*</sup> , Chiara I	Milani <sup>b</sup> , Ilenia	Drigo <sup>c</sup> , Michele I	Drigo <sup>d</sup> , Michela Corrò <sup>c</sup>
----	-----------------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------------------	---

32	<sup>a</sup> Dipartimento di Patologia Animale, Facoltà di Medicina Veterinaria, via Leonardo da
33	Vinci 44, 10090 Grugliasco (TO), Italy e-mail: <u>ada.rota@unito.it</u>

- 34 <sup>b</sup>Dipartimento di Scienze Cliniche Veterinarie, Facoltà di Medicina Veterinaria,
- 35 Agripolis, 35020 Legnaro (PD), Italy e-mail: <u>chiara.milani@unipd.it</u>
- <sup>36</sup> <sup>c</sup>Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale delle Venezie, Agripolis, 35020 Legnaro (PD),
- 37 Italy e-mail: <u>mcorro@izsvenezie.it</u>
- <sup>d</sup>Dipartimento di Sanità Pubblica, Patologia Comparata ed Igiene Veterinaria, Facoltà di
  Medicina Veterinaria, Agripolis, 35020 Legnaro (PD), Italy e-mail:
- 40 <u>michele.drigo@unipd.it</u>
- 41
- 42
- 43 <sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author
- 44 Tel + 39 11 6709051
- 45 Fax +39 11 6709097
- 46 e-mail:
- 47 <u>ada.rota@unito.it</u>

#### 48 Abstract

The overuse of antimicrobials can select resistant bacteria strains; staphylococci have 49 the ability to become resistant to all beta-lactam antimicrobials and are a significant 50 concern in human medicine and a growing issue for veterinary medicine. Since in 51 breeding kennels antimicrobials are sometimes incorrectly used, the objective of the 52 work was to assess the occurrence of methicillin-resistant coagulase-positive 53 54 staphylococci in breeding dogs. The research was carried out in 13 kennels that were allotted to three categories according to the intensity of antimicrobial use. Vaginal and 55 milk swabs were taken from 87 healthy bitches around parturition and also from 56 multiple organs of 27 of their pups that died within the first 2 weeks. Standard 57 bacteriological examinations were carried out and coagulase-positive staphylococci 58 were identified. All the coagulase-positive staphylococci resulted to be *Staphylococcus* 59 pseudintermedius. Susceptibility to oxacillin and the presence of the mecA gene were 60 tested. Nine out of 89 strains (six isolated from the bitches' milk and three from dead 61 62 puppies, all belonging to kennels characterized by an excessive use of antimicrobials) were multidrug-resistant, methicillin-resistant and mecA positive. 63

64 Our results confirm that excessive use of antimicrobials entails the risk of selecting 65 resistant staphylococci strains. Our data also indicate that the bacterial flora of healthy 66 dogs belonging to specific populations may act as a reservoir of resistance genes.

- 67
- 68
- 69

70 Keywords: Staphylococcus pseudintermedius; methicillin-resistance; mecA gene; dog

#### 71 **1. Introduction**

Coagulase-positive staphylococci, (Staphylococcus aureus, S. intermedius, S. 72 pseudintermedius and S. delphini) are commensal bacteria and opportunistic pathogens 73 in most animal species [1]. Resistance to methicillin appeared in S. aureus in 1960 [2] 74 and originated because of widespread use of beta-lactam antibiotics in nosocomial 75 settings; the frequency of infections due to methicillin-resistant S. aureus has steadily 76 increased over the years, representing a serious problem in human medicine [3]. 77 Resistance to methicillin is due to the presence of the *mecA* gene, which encodes the 78 altered penicillin-binding protein 2a (PBP2a) that has a reduced affinity for all beta-79 lactam antimicrobials; the mecA gene is carried on a mobile DNA element, the 80 staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec (SCCmec) [4]. 81

The most prevalent coagulase-positive staphylococcal species in dogs, isolated both 82 from normal skin and oronasal mucosa and from skin and ear infections [5,6], was S. 83 intermedius. Recently, using a molecular identification approach, canine isolates that 84 had previously been phenotipically identified as S. intermedius were reclassified as S. 85 pseudintermedius [7]. Devriese et al. [8] proposed that canine strains identified by 86 traditional means should be reported as S. pseudintermedius unless shown by genomic 87 investigation to belong to other related species. A small proportion of S. intermedius 88 (old classification) strains isolated both from healthy dogs [9,10] and from dogs 89 showing skin and ear infections [6] has proven to be methicillin-resistant mecA positive. 90 Although S. intermedius (old classification) is seldom responsible of human infections, 91 92 transfer from dog to human is possible [11,12].

