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A change from stepwise to concerted mechanism in the acid-catalysed benzidine rearrangement: a theoretical study.
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1. Introduction

The acid catalysed benzidine rearrangement consists in the intramolecular conversion of aromatic hydrazo compounds in dianimobiphenyls (4,4' or 2,4' or 2,2') and semidines (2 or 4), depending on the substrate. At first glance these conversions seem to be simple sigmatropic shifts but this was found to be inadequate to explain all experimental findings. In fact, despite the large amount of experimental work and the mechanisms proposed, this rearrangement has not been fully described yet. Therefore, two years ago we undertook a theoretical study on this reaction and we succeeded, we believe, to clarify the mechanism, at least for the parent reaction, the hydrazobenzene rearrangement (Scheme 1, 1).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{NH}_2\text{N} & \rightarrow & \text{NH}_2\text{N} \quad \text{NH}_2\text{N} \quad \text{NH}_2\text{N} \\
1 & 2 & 3 \\
\text{NH}_2\text{N} & \rightarrow & \text{NH}_2\text{N} \quad \text{NH}_2\text{N} \\
4 & 5 & 6
\end{array}
\]

Scheme 1. The benzidine rearrangement of hydrazobenzene.

In our model, where both the experimental yields of the main products (Scheme 1, 2 and 3) and the kinetic isotope effects are well reproduced, the reaction has been found to take place through a multi-step mechanism where 1,4 -complexes with a diacation diradical character similar to those proposed by Dewar play a major role. We report that some elements of the mechanism were also proposed in a theoretical work by Yamabe et al.

One of the key points of this reaction is the dependence of its rate on the acid concentration. The kinetics are first order in the substrate concentration [1] but can be first order in [H'] (second order on the whole) or second order in [H'] (third order on the whole). In fact, depending on the nature of the substrate and on the acid concentration, second or third order kinetics or even intermediate kinetics have been observed.\(^{12,7}\) This dichotomy is due to the occurrence of two competing mechanisms: the first one is a second order “monoprotonated” mechanism with rate constant \(k_c\) and the second one is a third order “diprotonated” mechanism with rate constant \(k_d\):

\[
v = k_c [1 [H']] + k_d [1 [H']]^2
\]

The kinetics for the hydrazobenzene rearrangement were definitively established in 1950 when it was found to be second order in [H'].\(^{9}\) Later on,\(^{10}\) this was confirmed and the \(k_d\) estimated. The \(k_c\) value, included in the model, was found to be substantially null at the experimental conditions. For this reason our first study\(^8\) was focused on the diprotonated mechanism only and no attempt to explore the monoprotonated mechanism was undertaken. However, three questions remain unanswered: (1) what is the mechanism of the monoprotonated rearrangement for the parent reaction; (2) why this mechanism is not competitive; (3) which conditions might make this to prevail. In this work we try to answer these questions.

2. Results and discussion

The present section is structured in three parts. First, we shortly re-examined the diprotonated mechanism reaction in
aqueous acid solution. Then we present the results of the theoretical study of the mechanism of the monoprotonated mechanism. Finally, a comparison of the two mechanisms is drawn and possible answers to the above questions are offered.

2.1. The diprotonated mechanism

This case has already been fully explored in the previous work so we will not describe it at the length. In the present study, only the most relevant structures, leading to the main products 2 and 3, have been reconsidered (Scheme 1). Their electronic energies have been refined by single point calculations with the larger basis set (this was not done in the previous work) and combined with the thermal corrections recalculated at 273.15 K (Table 1). The dissociation limit corresponds to the energy of two aniline radical cation chlorides. The third order rearrangement has been found to take place through a multi-step mechanism. The diprotonated reactant $\text{H}_2^+\text{Cl}^-$ undergoes a homolytic breaking of the NN bond (TS$_{SN}$) yielding a complex (Cpl$_b$) with dicationic character and similar to that ones proposed by Dewar. This complex can form a bond between the 4 and 4' carbon atoms through a radical coupling (TS$_{ad}$) yielding the intermediate Int$_{ad}$ whose deprotonation leads to the main rearrangement product (p-benzidine, 2).

Scheme 2. Pathways in the third order diprotonated benzidine rearrangement.