93

94 Since antimicrobials are sometimes misused in breeding kennels, the aims of this work 95 were 1) to assess the occurrence of methicillin-resistant coagulase-positive 96 staphylococci in breeding dogs; and 2) to compare the frequency of isolation of97 resistant strains among kennels differing in the use of antimicrobials.

98

#### 99 2. Materials and Methods

#### 100 *2.1 Animals and sampling*

Eighty-seven breeding bitches housed in 13 kennels located in Northern Italy were 101 102 included in the study. The history of the kennels reported a different use/misuse of antibiotics, in particular around parturition, and they were consequently divided into 103 three categories: 1) administration of amoxicillin or amoxicillin-clavulanic acid only 104 when required by pathological conditions (5 kennels, 36 bitches); 2) almost routine 105 106 administration of amoxicillin or amoxicillin-clavulanic acid around parturition (5 kennels, 29 bitches); 3) routine administration of various antimicrobial agents around 107 108 parturition (II and III generation cephalosporins, macrolids, adopted after amoxicillin and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid had become ineffective) (3 kennels, 22 bitches). 109

Vaginal swabs were taken from the bitches 7-10 days before parturition and milk 110 111 samples were collected 7-10 days after parturition; the bitches belonging to kennels of 112 category II and III were under antimicrobial treatment when milk cultures were carried out. A guarded sterile swab (Copan Innovation<sup>®</sup>, Brescia, Italy) was introduced into the 113 cranial vagina after thorough disinfection of the vulva with a povidone-iodine solution, 114 and placed in the Amies transport medium provided with the swab. A drop of milk was 115 collected on a sterile swab (Copan Innovation<sup>®</sup>, Brescia, Italy) from each of the caudal 116 mammary glands, after local disinfection. A complete post-mortem examination was 117 performed, including culture (from brain, stomach, intestine, liver, heart, lungs) and 118 sensitivity testing, on puppies that died within the first 2 weeks. All the bitches, aged 119 1.5-9 years and belonging to different breeds, were in good health at the moment of 120

sampling and no signs or symptoms of either mastitis or metritis were present during theperiod of observation.

123

#### 124 2.2 Isolation of coagulase-positive Staphylococci

Swabs were inoculated onto Blood Agar Base n°2 (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United 125 126 Kingdom) with 5% defibrinated sheep blood (DiaTech, Jesi, Italy) and streaked out to obtain single colonies. After 24 hrs of incubation at 37±1 °C in aerobic conditions, 127 presumptive identification of staphylococci was based on colony morphology, Gram 128 129 stain appearance, catalase test, haemolysis, pigment production. Coagulase-positive staphylococci were identified by coagulase reaction on rabbit plasma (Istituto 130 Zooprofilattico delle Venezie, Legnaro, Italy) and clumping factor by Slidex Staph 131 (BioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France). API Staph ID 32 phenotypic test (BioMérieux, 132 Marcy l'Etoile, France) and a specific PCR analysis for S. aureus [13] were also 133 134 carried out.

135

#### 136 2.3 Identification of S. pseudintermedius

137 S. pseudintermedius identification was performed according to Bannoehr et al. [14]. One colony of each isolate was suspended in 1 ml Phosphate Buffered Saline (pH 7.4) 138 and DNA was extracted using DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Quiagen, Hilden, Germany) 139 140 according to the manufacturer's instructions for Gram positive bacteria. The amplification was performed in a total volume of 50 µl containing 5 µl of DNA, 1.5 141 mM of MgCl<sub>2</sub> 0.1 U/µl of Fast Start Taq DNA Polymerase (Roche Diagnostics, 142 Mannheim, Germany), 200 µM of each dNTPs (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA) 143 and 0.5 µM of primers pta f1 and pta r1 (Table 1) [14]. DNA amplification was carried 144 145 out in an Eppendorf Mastercycler Ep Gradient S (Eppendorf, Milano, Italy) with the following thermal cycling conditions: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 4 min, followed 146

by 40 cycles of amplification, denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 55 °C for 30
s, extension at 72 °C for 60 s, ending with a final elongation step of 5 min at 72 °C.
After amplification, 10 µl of PCR products were subjected to enzymatic restriction with
1.5 U/µl of *Sau3AI* for 1h at 37 °C and the restriction products subsequently subjected
to agarose gel electrophoresis. Restriction of *S. pseudintermedius* amplicons resulted in
two fragment of 213 pb and 107 pb.

153

#### 154 2.4 Antimicrobial susceptibility

Susceptibility to a panel of sixteen antimicrobial agents was determined by the disk
diffusion method in Mueller-Hinton agar (Sclavo Diagnostics International, Siracusa,
Italy) according to the guidelines of the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute, when
available [15, 16].

Discs of penicillin G (10 IU), ampicillin (10  $\mu$ g), amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (20+10  $\mu$ g) gentamicin (10  $\mu$ g), oxacillin (1  $\mu$ g), cefalexin (30  $\mu$ g), cefuroxime (30  $\mu$ g), spiramycin (100  $\mu$ g), streptomycin (10  $\mu$ g), tetracycline (30  $\mu$ g), tilmicosin (15  $\mu$ g), tylosin (30  $\mu$ g), enrofloxacin (5  $\mu$ g), rifampin (5  $\mu$ g), tiamulin (30  $\mu$ g), trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole (1.25+23.75  $\mu$ g) were tested. Interpretative criteria for the inhibition zone diameters of tylosin, spiramycin and streptomycin were given by the manufacturers.

Bacteria strains were stored at -80 °C and the susceptibility test was repeated after
species identification.

168

#### 169 2.5 Oxacillin resistance confirmation

170 Coagulase-positive staphylococci strains were transferred onto a selective medium,171 Oxacillin Resistance Screening Agar Base with selective supplement (Oxoid,

172 Basingstoke, United Kingdom) (oxacillin concentration:  $2\mu g/ml$ ), and incubated at

173  $37^{\circ}C \pm 1^{\circ}C$  for 24 h to confirm susceptibility to oxacillin, as a surrogate for methicillin.

174

175 *2.6 MecA gene* 

Coagulase-positive staphylococci strains were tested for the presence of the mecA gene 176 by PCR, using the primers reported in Table 1 [17]. DNA was extracted from each 177 178 sample as previously described. The amplifications were performed in a total volume of 179 25 µl containing 5 µl of DNA, 3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.05 U/µl FastStart Taq DNA Polymerase (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), 200 µM of each dNTPs (Applied 180 181 Biosystems, Foster City, USA) and 0.5 µM of primer. DNA amplification was carried out in an Eppendorf Mastercycler Ep Gradient S (Eppendorf, Milano, Italy) with the 182 following thermal cycling conditions: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 6 min, followed 183 by 30 cycles of amplification, denaturation at 95 °C for 15 s, annealing at 55 °C for 30 184 s, extension at 72 °C for 30 s, ending with a final elongation step of 5 min at 72 °C. 185 186 After amplification, 10 µl of PCR products were subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis. 187

188

189 *2.7 Statistical analysis* 

The frequency of isolation of coagulase-positive staphylococci, both as a whole and in each of the two localisations, was compared among the three categories of breeding kennels by using chi-squared analysis. The frequency of isolation of methicillinresistant coagulase-positive staphylococci strains was analyzed using the Fisher exact test, considering kennels of category 1 and 2 as a unique category. A P value < 0.05 was considered significant.

196

197 **3. Results** 

A total number of 76 coagulase-positive staphylococci strains were isolated from the
bitches (33 from vagina and 43 from milk) and 13 coagulase-positive staphylococci
strains were isolated from 27 dead puppies.

All the coagulase-positive staphylococci resulted to be *S. pseudintermedius*.