As an alternative, Cpl$_a$ can reversibly transform in a second dication diradical complex (Cpl$_b$) from which the precursor (Int$_{ad}$) of the minor product (diphenylene 3) can be obtained through TS$_{ad}$. As can be seen comparing Table 1 with Table 1 in Ref. 4, the numerical differences are not significant. The relative yields, calculated with the new data at 0 °C for products 2 and 3 are 78 and 22 %, and differ to some extent from the previous data calculated at 298 K (63 and 37 %) and from the experimental values (ca. 70 and 30 %). The free energy barrier for the first step (TS$_{SN}$) is used for the forthcoming comparison between the two mechanisms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\Delta\text{E}(0K)$</th>
<th>$\Delta\text{G}(273K)$</th>
<th>$\Delta\text{G}(298K)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{H}_2^+\text{Cl}^-$</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS$_{SN}$</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cpl$_a$</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS$_{ad}$</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int$_{ad}$</td>
<td>-4.3</td>
<td>-3.7</td>
<td>-3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS$_{conv}$</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cpl$_b$</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS$_{ad}$</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int$_{ad}$</td>
<td>-5.1</td>
<td>-5.3</td>
<td>-5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissociation limit</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Electronic energy + ZPE. [b] Free energy at 273 K and [c] at 298 K.

2.2. The monoprotonated mechanism

The theoretical study indicates that the monoprotonated hydrazobenzene chloride undergoes three concerted sigmatropic rearrangements leading to different intermediates (Scheme 3). These intermediates, after deprotonation and proton transfer, are converted into the final products. Relative energies are collected in Table 2. Because the experiments were performed in an aqueous environment both at 25 and 0 °C, the free energy values are reported at these two temperatures. The dissociation limit corresponds to the energy of an iminocyclohexadienyl cation chloride and aniline (Chart 1). The easier rearrangement leads to the intermediate Int$_{ad}$ precursor of the main product 2 through the concerted transition structure TS$_{ad}$ ($r_{CN}$ = 3.850 Å; $r_{C2-C6}$=2.500 Å; Figure 1). Its energy is quite high (ca. 30 kcal mol$^{-1}$). The positive group charge, initially mainly localized on the NH$_2$ group (q = +0.5) in 1 HCl, (Figure SI-A in Supporting Information) is spread all over the structure in TS$_{ad}$. In Int$_{ad}$ (Figure SI-B) the positive charge is delocalized on the aniline moiety. During the rearrangement, the positive charge partially moves from the NH$_2$ group in 1 HCl to the iminocyclohexadienyl moiety in TS$_{ad}$ then to the aniline moves from the NH$_2$ group in 1 HCl to the iminocyclohexadienyl moiety in TS$_{ad}$ then to the aniline moiety in Int$_{ad}$. Another two concerted rearrangements have been found: one leads to Int$_{ad}$ (Figure SI-D) precursor of product 4 through TS$_{ad}$ ($r_{CN}$ = 3.976 Å; $r_{C2-C6}$=3.006 Å, Figure SI-C) whose energy is very close to the former; the second leads to intermediate Int$_{ad}$, (Figure SI-F) precursor of product 3 through TS’$_{ad}$ ($r_{CN}$= 3.727 Å; $r_{C2-C6}$=2.500 Å, Figure SI-E) located 3 kcal mol$^{-1}$ above the previous ones.

Pathways leading to intermediates Int$_{ad}$ (precursor of product 5) and Int$_{ad}$ (precursor of product 6) were not found. Partial transfers of the positive charge are also observed in these pathways. All these pathways present high energy barriers, so the monoprotonated mechanism is expected to be very slow. This result is coherent with the observed null $k_0$ and will be also discussed later.
Scheme 3. Pathways in the second order monoprotonated benzidine rearrangement.

Table 2. Relative energies (in kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) for the monoprotonated mechanism in water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(\Delta E(0\text{K}))(^{[a]})</th>
<th>(\Delta G(273\text{K}))(^{[b]})</th>
<th>(\Delta G(298\text{K}))(^{[c]})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\text{H}^+\text{Cl}^-)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS(_{a4})</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int(_{a4})</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS(_{a2})</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int(_{a2})</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissociation limit</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\([a]\) Electronic energy + ZPE. \([b]\) Free energy at 273 K and \([c]\) at 298 K.

Chart 1. Dissociation fragments for the second order monoprotonated benzidine rearrangement.

Figure 1. The transition structure TS\(_{a4}\) for concerted rearrangement to intermediate Int\(_{a4}\). Distances in Å. Group charges in italics.

2.3. Comparison between the two mechanisms

The nature of the two mechanisms is completely different: the third order diprotonated is a stepwise radical cation recoupling while the second order monoprotonated is a concerted closed-shell sigmatropic shift. The latter is not stepwise because a stable complex between the dissociation fragments (aniline and [iminocyclohexadienyl] cation chloride, Chart 1) is absent. This complex, to exist, should form through non-covalent but strong enough interactions between the two facing fragments, where one of them (aniline) is a low polarity neutral molecule. However, this interaction cannot compensate for the stronger covalent N-N bond found in the reactant, whose energy corresponds to the dissociation limit (Table 2, \(\Delta E(0\text{K}) = 42\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\), nor for the C-C bond found in the intermediates (\(\Delta E(0\text{K}) = 28\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\)). Therefore, as the N-N bond cleaves, the new C-C forms in the same step. In the diprotonated mechanism the dissociation level (Table 1) is more than 20 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) lower and the nature of the complexes (Cpl\(_1\) and Cpl\(_3\)) is different because, along with the van der Waals interactions, they present also a covalent character due to the partial radical coupling (see ref. 4 for more details). Both factors guarantee the existence of these complexes and lead to a stepwise mechanism. The activation energies are also very different: less than 13 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for the diprotonated mechanism and more than 30 for the monoprotonated. This direct comparison of the barriers involved is, however, not correct because the diprotonated mechanism requires, obviously, a
second protonation. In fact, the whole reaction scheme for the acid catalysed rearrangement is described by the following equations:\(^5\)

\[
\begin{align*}
(2) & \quad 1 + H^+ & \xrightleftharpoons{k'_a} & \quad 1 H^+ \\
(3) & \quad 1 H^+ & \xrightarrow{k'} & \quad \text{Int'} \\
(4) & \quad 1 H^+ + H^+ & \xrightleftharpoons{k'_a} & \quad 1 H_2^{2+} \\
(5) & \quad 1 H_2^{2+} & \xrightarrow{k''} & \quad \text{Int}
\end{align*}
\]

\(k'\) and \(k''\) are the rearrangement rate constants starting, respectively, from 1H\(^+\) and 1H\(_2^{2+}\) and they can be calculated from the free energy barriers presented in this work. \(K'_a\) and \(K'_a\) are the dissociation acid constants of the monoprotonated (1H\(^+\)) and the diprotonated (1H\(_2^{2+}\)) hydrazobenzenes and they are taken from ref. 7\(^1\). Their values are 5 M and 10\(^{-1}\)-10\(^{-2}\) M, respectively. From the reciprocal of their values (for \(K'_a\)) we use a mean value of 5 10\(^9\) M we can calculate the reaction free energies for the equilibria as shown in equations 2 (\(\Delta G\)) and 4 (\(\Delta G''\)). These are 2.6 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and 10.1 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at 0 °C and 2.8 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and 11.0 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at room temperature respectively. From equations (1)-(5) we obtain:

\[
\begin{align*}
(6a) & \quad k_{in} = \frac{k'}{K'_a} \\
(6b) & \quad k_{id} = \frac{k''}{K'_a K''_a}
\end{align*}
\]

These equations correspond, in terms of activation free energies and making reference to the rate determining step of each mechanism, to:

\[
\begin{align*}
(7a) & \quad \Delta G_{a1} = \Delta G(TS'_{in}) + \Delta G' \\
(7b) & \quad \Delta G_{i1} = \Delta G(TS_{in}) + \Delta G' + \Delta G''
\end{align*}
\]