The frequency of *S. pseudintermedius* colonization was not significantly different among the three categories of kennels, neither as a whole nor in each of the two localisations. In 7 out of 13 cases of dead puppies, *S. pseudintermedius* had caused septicaemia: in six cases it was also the only bacterium isolated from the milk of the corresponding dams, and in one case it was isolated from the dam's vagina.

All the isolated *S. pseudintermedius* strains showed high percentages of resistance to different antimicrobials, particularly to penicillin and ampicillin, spiramycin and tetracycline (Table 2).

Eight strains isolated from the bitches' milk and three from the dead pups were resistant to oxacillin; seven of the bitch strains and all the pup strains confirmed the resistance in the selective medium, with the higher oxacillin concentration. Six out of seven strains isolated from the bitches and all three strains isolated from the dead pups carried the *mecA* gene. In the *mecA* negative bitch strain, either *mecA* was not present or mutations in the primer region may have occurred.

The origin, antibiotic resistance characteristics and presence/absence of the mecA gene 216 in the methicillin-resistant strains are reported in Table 3. All these strains show 217 218 multidrug resistance. All the isolates shown in Table 3 came from two kennels belonging to category 3. The isolates with numbers 6 and 7 came from two bitches, 219 220 while those bearing numbers 8 and 9 derived from their dead puppies. Overall, the prevalence of mecA positive methicillin-resistant strains was 7.9% in the bitches; the 221 percentage of mecA positive methicillin-resistant strains on all S. pseudintermedius 222 isolates was 10.1%. 223

Table 4 shows the number of methicillin-resistant *mecA* positive *S. pseudintermedius* strains in the three categories of kennels and in the two localizations of the bitches. The frequency of isolation of methicillin-resistant *mecA* positive *S. pseudintermedius* strains in the bitches was higher in kennels belonging to category 3 ( $\chi^2$  [Yates corrected]=12.48; P<0.001).

All the staphylococci strains that resulted susceptible to oxacillin by disk diffusion test confirmed their susceptibility in the selective medium and resulted *mecA* negative.

231

#### 232 **4. Discussion**

Antimicrobials are sometimes misused in breeding kennels and different agents may be routinely administered around parturition to prevent neonatal infections, instead of adopting more appropriate measures to minimise structural predisposing factors [18].

By comparing the antibiotic resistance in the intestinal flora, De Graef et al. [19] found higher resistance percentages in dogs kept in breeding kennels than in privately owned animals; the same study described also a relation between the antimicrobials used and the resistances of bacteria, thus suggesting the possibility that resistance genes may be transferred among bacteria colonising group-housed dogs.

All the coagulase-positive staphylococci strains isolated from the bitches in our work were identified as *S. pseudintermedius* by molecular analysis, in agreement with recent taxonomy observations on canine isolates [1,7,8].

In our study, *S. pseudintermedius* showed high percentages of antimicrobial resistance: also when bitches were not exposed to antimicrobial treatment before sampling, as in kennels of category 1, mean resistance rates for penicillin, ampicillin and spiramycin were higher than 80%, for tetracycline higher than 25% and for streptomycin higher than 18%. In a recent work [20], the Authors found a widespread occurrence of resistance in *S. pseudintermedius* from skin and ear infections of dogs with no recent history of antimicrobial treatment. The high genetic polymorphism of the isolates
suggested the possible transfer of resistance genes among *S. pseudintermedius* strains
as well as other bacteria in the dog population and it could be worth testing this
hypothesis also in our experimental series.

The occurrence of methicillin-resistance in *S. pseudintermedius* isolated in two breeding kennels, both belonging to the group characterised by excessive antimicrobials use, confirms that antimicrobial overuse selects resistant strains. Administration of antimicrobial drugs, specifically  $\beta$ -lactams and fluoroquinolones, in the previous 90 days, resulted in a significant risk factor for methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) infection in dogs [21].