For the monoprotonated mechanism we obtain, respectively at 0 °C and at room temperatures, \(\Delta G_{a1} = 34.2\) and 34.6 kcal mol\(^{-1}\). For the diprotonated mechanism we obtain \(\Delta G_{i1} = 25.3\) and 26.5 kcal mol\(^{-1}\). The latter figures can be compared\(^1\) with the experimental values\(^4\) of 23-25 kcal mol\(^{-1}\). Clearly the diprotonated mechanism is expected to be the faster. However, the comparison between the two mechanisms requires to include also the acid concentration. In the typical experimental condition this spans from 10\(^{-3}\) to 1 M. For these values we can estimate the following rate ratios\(^{15}\) \(k_1[H^+] / k_2[H^+]\): 10\(^4\) and 10\(^7\) at 0 °C or 10\(^3\) and 10\(^6\) at room temperature. It is evident that the contribution of the monoprotonated mechanism to the acid-catalysed rearrangement of hydrazobenzene is negligible. This mechanism might play a role only at very low acid concentration. At room temperature, the condition \(k_1[H^+] = k_2[H^+]\) entails an acid concentration of 10\(^{-6}\) M. Clearly, the reaction rate under these conditions is expected to be negligible (ca. 10\(^{-9}\) M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)).

The presence of a positive charge on the structures should make the reaction sensitive to the presence (and position) of substituents with an electrodonating effect. The effect could also be different for the two mechanisms, making possible a contribution of the second order monoprotonated mechanism. This is, indeed, what is experimentally found for hydrazobenzenes that are methyl and methoxy substituted.\(^1,2,3,8\)

A possible explanation can be found by inspection of the charge distributions in the charged fragments at the dissociation limits (Chart 2). The charged fragment of the monoprotonated mechanism (A), shows partial positive charges mainly on the aromatic ring. By contrast, the radical cation fragment for the diprotonated mechanism (B) shows, in the same positions, significantly lower partial positive charges. Clearly this should make the monoprotonated mechanism more sensitive to the electron donor substituents than the diprotonated.

Chart 2. Charged dissociation fragments for the benzidine rearrangement. A: iminocyclohexadienylum chloride (monoprotonated mechanism); B: radical anilinium chloride (diprotonated mechanism). Group charges in italics. Group spin densities in parenthesis.

3. Conclusion

In the acid-catalysed benzidine rearrangement of hydrazobenzene, the second order reaction, corresponding to a monoprotonated mechanism, takes place through concerted transition structures without the interposition of any complex. By contrast, the third order reaction (corresponding to a diprotonated mechanism) was found\(^6\) to take place through radical cations recoupling with the interposition of diradical dication Dewar’s complexes. Our computations show that, though both mechanisms can concur to the rearrangement, due to the very different energy barriers calculated for the title system,
only the third order mechanism (the diprotonated) has a real role in this reaction (as experimentally found). However, by inspection of the electronic structure of the fragments, we can deduce that the presence of electron donor substituents in positions 2 or 4 will make the monoprotonated second order mechanism competitive, as experimentally observed.

4. Theoretical Method

The reaction mechanism has been investigated by the density functional method (DFT), with the recently developed functional M06-2X. All stationary points have been optimized and characterized with the 6-311+G(d,p) basis set and the nature of the critical points checked by vibrational analysis (all data are reported in the Supporting Information). For transition structures (TS), when the inspection of the normal mode related to the imaginary frequency was not sufficient to confidently establish its connection with the initial and final stable species, IRC calculations have been performed. The energy values are then refined through single-point calculations with the basis set 6-311+G(Df,2p), and combined with the thermal corrections obtained with the smaller basis set to get E+ZPE and free energy values. Solvent effects (water) have been introduced both in geometry optimization and single point calculations by the Polarized Continuum Method (IEF-PCM).

For a proper description of electronic function and energy estimate relevant to the diradicaloid singlet structures, the spin unrestricted DFT (UDFT) was used. The electronic energy values were then corrected by removing the energy contribution of the triplet electronic function according to an approximate spin projection scheme. All values including the zero point energy, ΔE(0K) and the thermal and entropy contributions to the free energy, ΔG(273K) and ΔG(298K), are discussed and used to estimate, by the Eyring equation, the rate constants. An expectation value of the spin operator S2 applied to the contaminated singlet indicates, when close to 1, a significant diradical character. All values are reported in the Supporting Information. The natural atomic orbital (NAO) group atomic charges are obtained by the natural population analysis. Calculations were performed by the quantum package Gaussian 09-A.02. Figures 1, SI-A/F have been obtained with the graphical program Molden.
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