260 Methicillin-resistant S. pseudintermedius (MRSP) colonization of healthy dogs living in a confined environment raises a number of issues including a) possible horizontal 261 262 transfer from dog to dog, and particularly between females and males; b) the pattern of 263 colonization over time; c) health hazard for dogs within kennels; and d) potential health hazard for breeders. A recent study by Loeffler et al. [22] showed that MRSA did not 264 transmit readily between apparently healthy dogs housed in shared kennels and that 265 MRSA carriage was only transient in a regularly cleaned and disinfected environment. 266 However, these observations should be confirmed for MRSP and, furthermore, any 267 268 infection developed by MRSP-colonized animals, would probably be difficult to treat. In fact, MRSP isolates that are positive for the *mecA* gene are generally multiresistant 269 270 [23], as in our case, although not necessarily more virulent than their methicillin-271 susceptible counterparts [24]. A longitudinal study might help assess the persistence of MRSP carriage and, consequently, the effective risk that a group-housed dog 272 population may become a reservoir for resistance genes. However, if antimicrobial 273 274 pressure is not reduced in the concerned kennels, other resistant strains are going to be selected. 275

S. intermedius (old classification) is not usually a human pathogen, although it is known 276 to cause invasive infection following dog-bites [25]; sporadic cases of bacteraemia in 277 278 patients who had undergone invasive procedures have been reported [26], one case of otitis externa [27] and, recently, a case of S. pseudintermedius catheter-related 279 bacteraemia in a hemophilic child [28]. Transmission of S. intermedius (old 280 classification) from dogs to their owners can occur [11,12] and it has already been 281 described also for methicillin-resistant strains [29]. The occurrence of S. intermedius 282 283 (old classification) in the owners of dogs affected by deep pyoderma was significantly higher than in the control group [12]. However a recent investigation showed that 284 285 MRSP nasal colonization of the owners of dogs affected by MRSP skin infection was uncommon and transient [30]. Nasal carriage of MRSP was detected in one staff 286 member of a veterinary teaching hospital [31]: personnel of veterinary clinics should be 287 made aware of the risk of nosocomial transmission of MRSP. 288

Although MRSP strains are not alarming as zoonotic agents, they represent a serious concern as a potential source of horizontal gene transfer to other staphylococci, converting methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* colonizing humans into MRSA through transfer of the mobile SCC containing the *mecA* gene [24,32]. *S. aureus* is indeed thought to have acquired resistance through horizontal gene transmission from coagulase-negative *S. sciuri* [33].

The prevalence of MRSP found in our study is higher than the values previously reported for healthy dogs (1.5% [10]; 2% [9]) and is similar to data (6.3%) reported in clinical samples of diseased dogs [10,34]. However, in our work, the frequency is biased in kennels where the excessive use of antimicrobials has increased the selection of resistant strains.

300 Genetic characterization of our MRSP isolates would be of great epidemiological 301 interest: their belonging to the multiresistant clonal lineage widespread in several European countries (including Italy [35]), would confirm the spreading of MRSP strains with relatively stable clones. However, the assessment of the genetical profile of the isolates was out of the scope of this research.

305

306 A previous retrospective investigation on the bacteriological status of canine milk and septicaemia of neonatal puppies [36] excluded S. intermedius (old classification) as a 307 308 major cause of septicaemia in neonates because, although isolated from the milk of the 309 dams, the bacterium was never found in the organs of the septicaemic puppies. This 310 issue deserves further investigation. In our work, S. pseudintermedius was isolated 311 from the organs of septicaemic puppies and it was also the only bacterium isolated from the milk of the corresponding dams or from the dams' vagina. Two of the milk 312 and puppy isolates were methicillin-resistant *mecA* positive. Although the isolates were 313 314 not typed, a link between the strains from dam and puppy is very likely.

315

Our results confirm that the misuse of antimicrobials may lead to serious consequences because resistant strains may become a health hazard in veterinary and human medicine; methicillin-resistant staphylococcal infections may become causes of morbidity and mortality in companion animals but domestic animals may also represent a reservoir of infection and a zoonotic source [1]. MRSP should not only be considered as a nosocomial pathogen in veterinary settings [35] but also as a potentially problematic commensal in particular dog populations.

323 Acknowledgments

324 The authors thank Roberto Perin and Anna Sturaro for technical assistance.

325

326 **References** 

- Weese JS, van Duijkeren E. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and
   *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* in veterinary medicine. Vet Microbiol 2010; 140:
   418-29.
- [2] Jevons MP, Coe AW, Parker MT. Methicillin resistance in staphylococci. Lancet
  1963; 1: 904-7.
- 333 [3] Boucher HW, Corey GR. Epidemiology of methicillin-resistant *Staphylooccus* 334 *aureus*. Clin Infect Dis 2008; 46 (Suppl. 5): 344-9.
- [4] Lin AE, Davies JE. Occurrence of highly fluoroquinolone-resistant and methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in domestic animals. Can J Microbiol 2007; 53: 9259.
- [5] Allaker RP, Jensen L, Lloyd DH, Lamport AI.. Colonization of neonatal puppies by
  staphylococci. Brit Vet J 1992; 148: 523-8.
- [6] Loeffler A, Linek M, Moodley A, Guardabassi L, Sung JML, Winkler M, Weiss R,
  Lloyd DH. First report of multiresistant, *mecA*-positive *Staphylococcus intermedius* in
  Europe: 12 cases from a veterinary dermatology referral clinic in Germany. Vet
  Dermatol 2007; 18: 412-21.
- [7] Sasaki T, Kikuchi K, Tanaka Y, Takahashi N, Kamata S, Hiramatsu K.
  Reclassification of phenotypically identified *Staphylococcus intermedius* strains. J
  Clin Microbiol 2007; 45: 2770–8.
- 347 [8] Devriese LA, Hermans K, Baele M, Haesebrouck F. *Staphylococcus*348 *pseudintermedius* versus *Staphylococcus intermedius*. Vet Microbiol 2009; 133: 206349 7.
- [9] Vengust M, Anderson M, Rousseau J, Weese J. Methicillin-resistant staphylococcal
   colonization in clinically normal dogs and horses in the community. Lett Appl
   Microbiol 2006; 43: 602-6.
- [10] Griffeth GC, Morris DO, Abraham JL, Shofer FS, Rankin SC. Screening for skin
  carriage of methicillin-resistant coagulase-positive staphylococci and *Staphylococcus schleiferi* in dogs with healthy and inflamed skin. Vet Dermatol 2008; 19:142-9.
- [11] Harvey RG, Marples RR, Noble WC. Nasal carriage of *Staphylococcus intermedius* in humans in contact with dogs. Microb Ecol 1994; 7: 225-7.
- [12] Guardabassi L, Loeber ME, Jacobson A. Transmission of multiple antimicrobial resistant *Staphylococcus intermedius* between dogs affected by deep pyoderma and
   their owners. Vet Microbiol 2004; 98: 23-7.
- [13] Mehrotra M, Wang G, Johnson WM. Multiplex PCR for detection of genes for
   *Staphylococcus aureus* enterotoxins, exfoliative toxin, toxic shock syndrome toxin 1,
   and methicillin resistance. J Clin Microbiol 2000; 38: 1032-5.

- [14] Bannoehr J, Franco A, Iurescia M, Battisti A, Fitzgerald JR. Molecular diagnostic
   identification of *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*. J Clin Microbiol 2009; 47: 469-
- 366 71.
- 367 [15] Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial
  368 Disk and Dilution Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria Isolated From Animals; Approved
  369 Standard- Third Edition (M31-A3), Wayne, PA, 2008.
- [16] Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial
   Susceptibility Testing; Nineteenth Informational Supplement (M100-S19), Wayne,
   PA, 2009.
- [17] Louie L, Goodfellow J, Mathieu P, Glatt A, Louie M, Simor AE. Rapid detection
  of methicillin-reistant staphylococci from blood culture bottles by using a multiplex
  PCR assay. J Clin Microbiol 2002; 40: 2786-90.
- [18] Sager M, Remmers C. Some aspects of perinatal mortality in the dog. A clinical,
  bacteriological and pathological study [in German]. Tierärztl Prax 1990; 18: 415-9.
- 378 [19] De Graef EM, Decostere A, Devriese LA, Haesebrouck F. Antibiotic resistance
  among fecal indicator bacteria from healthy individually owned and kennel dogs.
  380 Microb Drug Resist 2004; 10: 65-9.
- [20] Norström M, Sunde M, Tharaldsen H, Mørk T, Bergsjø B, Kruse H. Antimicrobial
  resistance in *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* in the Norwegian dog population.
  Microb Drug Resist 2009; 15: 55-9.
- [21] Faires MC, Traverse M, Tater KC, Pearl DL, Weese JS. Methicillin-resistant and susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in dogs. Emerg Infect Dis 2010; 16: 69 75.
- [22] Loeffler A, Pfeiffer DU, Lindsay JA, Soares-Magalhaes R, Lloyd DH. Lack of
  transmission of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) between
  apparently healthy dogs in a rescue kennel. Vet Microbiol 2010; 141: 178-81.
- 390 [23] Gortel K, Campbell KL, Kakoma I, Whittem T, Schaeffer DJ, Weisiger RM.
  391 Methicillin resistance among staphylococci isolated from dogs. Am J Vet Res 1999;
  392 60: 1526-30.
- 393 [24] Cohn LA, Middleton JR. A veterinary perspective on methicillin-resistant
   394 staphylococci. J Vet Emerg Crit Care (San Antonio) 2010; 20: 31-45.
- 395 [25] Talan DA, Staatz D, Staatz A, Goldstein EJ, Singer K, Overturf GD.
   396 *Staphylococcus intermedius* in canine gingival and canine-inflicted human wound
   397 infections: laboratory characterization of a newly recognized zoonotic pathogen. J
   398 Clin Microbiol 1989; 27: 78-81.
- [26] Vandenesch F, Célard M, Arpin D, Bes M, Greenland T, Etienne J. Catheterrelated bacteremia associated with coagulase-positive *Staphylococcus intermedius*. J
  Clin Microbiol 1995; 33: 2508–10.

- 402 [27] Tanner MA, Everett CL, Youvan DC. Molecular phylogenetic evidence for 403 noninvasive zoonotic transmission of *Staphylococcus intermedius* from a canine pet to
- 404 a human. J Clin Microbiol 2000; 38: 1628–31.
- [28] Chuang C-Y, Yang Y-L, Hsueh P-R, Lee P-I. Catheter-related bacteremia caused
  by *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* refractory to antibiotic-lock therapy in a
  hemophilic child with dog exposure. J Clin Microbiol 2010; 48: 1497-8.
- [29] van Duijkeren E, Houwers DJ, Schoormans A, Broekhuizen-Stins MJ, Ikawaty R,
   Fluit, Wagenaar JA. Transmission of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus intermedius*
- 410 between humans and animals. Vet Microbiol 2008; 128: 213-5.
- [30] Frank LA, Kania SA, Kirzeder EM, Eberlein LC, Bemis DA. Risk of colonization
  or gene transfer to owners of dogs with meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*. Vet Dermatol 2009; 20: 496-501.
- [31] Sasaki T, Kikuchi K, Tanaka Y, Takahashi N, Kamata S, Hiramatsu K. Methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* in a veterinary teaching hospital. J Clin
  Microbiol 2007; 45: 1118-25.
- [32] Hanssen AM, Kjeldsen G, Ericson Sollid JU. Local variants of staphylococcal
  cassette chromosome mec in sporadic methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and
  methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative staphylococci: evidence of horizontal gene
  transfer? Antimicrob Agents Chemoter 2004; 48: 285-96.
- [33] Wu S, Piscitelli C, de Lencastre H, Tomasz A. Tracking the evolutionary origin of
  the methicillin resistance gene: cloning and sequencing of a homologue of mecA from
  a methicillin susceptible strain of *Staphylococcus sciuri*. Microb Drug Resist 1996, 2,
  424 435-41.
- [34] Ruscher C, Lübke-Becker A, Wleklinski CG, Soba A, Wieler LH, Walther B.
  Prevalence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* isolated from
  clinical samples of companion animals and equidaes. Vet Microbiol 2009; 136: 197201.
- [35] Perreten V, Kadlec K, Schwarz S, Grönlund Andersson U, Finn M, Greko C,
  Moodley A, Kania SA, Frank LA, Bemis DA, Franco A, Iurescia M, Battisti A, Duim
  B, Wagenaar JA, van Duijkeren E, Weese JS, Fitzgerald JR, Rossano A, Guardabassi
  L. Clonal spread of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* in Europe
  and North America: an international multicentre study. J Antimicrob Chemother
  2010; 65: 1145-54.
- [36] Schäfer-Somi S, Spergser J, Breitenfellner J, Aurich JE. Bacteriological status of
  canine milk and septicaemia in neonatal puppies--a retrospective study. J Vet Med B
  Infect Dis Vet Public Health 2003; 50: 343-6.
- 438

439 Table 1. Primers used to identify *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* and to detect the presence of the *mecA* gene

Primers	Sequence 5'-3'	Product size	Reference
		( <b>bp</b> )	
Pta_f1	AAA GAC AAA CTT TCA GGT AA	320	[14]
Pta_r1	GCA TAA ACA AGC ATT GTA CCG		[1]
mecA_F	AAA ATC GAT GGT AAA GGT TGG C	533	[16]
mecA_R	AGT TCT GCA GTA CCG GAT TTG C		[10]

### 443 Table 2. Percentages of resistance to different antimicrobials of *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* strains isolated in two localisations from bitches

## 444 housed in three categories of kennels

Kennel category	-	1	2		3		
Origin <sup>a</sup>	Μ	V	Μ	V	Μ	V	
$\mathbf{N}^{\circ}$ of isolates	21	13	9	12	13	8	
Penicillin	85.7	92.3	88.9	100	100	100	
Ampicillin	80.9	92.3	88.9	100	100	100	
Amoxycillin-clavulanic acid	0	0	0	0	53.8	12.5	
Oxacillin	0	0	0	0	61.5	0	
Cefalexin	4.8	0	0	0	38.5	0	
Cefuroxime	4.8	0	0	0	38.5	0	
Spiramycin	90.5	69.2	88.5	90.0	100	100	
Rifampin	4.8	0	0	0	0	0	
Tilmicosin	0	7.7	0	10	84.6	12.5	
Tylosin	0	15.4	22.2	30.0	100	37.5	
Tetracycline	23.8	30.8	44.4	60.0	84.6	62.5	
Streptomycin	14.3	23.1	55.6	30.0	92.3	37.5	
Gentamycin	0	0	0	0	38.5	0	
Enrofloxacin	0	0	0	0	53.8	0	
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	9.5	15.4	11.1	20.0	84.6	12.5	
Tiamulin	4.8	0	11.1	0	0	0	

445 <sup>a</sup>M, milk; V, vagina.

Strain	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Origin <sup>a</sup>	Μ	Μ	Μ	Μ	Μ	Μ	Μ	Р	Р	Р
Kennel category	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
						*	·····			
Penicillin	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
Ampicillin	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
Amoxycillin-	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
clavulanic acid										
Oxacillin	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
Cefalexin	R	Ι	R	S	R	R	R	R	R	R
Cefuroxime	R	R	R	S	R	R	R	R	R	R
Spiramycin	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
Rifampin	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
Tilmicosin	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
Tylosin	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
Tetracycline	R	R	S	Ι	R	R	R	R	R	R
Streptomycin	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
Gentamycin	R	Ι	R	Ι	R	Ι	R	R	R	R
Enrofloxacin	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
Trimethoprim-	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
sulfamethoxazole										
Tiamulin	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
mecA	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+

448 Table 3. Antibiograms of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* isolates. Dams and corresponding puppies are linked with brackets.

<sup>a</sup>M, milk; P, dead pup.

R, resistant; S, susceptible; I, intermediate

- 451 Table 4. *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* colonisation of the vagina and milk of the bitches belonging to the three categories of kennels (1= low,
- 452 correct use of antimicrobials ; 2= moderate; 3= excessive); occurrence of methicillin-resistant *S. pseudintermedius* (MRSP) strains.

Kennel category	1	2	3
N of bitches	36	29	22
Percentage and (N) of bitches colonised by <i>S. pseundintermedius</i> in vagina and/or milk	66.7 (24)	65.5 (19)	77.3 (17)
Percentage and (N) of vaginal isolates	36.1 (13)	41.4 (12)	36.4 (8)
Percentage and (N) of milk isolates	52.8 (19)	37.9 (11)	59.1 (13)
Total N of isolates	32	23	21
Percentage and (N) of MRSP on vaginal isolates	0 (0/13)	0 (0/12)	0 (0/8)
Percentage and (N) of MRSP on milk isolates	0 (0/19)	0 (0/11)	46.1 (6/13)